

TABLE OF CONTENTS

1	INTRODUCTION	1
1.1	Scope of the Study	2
1.1.1	Modality and Modal Verbs	2
1.1.2	Subordination and Subordinate Clauses	8
1.1.3	The Syntactic Integration of Modal Verbs	11
1.2	Research Aims	17
1.3	Contributions	21
1.4	Challenges	22
1.4.1	Multicollinearity	23
1.4.2	Generalisability	23
1.4.3	Replicability	25
1.5	Organisation of the Study	28
2	CHARACTERISING THE SUBJECT OF STUDY	31
2.1	Modal Verbs	31
2.1.1	Characterising their Form	31
2.1.2	Characterising their Meaning	37
2.1.2.1	Modal Strength	38
2.1.2.2	Modal Flavour	39
2.1.2.3	Pragmatic Meaning	41
2.2	Subordinate Clauses	45
2.2.1	Adverbial Clauses	45
2.2.1.1	Characterising their Form	45
2.2.1.2	Characterising their Meaning	47

2.2.2	Relative Clauses	49
2.2.2.1	Characterising their Form	49
2.2.2.2	Characterising their Meaning	51
2.2.3	Complement Clauses	53
2.2.3.1	Characterising their Form	53
2.2.3.2	Characterising their Meaning	54
3	FRAMEWORK	57
3.1	Construction Grammar	57
3.1.1	Usage-based Paradigm	57
3.1.2	Construction-hood	59
3.1.3	Identifying Constructions	61
3.1.4	Constructional Accounts of the Modal Verb Phrase	64
3.2	Behavioural Profile Analysis	71
3.2.1	The Notion of Usage-Features	72
3.2.2	The Notions of Collocation and Colligation	73
4	METHODOLOGICAL PROCEDURE	77
4.1	Step I: Extraction of the Data	77
4.2	Step II: Manual annotation of the data	77
4.2.1	Variable 1: Modal Verb	78
4.2.2	Variable 2: Clause Type	79
4.2.3	Variable 3: Semantic Category of the Subject	82
4.2.4	Variable 4: Grammatical aspect	83
4.2.5	Variable 5: Semantic Category of the Non-Finite Verb	84
4.2.6	Variable 6: Modification	86
4.2.7	Variable 7: Negation	88
4.2.8	Variable 8: Voice	89

4.3	Step III: Statistical analysis	91
4.3.1	Basic Measures	91
4.3.2	Advanced Measures	93
4.4	Step IV: Interpretation of the Findings	97
5	CORPUS-BASED ANALYSES	99
5.1	Monofactorial Analysis	99
5.1.1	General Findings	99
5.1.1.1	Absolute and Relative Frequencies	79
5.1.1.2	Correspondence Analysis	104
5.1.1.3	Association(s) between Clause Types and Modal Verbs	107
5.1.2	Findings in Detail	107
5.1.2.1	Configurations for Modalised Adverbial Clauses	115
5.1.2.1.1	<i>Could</i> (type)	115
5.1.2.1.2	<i>Can</i> (type)	117
5.1.2.1.3	<i>Must</i> (anti-type)	120
5.1.2.1.4	<i>Will</i> (anti-type)	121
5.1.2.2	Configurations for Modalised Relative Clauses	121
5.1.2.2.1	<i>Might</i> (type)	122
5.1.2.2.2	<i>Shall</i> (anti-type)	124
5.1.2.2.3	<i>Should</i> (anti-type)	125
5.1.2.2.4	<i>Must</i> (anti-type)	125
5.1.2.3	Configurations for Modalised Complement Clauses	126
5.1.2.3.1	<i>Should</i> (type)	126
5.1.2.3.2	<i>Would</i> (type)	132
5.1.2.3.3	<i>Might</i> (type)	135
5.1.2.3.4	<i>May</i> (anti-type)	145
5.1.2.3.5	<i>Shall</i> (anti-type)	145
5.1.3	Summary	146

5.2	Multifactorial Analysis	150
5.2.1	General Findings	151
5.2.1.1	Absolute and Relative Frequencies	151
5.2.1.2	Associations between Usage-Features	160
5.2.2	The Findings in Detail	163
5.2.2.1	<i>Can</i>	168
5.2.2.2	<i>Could</i>	171
5.2.2.3	<i>Shall</i>	176
5.2.2.4	<i>Should</i>	179
5.2.2.5	<i>Will</i>	183
5.2.2.6	<i>Would</i>	184
5.2.2.7	<i>May</i>	186
5.2.2.8	<i>Might</i>	188
5.2.2.9	<i>Must</i>	190
5.2.3	Summary	192
5.3	Applications	193
5.3.1	Adverbial Clause [<i>as NP MV (not) (have) see(n)</i>]	193
5.3.2	Complement Clauses	198
5.3.2.1	[<i>possibility(ies)/possible that NP MV</i>]	199
5.3.2.2	[<i>fear(s)/ed that NP MV</i>]	201
5.3.3	Relative Clause [<i>who/which MV (not) (have) be(en) V-en</i>]	206
5.3.4	Summary	210
6	DISCUSSION	211
6.1	Complexity	211
6.2	Schematicity	220
6.3	Productivity	225
6.4	Subjectivity	235

6.5	Reflection on the challenges	241
6.5.1	Multicollinearity	242
6.5.2	Generalisability	243
6.5.3	Replicability	244
7	CONCLUSION	247
7.1	Summary	247
7.2	Outlook	250
	REFERENCES	257