

Contents

| | | |
|----------|---|-----------|
| 1 | Introduction | 1 |
| 1.1 | Introduction | 1 |
| 1.2 | Investment Disputes Against Developing Countries | 3 |
| 1.3 | The FET Standard | 9 |
| 1.4 | Scholarship on the FET Standard | 10 |
| 1.5 | The Importance of the Arbitrators' Discretionary Powers in Interpreting FET | 14 |
| 1.6 | Conclusion | 20 |
| | References | 26 |
| 2 | The Historical Development of the FET Standard in International Investment Treaties | 31 |
| 2.1 | Introduction | 31 |
| 2.1.1 | Treaties of Friendship, Commerce, and Navigation (FCNs) During the Eighteenth Century—The Starting Point of Investment Agreements | 32 |
| 2.1.2 | The First Appearance of the FET Standard— The Havana Charter 1948 | 32 |
| 2.1.3 | The Bogota Agreement 1948 | 33 |
| 2.1.4 | Introducing FET in US FCN Treaties After the Havana Charter | 34 |
| 2.1.5 | The Abs-Shawcross Draft Convention 1959 | 34 |
| 2.1.6 | The First BIT in 1959 and Subsequent Wave of BITs | 35 |
| 2.1.7 | FCNs in the 1960s | 36 |
| 2.1.8 | OECD Draft Convention of the Protection of Foreign Property, 1963 and 1967 and Its Influence | 37 |
| 2.1.9 | World Politics in the 1970s and Its Influence on Investment Treaties and the FET Standard | 40 |
| 2.1.10 | The UN Documents of 1983 | 41 |

| | | |
|----------|---|-----------|
| 2.1.11 | The MIGA Convention 1985 | 42 |
| 2.1.12 | The ASEAN Treaty 1987 | 43 |
| 2.1.13 | The Lomé IV 1990 | 43 |
| 2.1.14 | The World Bank Guidelines 1992 | 44 |
| 2.1.15 | NAFTA, 1992 | 44 |
| 2.1.16 | The Energy Charter Treaty, 1994 | 45 |
| 2.1.17 | MERCOSUR | 46 |
| 2.1.18 | The Pacific Basin Charter on International Investments 1995 | 46 |
| 2.1.19 | OECD Draft Negotiating Text for MAI 1998 | 47 |
| 2.1.20 | Comprehensive Free Trade Agreement (CETA) 2016 | 47 |
| 2.1.21 | Treaties with no FET | 48 |
| 2.2 | The FET Standard in International Investment Treaties as It Stands Today | 48 |
| 2.3 | Conclusion | 50 |
| | References | 51 |
| 3 | Different Constructions of the FET Standard in Investment Treaties | 53 |
| 3.1 | Introduction | 53 |
| 3.2 | Different Construction of FET in Investment Treaties | 54 |
| 3.3 | FET <i>Minus</i> | 55 |
| 3.3.1 | Minimum Standard Under International Law or Customary International Law | 56 |
| 3.3.2 | FET as a Standard Combined with International Law Generally | 58 |
| 3.3.3 | FET as a Standard Combined with Customary International Law | 60 |
| 3.3.4 | FET and Customary International Law: The NAFTA Saga | 60 |
| 3.3.5 | Minimum Standard Under International Law/Customary International Law in Investment Law Context | 64 |
| 3.3.6 | Other FET Minus Provisions Which Limit the Scope of the Standard | 65 |
| 3.4 | <i>Simple</i> FET | 68 |
| 3.4.1 | The Role of the Minimum Standard in Interpreting <i>Simple</i> FET | 70 |
| 3.5 | FET <i>Plus</i> | 72 |
| 3.6 | Conclusion | 75 |
| | References | 76 |

| | | |
|----------|--|-----------|
| 4 | Developing Countries in International Investment Arbitration | 79 |
| 4.1 | Introduction | 79 |
| 4.2 | Country Classification and Developing Countries in International Investment Arbitration | 79 |
| 4.2.1 | Classification of Countries by Different International Organisations | 80 |
| 4.3 | Disparities and Differences Across Developing Countries and the Concept of Development. | 88 |
| 4.4 | Perspectives of Host Developing Countries in Investment Disputes | 91 |
| 4.5 | Conclusion | 94 |
| | References | 95 |
| 5 | Current Arbitral Practice Relating to Political and Socio-political Circumstances in Host Developing Countries and Countries in Transition: FET Standard in Context | 99 |
| 5.1 | Introduction | 99 |
| 5.2 | Political Circumstances | 99 |
| 5.2.1 | Bayindir Insaat Turizm—Long History of Political Turmoil in the Host Developing Country | 100 |
| 5.2.2 | Toto—Political Instability in Post-civil War Context | 102 |
| 5.2.3 | AMT—Political Instability Can Reduce Compensation but not Liability! | 103 |
| 5.2.4 | Pantechniki—Political Unrest of Large Magnitude | 105 |
| 5.3 | Socio-political Circumstances of the Country Relevant to the Investment Dispute | 108 |
| 5.3.1 | Mamidoil—No Violation of FET Standard if Regulatory Change Is for Public Policy | 108 |
| 5.3.2 | EDF—FET Standard Do not Serve as Insurance Policy for Regulatory Change | 110 |
| 5.3.3 | GAMI—Lack of Administrative Capacity not a Defence | 112 |
| 5.3.4 | Tecmed—Response to Public Interest and Foreign Investor’s Legitimate Expectation from a Developing Country (the TECMED Test) | 115 |
| 5.3.5 | Duke Energy—Socio-political Circumstances as Ground for Foreign Investor’s Legitimate Expectation | 117 |
| 5.3.6 | Azurix—State Action in Response to Public Health Safety | 118 |
| 5.4 | Countries in Transition | 121 |
| 5.4.1 | Parkerings—Compagniet—Change of Regulatory Framework Due to Transition not a Violation of the FET Standard | 122 |

| | | |
|----------|--|------------|
| 5.4.2 | Nagel—Investor’s Duty to Investigate Before Investment in Countries in Transition | 124 |
| 5.4.3 | Generation Ukraine—Economic Condition of the Country Due to Its Transitory Status as a Ground for Legitimate Expectation of the Foreign Investor | 125 |
| 5.4.4 | Tokios Tokelés—Volatility and Fragmentation in Political Life of the Host Country Due to Transitory Status | 126 |
| 5.4.5 | Genin—Investors Be Aware (‘Caveat Investor’)! While Investing in Countries in Transition | 127 |
| 5.4.6 | Lemire (Decision on Jurisdiction and Liability)—Transitory Status not a Ground for Defence of Breach of FET Standard | 129 |
| 5.4.7 | Lemire (Award)—Transitory Status a Ground to Reduce Damages but not Liability | 130 |
| 5.4.8 | Alpha—Transitory Status a Ground of Calculating the Rate of Interest | 132 |
| 5.4.9 | Kardassopoulos—Transitory Status not a Defence for Non-performance of FET Obligation | 133 |
| 5.5 | Conclusion | 135 |
| | References | 135 |
| 6 | Current Arbitral Practice Relating to Economic Crises in Host Developing Countries: The FET Standard in Context | 139 |
| 6.1 | Introduction | 139 |
| 6.2 | Economic Crisis and Its Impact Upon Developing Countries | 140 |
| 6.3 | Arbitral Awards Involving Economic Crises in the Host Developing Countries | 143 |
| 6.3.1 | CMS—Economic Crisis Acknowledged But Rejected! | 144 |
| 6.3.2 | LG&E (Decision on Liability)—Defence of Necessity for Economic Crisis Partially Accepted | 148 |
| 6.3.3 | Enron—Country Risk Cannot Be a Plea for Economic Crisis in Host Developing Country | 153 |
| 6.3.4 | Sempra—Effects of the Economic Crisis at the Valuation Stage Considered | 154 |
| 6.3.5 | Sempra Ad Hoc Committee on Annulment Proceeding—(Finally!) Defence of Necessity for Economic Crisis Accepted | 155 |
| 6.3.6 | AWG—Economic Crisis Acknowledged (Again) but not Accepted | 157 |
| 6.3.7 | Waste Management No. 2—Economic Crisis in Mexico Considered as Ground for Exemption for Breach of FET Standard | 161 |

| | | |
|-------|--|------------|
| 6.3.8 | j <i>Olguín</i> –Investors Be Aware (Caveat Investor) | 162 |
| 6.3.9 | Himpurna–Economic Crisis in Indonesia Considered for Valuation of Loss of Profits | 164 |
| 6.4 | Conclusion | 165 |
| | References | 167 |
| 7 | Key Problems in the Interpretation of the FET Standard by Current Investment Tribunals | 171 |
| 7.1 | Introduction | 171 |
| 7.2 | Key Problems in the Interpretation of the FET Standard by Current Arbitral Tribunals | 172 |
| 7.2.1 | Inconsistency | 173 |
| 7.2.2 | Inadequate Approach to the Substantive Issues of the Host Developing Countries Relevant to the Investment Disputes | 176 |
| 7.3 | Conclusion | 183 |
| | References | 184 |
| 8 | Conclusion | 187 |
| 8.1 | The Perspectives of Host Developing Countries in an Investment Dispute Context | 188 |
| 8.2 | The Perspectives of Host Developing Countries in Investment Treaties | 192 |
| | References | 197 |
| | Index | 199 |