

# Contents

## Preface — v

<b>1</b>	<b>Introduction — 1</b>
1.1	Goals — 1
1.2	The road to the interfaces — 4
1.2.1	Features — 5
1.2.2	Derivation by phase — 7
1.2.3	Spell-Out Domains — 12
1.2.4	Linearisation — 16
1.3	Roadmap — 20
1.4	The data — 22
<b>2</b>	<b>Syntactic issues — 25</b>
2.1	Preliminary remarks — 25
2.2	Syntactic properties of extraposition — 31
2.2.1	Lack of syntactic trigger — 31
2.2.2	The locality of extraposition — 37
2.2.2.1	Subjacency — 37
2.2.2.2	Subject island effects — 41
2.2.3	Further arguments against overt syntactic movement — 55
2.3	An interface approach to extraposition — 63
2.3.1	Condition C effects — 63
2.3.2	Haider's solution — 64
2.3.3	Rochemont and Culicover's solution — 66
2.3.4	Fox and Nissenbaum's solution — 70
2.3.5	The proposal — 75
2.3.6	The LF position of extraposed constituents — 79
2.4	An analysis employing copy theory — 85
2.4.1	Conditions on co-reference — 86
2.4.1.1	Condition C of the Binding Theory — 87
2.4.1.2	Williams's general pattern of anaphoric dependence — 89
2.4.1.3	Extraposition and Condition C — 94
2.4.1.4	The logophoric pronoun constraint — 96
2.4.2	Sorting out potential problems — 101
2.4.2.1	Extraposition from definite DPs — 101
2.4.2.2	Obviation of Condition C violations without extraposition — 102
2.5	Conclusion — 109

<b>3</b>	<b>The syntax-phonology correspondence — 111</b>
3.1	Preliminary remarks — 111
3.2	Prosodic categories and prominence — 114
3.2.1	The prosodic hierarchy — 114
3.2.1.1	Intonational cues for prosodic categories — 118
3.2.2	Prosodic phrasing — 121
3.2.2.1	The end-based theory — 121
3.2.2.2	Phonological phrases in English — 126
3.2.2.3	Optionality — 136
3.2.3	Phrasal stress and focus prominence — 138
3.2.3.1	Phrasal stress — 138
3.2.3.2	Focus prominence and background accentuation — 150
3.2.4	Intonational phrases — 157
3.3	Revision of the end-based theory — 164
3.3.1	Some problems for the end-based theory — 164
3.3.2	Cyclic spell-out and prosodic structure — 170
3.3.3	Final analysis of phonological phrasing — 180
3.4	Conclusion — 183
<b>4</b>	<b>Phonological solutions — 189</b>
4.1	Preliminary remarks — 189
4.2	Modelling PF movement — 190
4.3	Solutions for extraposition — 195
4.3.1	The role of interface constraints — 197
4.3.1.1	PP extraposition from object — 197
4.3.1.2	PP extraposition from subject — 202
4.3.1.3	Extraposition of relative clauses — 205
4.3.1.4	Extraposition from external arguments — 213
4.3.2	The role of exhaustivity — 219
4.3.3	The role of head-alignment constraints — 233
4.3.3.1	Blocking extraposition — 233
4.3.3.2	Ranking the head-alignment constraints — 240
4.3.3.3	Accentuation of the predicate in thetic sentences — 242
4.3.3.4	Deaccentuation of relatives in thetic sentences — 249
4.3.3.5	Extraposition of focused PPs and relatives — 253
4.3.3.6	Extraposition in the postnuclear stretch — 262
4.4	Weight effects — 267
4.4.1	Performance or competence? — 267
4.4.2	Relative weight — 270
4.4.3	Weight, extraposition, and heavy NP shift — 274

4.5	Conclusion — 285
<b>5</b>	<b>Defocused and light PPs — 287</b>
5.1	Preliminary remarks — 287
5.2	Extraposition of defocused PPs — 288
5.3	Extraposition of light PPs — 303
5.3.1	The prosodic representation of light PPs — 306
5.3.2	Stress neutrality — 309
5.3.3	Intrusive- <i>r</i> — 310
5.3.4	The prosodic representation of extraposed light PPs — 313
5.3.5	Criticisation and extraposition — 315
5.3.5.1	Criticisation — 315
5.3.5.2	Extraposition — 323
5.3.5.3	Variation and topics for future research — 332
5.4	Conclusion — 340
<b>6</b>	<b>Concluding remarks — 341</b>
<b>A</b>	<b>List of constraints — 343</b>
	<b>Bibliography — 347</b>
	<b>Index — 367</b>