Klapötke

Chemistry of High-Energy Materials 2nd Edition

"We will not waver; we will not tire; we will not falter; and we will not fail. Peace and freedom will prevail."

> G. W. Bush, Presidential Address to the Nation, October 7th 2001

Thomas M. Klapötke

Chemistry of High-Energy Materials

2nd Edition

DE GRUYTER

Prof. Dr. Thomas M. Klapötke Professor of Inorganic Chemistry in the Department of Chemistry Ludwig-Maximilians University Munich Butenandtstr. 5–13 (Haus D) 81377 Munich (Germany) tmk@cup.uni-muenchen.de

and

Visiting Professor of Mechanical Engineering and Chemistry Center of Energetic Concepts Development (CECD) University of Maryland College Park, MD 20742 (U.S.A.)

This book contains 154 figures and 74 tables.

ISBN 978-3-11-027358-8 e-ISBN 978-3-11-027359-5

Library of Congress Cataloging-in-Publication Data

A CIP catalog record for this book has been applied for at the Library of Congress.

Bibliographic information published by the Deutsche Nationalbibliothek

The Deutsche Nationalbibliothek lists this publication in the Deutsche Nationalbibliografie; detailed bibliographic data are available in the Internet at http://dnb.dnb.de.

© 2012 Walter de Gruyter GmbH & Co. KG, Berlin/Boston Coverimage: Hemera/Thinkstock Typesetting: Meta Systems GmbH, Wustermark Printing and Binding: Hubert & Co., Göttingen Printed in Germany www.degruyter.com

Preface to this 2nd English edition

Everything said in the preface to the first German and first English editions still holds and essentially does not need any addition or correction. In this revised second edition in English we have up-dated the manuscript and added some recent aspects of energetic materials:

- (i) We have tried to correct some mistakes which can not be avoided in a first edition and also updated the references where appropriate.
- (ii) The chapters on Ionic Liquids, Primary Explosives, NIR formulations, Smoke Compositions and High-Nitrogen Compounds were updated.
- (iii) Two new short chapters on Co-Crystallization (9.5) and Future Energetic Materials (9.6) have been added.

In addition to the people thanked in the German and first English edition, the author would like to thank Dr. Jesse Sabatini and Dr. Karl Oyler (ARDEC, Picatinny Arsenal, NJ) for many inspired discussions concerning pyrotechnics.

Munich, May 2012

Thomas M. Klapötke

Preface to the first English edition

Everything said in the preface to the first German edition remains valid and essentially does not need any addition or correction. There are several reasons for translating this book into English:

- The corresponding lecture series at LMU is now given in English in the postgraduate M.Sc. classes, to account for the growing number of foreign students and also to familiarize German students with the English technical terms.
- To make the book available to a larger readership world-wide.
- To provide a basis for the author's lecture series at the University of Maryland, College Park.

We have tried to correct some omissions and errors which can not be avoided in a first edition and have also updated the references where appropriate. In addition, five new chapters on Combustion (Ch. 1.4), NIR formulations (Ch. 2.5.5), the Gurney Model (Ch. 7.3), dinitroguanidine chemistry (Ch. 9.4) and nanothermites (Ch. 13.3) have been included in the English edition. The chapter on calculated combustion parameters (Ch. 4.2.3) has been extended.

In addition to the people thanked in the German edition, the author would like to thank Dr. Ernst-Christian Koch (NATO, MSIAC, Brussels) for pointing out various mistakes and inconsistencies in the first German edition. For inspired discussions concerning the Gurney model special thanks goes to Joe Backofen (BRIGS Co., Oak Hill). Dr. Anthony Bellamy, Dr. Michael Cartwright (Cranfield University), Neha Mehta, Dr. Reddy Damavarapu and Gary Chen (ARDEC) and Dr. Jörg Stierstorfer (LMU) are thanked for ongoing discussions concerning secondary and primary explosives.

The author also thanks Mr. Davin Piercey, B.Sc. for corrections and for writing the new chapter on nanothermites, Dr. Christiane Rotter for her help preparing the English figures and Dr. Xaver Steemann for his help with the chapter on detonation theory and the new combustion chapter. The author thanks the staff of de Gruyter for the good collaboration preparing the final manuscript.

Munich, January 2011

Thomas M. Klapötke

Preface to the first German edition

This book is based on a lecture course which has been given by the author for more than 10 years at the Ludwig-Maximilian University Munich (LMU) in the post-graduate Master lecture series, to introduce the reader to the chemistry of highly energetic materials. This book also reflects the research interests of the author. It was decided to entitle the book "Chemistry of High-Energy Materials" and not simply "Chemistry of Explosives" because we also wanted to include pyrotechnics, propellant charges and rocket propellants into the discussion. On purpose we do not give a comprehensive historical overview and we also refrained from extensive mathematical deductions. Instead we want to focus on the basics of chemical explosives and we want to provide an overview of recent developments in the research of energetic materials.

This book is concerned with both the civil applications of high-energy materials (e.g. propellants for carrier or satellite launch rockets and satellite propulsion systems) as well as the many military aspects. In the latter area there have been many challenges for energetic materials scientists in recent days some of which are listed below:

- In contrast to classical targets, in the on-going global war on terror (GWT), new targets such as tunnels, caves and remote desert or mountain areas have become important.
- The efficient and immediate response to time critical targets (targets that move) has become increasingly important for an effective defense strategy.
- Particularly important is the increased precision ("we want to hit and not to miss the target", Adam Cumming, DSTL, Sevenoaks, U.K.), in order to avoid collateral damage as much as possible. In this context, an effective coupling with the target is essential. This is particularly important since some evil regimes often purposely co-localize military targets with civilian centers (e.g. military bases near hospitals or settlements).
- The interest in insensitive munitions (IM) is still one of the biggest and most important challenges in the research of new highly energetic materials.
- The large area of increasing the survivability (for example by introducing smokeless propellants and propellant charges, reduced signatures of rocket motors and last but not least, by increasing the energy density) is another vast area of huge challenge for modern synthetic chemistry.
- Last but not least, ecological aspects have become more and more important.
 For example, on-going research is trying to find suitable lead-free primary explosives in order to replace lead azide and lead styphnate in primary composi-

tions. Moreover, RDX shows significant eco- and human-toxicity and research is underway to find suitable alternatives for this widely used high explosive. Finally, in the area of rocket propulsion and pyrotechnical compositions, replacements for toxic ammonium perchlorate (replaces iodide in the thyroid gland) which is currently used as an oxidizer are urgently needed. Despite all this, the performance and sensitivity of a high-energy material are almost always the key-factors that determine the application of such materials – and exactly this makes research in this area a great challenge for synthetically oriented chemists.

The most important aspect of this book and the corresponding lecture series at LMU Munich, is to prevent and stop the already on-going loss of experience, knowledge and know-how in the area of the synthesis and safe handling of highly energetic compounds. There is an on-going demand in society for safe and reliable propellants, propellant charges, pyrotechnics and explosives in both the military and civilian sector. And there is no one better suited to provide this expertise than well trained and educated preparative chemists.

Last but not least, the author wants to thank those who have helped to make this book project a success. For many inspired discussions and suggestions the authors wants to thank the following colleagues and friends: Dr. Betsy M. Rice, Dr. Brad Forch and Dr. Ed Byrd (US Army Research Laboratory, Aberdeen, MD), Prof. Dr. Manfred Held (EADS, TDW, Schrobenhausen), Dr. Ernst-Christian Koch (NATO MSIAC, Brussels), Dr. Miloslav Krupka (OZM, Czech Republic), Dr. Muhamed Sucesca (Brodarski Institute, Zagreb, Croatia), Prof. Dr. Konstantin Karaghiosoff (LMU Munich), Prof. Dr. Jürgen Evers (LMU Munich), as well as many of the past and present co-workers of the authors research group in Munich without their help this project could not have been completed.

The author is also indebted to and thanks Dipl.-Chem. Norbert Mayr (LMU Munich) for his support with many hard- and soft-ware problems, Ms. Carmen Nowak and Ms. Irene S. Scheckenbach (LMU Munich) for generating many figures and for reading a difficult manuscript. The author particularly wants to thank Dr. Stephanie Dawson (de Gruyter) for the excellent and efficient collaboration.

Munich, July 2009

Thomas M. Klapötke

Contents

1	Introduction	1
1.1	Historical Overview	1
1.2	New Developments	7
1.2.1	Polymer-Bonded Explosives	7
1.2.2	New High (Secondary) Explosives	9
1.2.3	New Primary Explosives	18
1.2.4	New Oxidizers for Solid Rocket Motors	21
1.3	Definitions	24
1.4	Combustion, Deflagration, Detonation – A Short Introduction	28
1.4.1	Fire and Combustion	28
1.4.2	Deflagration and Detonation	31
2	Classification of Energetic Materials	33
2.1	Primary Explosives	33
2.2	High (Secondary) Explosives	35
2.3	Propellant Charges	42
2.4	Rocket Propellants	44
2.4.1	Chemical Thermal Propulsion (CTP)	55
2.5	Pyrotechnics	56
2.5.1	Detonators, Initiators, Delay Compositions and Heat-Generating	
	Pyrotechnics	56
2.5.2	Light-Generating Pyrotechnics	59
2.5.3	Decoy Flares	65
2.5.4	Smoke Munitions	71
2.5.5	Near-Infrared (NIR) Compositions	77
3	Detonation, Detonation Velocity and Detonation Pressure	79
4	Thermodynamics	83
4.1	Theoretical Basis	83
4.2	Computational Methods	89
4.2.1	Thermodynamics	89
4.2.2	Detonation Parameters	92
4.2.3	Combustion Parameters	96
4.2.4	Example: Theoretical Evaluation of New Solid Rocket Propellants	101
4.2.5	Example: EXPLO5 Calculation of the Gun Propellant Properties of	
	Single, Double and Triple Base Propellants	108

5	Initiation	111
6	Experimental Characterization of Explosives	115
6.1	Sensitivities	115
6.2	Long-Term Stabilities	120
63	Insensitive Munitions	122
64	Gan Test	124
6.5	Classification	125
0.5		123
7	Special Aspects of Explosives	129
7.1	Shaped Charges	129
7.2	Detonation Velocities	135
7.3	Gurney Model	140
7.3.1	Example: Calculation of the Gurney Velocity for a General Purpose	
	Bomb	145
8	Correlation between the Electrostatic Potential and the Impact	
0	Sonsitivity	147
Q 1	Electrostatic Dotentials	147
0.1	Volume Deced Consistivities	14/
0.2	volume-Based Sensitivities	130
9	Design of Novel Energetic Materials	153
9.1	Classification	153
9.2	Polynitrogen Compounds	155
9.3	High-Nitrogen Compounds	160
9.3.1	Tetrazole and Dinitramide Chemistry	161
9.3.2	Tetrazole, Tetrazine and Trinitroethyl Chemistry	168
9.3.3	Ionic Liquids	173
9.4	Dinitroguanidine Derivatives	177
9.5	Co-Crystallization	179
9.6	Future Energetics	180
10	Sunthasis of Francetic Materials	105
10 1		185
10.1	Molecular Building Blocks	185
10.2	Nitration Keactions	186
10.3	Processing	191
11	Safe Handling of Energetic Materials in the Laboratory	193
11.1	General	193
11.2	Protective Equipment	194
11.3	Laboratory Equipment	196

12	Energetic Materials of the Future	199
13	Related Topics	205
13.1	Thermobaric Weapons	205
13.2	Agent Defeat Weapons	207
13.3	Nanothermites	209
13.3.1	Example: Iron Oxide/Aluminum Thermite	215
13.3.2	Example: Copper Oxide/Aluminum Thermite	216
13.3.3	Example: Molybdenum Trioxide/Aluminum Thermite	217
13.4	Homemade Explosives	218
14	Study Questions	219
15	Literature	223
16	Appendix	233
Index		247

1 Introduction

1.1 Historical Overview

In this chapter we do not want to be exhaustive in scope, but rather to focus on some of the most important milestones in the chemistry of explosives (Tab. 1.1). The development of energetic materials began with the accidental discovery of **blackpowder** in China (~ 220 BC). In Europe this important discovery remained dormant until the 13th and 14th centuries, when the English monk Roger Bacon (1249) and the German monk Berthold Schwarz (1320) started to research the properties of blackpowder. At the end of the 13th century, blackpowder was finally introduced into the military world. However, it was not until 1425 that Corning greatly improved the production methods and blackpowder (or gunpowder) was then introduced as a propellant charge for smaller and later also for large calibre guns.

The next milestone was the first small-scale synthesis of **nitroglycerine (NG)** by the Italian chemist Ascanio Sobrero (1846). Later, in 1863 Imanuel Nobel and his son Alfred commercialized NG production in a small factory near Stockholm (Tab. 1.1). NG is produced by running highly concentrated, almost anhydrous, and nearly chemically pure glycerine into a highly concentrated mixture of nitric and sulfuric acids (HNO_3/H_2SO_4), while cooling and stirring the mixture efficiently. At the end of the reaction, the nitroglycerine and acid mixture is transferred into a separator, where the NG is separated by gravity. Afterwards, washing processes using water and alkaline soda solution remove any residual acid.

Initially NG was very difficult to handle because of its high impact sensitivity and unreliable initation by blackpowder. Among many other accidents, one explosion in 1864 destroyed the Nobel factory completely, killing Alfred's brother Emil. In the same year, Alfred Nobel invented the metal blasting cap detonator, and replaced blackpowder with **mercury fulminate (MF)**, Hg(CNO)₂. Although the Swedish-German Scientist Johann Kunkel von Löwenstern had described Hg(CNO)₂ as far back as in the 17th century, it did not have any practical application prior to Alfred Nobel's blasting caps. It is interesting to mention that it was not until the year 2007 that the molecular structure of Hg(CNO)₂ was elucidated by the LMU research team (Fig. 1.1) [1, 2]. Literature also reports the thermal transformation of MF, which, according to the below equation, forms a new mercury containing explosive product which is reported to be stable up to 120 °C.

 $3 \text{ Hg}(\text{CNO})_2 \rightarrow \text{Hg}_3(\text{C}_2\text{N}_2\text{O}_2)_3$

2 1 Introduction

substance	acronym	development	application	density/g cm ^{-3}	explosive power ^a
blackpowder	BP	1250-1320	1425-1900	ca. 1.0	
nitroglycerine	NG	1863	in propellant charges	1.60	170
dynamite	Dy	1867	civil/commer- cial only	varies	varies
picric acid	PA	1885-1888	WW I	1.77	100
nitroguanidine	NQ	1877	most in TLPs	1.71	99
trinitrotoluene	TNT	1880	WW I	1.64	116
nitropenta	PETN	1894	WW II	1.77	167
hexogen	RDX	1920-1940	WW II	1.81	169
octogen	HMX	1943	WW II	1.91	169
Ū.				(β polymorph)	
hexanitrostilbene	HNS	1913	1966	1.74	
triaminotrinitro- benzene	TATB	1888	1978	1.93	
HNIW	CL-20	1987	under evaluation	2.1 (ε polymorph)	

Tab. 1.1 Historical overview of some important secondary explosives.

^a rel. to PA



Fig. 1.1 Molecular structure of mercury fulminate, Hg(CNO)₂.

After another devastating explosion in 1866 which completely destroyed the NG factory, Alfred Nobel focused on the safe handling of NG explosives. In order to reduce the sensitivity, Nobel mixed NG (75%) with an absorbent clay called "Kieselguhr" (25%). This mixture called "Guhr Dynamite" was patented in 1867. Despite the great success of dynamite in the civil sector, this formulation has never found significant application or use in the military sector.

One of the great advantages of NG (Fig. 1.2) in comparison to blackpowder $(75\% \text{ KNO}_3, 10\% \text{ S}_8, 15\% \text{ charcoal})$ is that it contains both the fuel and oxidizer



Fig. 1.2 Molecular structures of nitroglycerine (NG) and nitrocellulose (NC).

in the same molecule which guarantees optimal contact between both components, whereas in blackpowder, the oxidizer (KNO₃) and the fuel (S_8 , charcoal) have to be physically mixed.

At the same time as NG was being researched and formulated several other research groups (Schönbein, Basel and Böttger, Frankfurt-am-Main) worked on the nitration of cellulose to produce nitrocellulose (NC). In 1875 Alfred Nobel discovered that when NC is formulated with NG, they form a gel. This gel was further refined to produce blasting gelatine, gelatine dynamite and later in 1888 ballistite (49% NC, 49% NG, 2% benzene and camphor), which was the first smokeless powder. (Cordite which was developed in 1889 in Britain, had a very similar composition.) In 1867 it was proven that mixtures of NG or dynamite and ammonium nitrate (AN) showed enhanced performance. Such mixtures were used in the civil sector. In 1950 manufacturers started to develop explosives which were waterproof and solely contained the less hazardous AN. The most prominent formulation was ANFO (Ammonium Nitrate Fuel Oil) which found extensive use in commercial areas (mining, quarries etc.). Since the 1970s aluminium and monomethylamine were added to such formulations to produce gelled explosives which could detonate more easily. More recent developments include production of emulsion explosives which contain suspended droplets of a solution of AN in oil. Such emulsions are water proof, yet readily detonate because the AN and oil are in direct contact. Generally, emulsion explosives are safer than dynamite and are simple and cheap to produce.

Picric acid (PA) was first reported in 1742 by Glauber, however it was not used as an explosive until the late 19^{th} century (1885–1888), when it replaced blackpowder in nearly all military operations world-wide (Fig. 1.3). PA is prepared best by dissolving phenol in sulfuric acid and the subsequent nitration of the resulting of phenol-2,4-disulfonic acid with nitric acid. The direct nitration of phenol with nitric acid is not possible because the oxidizing HNO₃ decomposes the phenol molecule. Since the sulfonation is reversible, the $-SO_3H$ groups can then be replaced with $-NO_2$ groups by refluxing the disulfonic acid in concentrated nitric acid. In this step the third nitro group is introduced as well. Although pure PA can be handled safely, a disadvantage of PA is its tendency to form impact sensitive metal salts (picrates, primary explosives) when in direct contact with shell walls. PA was used as a grenade and as mine filling.

Tetryl was developed at the end of the 19th century (Fig. 1.3) and represents the first explosive of the nitroamino (short: nitramino) type. Tetryl is best obtained by dissolving monomethylaniline in sulfuric acid and then pouring the solution intro nitric acid, while cooling the process.

The above mentioned disadvantages of PA are overcome by the introduction of **trinitrotoluene (TNT)**. Pure 2,4,6-TNT was first prepared by Hepp (Fig. 1.3) and its structure was determined by Claus and Becker in 1883. In the early 20th century TNT almost completely replaced PA and became the standard explosive during WW I. TNT is produced by the nitration of toluene with mixed nitric and sulfuric acid. For military purposes TNT must be free of any isomer other than the 2,4,6-



Fig. 1.3 Molecular structures of picric acid (PA), tetryl, trinitrotoluene (TNT), Nitroguanidine (NQ), pentaerythritol tetranitrate (PETN), hexogen (RDX), octogen (HMX), hexanitrostilbene (HNS) and triaminotrinitrobenzene (TATB).

isomer. This is achieved by recrystallization from organic solvents or from 62 % nitric acid. TNT is still one of the most important explosives for blasting charges today. Charges are produced through casting and pressing. However, cast charges of TNT often show sensitivity issues and do not comply with the modern insensitive munition requirements (IM). For this reason alternatives to TNT have been suggested. One of these replacements for TNT is NTO (filler) combined with 2,4-dinitroanisole (DNAN, binder).

Nitroguanidine (NQ) was first prepared by Jousselin in 1887 (Fig. 1.3). However, during WW I and WW II it only found limited use, for example in formulations

with AN in grenades for mortars. In more recent days NQ has been used as a component in triple-base propellants together with NC and NG. One advantage of the triple-base propellants is that unlike double-base propellants the muzzle flash is reduced. The introduction of about 50% of NQ to a propellant composition also results in a reduction of the combustion temperature and consequently reduced erosion and increased lifetime of the gun. NQ can be prepared from dicyandiamide and ammonium nitrate via guanidinium nitrate which is dehydrated with sulfuric acid under the formation of NQ:

$$\begin{array}{c} H_2 N \\ H_2 N \\ H_2 N \end{array} \xrightarrow{(N+_4NO_3)} C(NH_2)_3^{\oplus} NO_3^{\ominus} \xrightarrow{(H_2SO_4)} H_2 N - C(NH_2)_{N-NO_2}^{\Theta} \\ \end{array}$$

The most widely used explosives in WW II other than TNT were hexogen (RDX) and pentaerythritol tetranitrate (nitropenta, PETN) (Fig. 1.3). Since PETN is more sensitive and chemically less stable than RDX, RDX was (and is) the most commonly used high explosive. PETN is a powerful high explosive and has a great shattering effect (brisance). It is used in grenades, blasting caps, detonation cords and boosters. PETN is not used in its pure form because it is too sensitive. A formulation of 50 % TNT and 50 % PETN is used to form polymer bonded explosives (PBX). The military application of PETN has largely been replaced by RDX. PETN is prepared by introducing pentaerythritol into concentrated nitric acid while cooling and stirring the mixture efficiently. The then formed bulk of PETN erystallizes out of the acid solution. The solution is then diluted to about 70% HNO₃ in order to precipitate the remaining product. The washed crude product is purified by recrystallization from acetone.

Hexogen (RDX) was first prepared in 1899 by Henning for medicinal use. (*N.B.* NG and PETN are also used in medicine to treat angina pectoris. The principal action of these nitrate esters is vasodilation (i.e. widening of the blood vessels). This effect arises because in the body the nitrate esters are converted to nitric oxide (NO) by mitochondrial aldehyde dehydrogenase, and nitric oxide is a natural vasodilator.) In 1920 Herz prepared RDX for the first time by the direct nitration of hexamethylene tetramine. Shortly afterwards Hale (Picatinny Arsenal, NJ) developed a process that formed RDX in 68% yield. The two processes most widely used in WW II were

- 1. the Bachmann process (KA process) in which hexamethylene tetramine dinitrate reacts with AN and a small amount of nitric acid in an acetic anhydride medium to form RDX (type B RDX). The yields are high, however, 8-12% of HMX form as a side product.
- 2. the Brockman process (type A RDX) essentially produces pure RDX.

After WW II octogen (HMX) started to become available. Until today, most high explosive compositions for military use are based on TNT, RDX and HMX (Tab. 1.2).

6 1 Introduction

name	composition
Composition A	88.3 % RDX, 11.7 % non-energetic plasticizers
Composition B	60 % RDX, 39 % TNT, 1 % binder (wax)
Composition C4	90 % RDX, 10 % polyisobutylene
octol	75 % HMX, 25 % RDX
torpex ^a	42 % RDX, 40 % TNT, 18 % aluminum
PBXN-109	64 % RDX, 20 % aluminum, 16 % binder
OKFOL	96.5% HMX, 3.5% wax

Tab. 1.2 Composition of some high explosive formulations.

^a An Australian improved development of torpex is known under the name H6 and also contains hexogen (RDX), trinitrotoluene (TNT) and aluminum. H6 was used as a high explosive formulation in the MOAB bomb (Massive Ordnance Air Blast bomb). MOAB (also known as GBU-43/B) is with a load of approx. 9500 kg high explosive formulation one of the largest conventional bombs ever used.

Since 1966 hexanitrostilbene (HNS) and since 1978 triaminotrinitrobenzene (TATB) are produced commercially (Fig. 1.3). Both secondary explosives show excellent thermal stabilities and are therefore of great interest for the NAVY (fuel fires) and for hot deep oil drilling applications (Fig. 1.3). Especially HNS is known as a heatand radiation-resistant explosive which is used in heat-resistant explosives in the oil industry. The brisance of HNS is lower than that of RDX, but the melting point of approx. 320 °C is much higher. HNS can directly be prepared from trini-trotoluene through oxidation with sodium hypochlorite in a methanol/THF solution:

$$2 C_6H_2(NO_2)_3CH_3 + 2 NaOCl \rightarrow C_6H_2(NO_2)_3-CH=CH-C_6H_2(NO_2)_3 + 2 H_2O + 2 NaCl$$

TATB is obtained from trichloro benzene by nitration followed by a reaction of the formed trichlorotrinitro benzene with ammonia gas in benzene or xylene solution.

As shown above, the number of chemical compounds which have been used for high explosive formulations until after WW II is relatively small (Tab. 1.1 and 1.2). As we can also see from Table 1.1 and 1.2 the best performing high explosives (RDX and HMX; TNT is only used because of its melt-cast applications) possess relatively high densities and contain oxidizer (nitro and nitrato groups) and fuel (C—H back bone) combined in one and the same molecule. One of the most powerful new high explosive is **CL-20** which was first synthesized in 1987 by the Naval Air Warfare Center (NAWF) China Lake (Fig. 1.7, Tab. 1.1). CL-20 is a cage compound with significant cage strain which also contains nitramine groups as oxidizers and possesses a density of about 2 g cm⁻³. This already explains the better performance in comparison with RDX and HMX. However, due to the relatively high sensitivity of the (desirable) ε polymorph as well as possible phase transition problems and high production costs so far CL-20's wide and general application has not been established.

1.2 New Developments

1.2.1 Polymer-Bonded Explosives

Since about 1950 polymer-bonded (or plastic-bonded) explosives (PBX) have been developed in order to reduce sensivity and to facilitate safe and easy handling. PBX also show improved processibility and mechanical properties. In such materials the crystalline explosive is embedded in a rubber-like polymeric matrix. One of the most prominent examples of a PBX is **Semtex**. Semtex was invented in 1966 by Stanislav Brebera, a chemist who worked for VCHZ Synthesia in Semtin (hence the name Semtex), a suburb of Pardubice in the Czech Republic. Semtex consists of varying ratios of PETN and RDX. Usually polyisobutylene is used for the polymeric matrix, and phthalic acid n-octylester is the plasticizer. Other polymer matrices which have been introduced are polyurethane, polyvinyl alcohol, PTFE (teflon), Viton, Kel-F and various polyesters.

Often, however, problems can arise when combining the polar explosive (RDX) with the non-polar polymeric binder (*e.g.* polybutadiene or polypropylene). In order to overcome such problems, additives are used to facilitate mixing and intermolecular interactions. One of such polar additives is dantacol (DHE) (Fig. 1.4).



Fig. 1.4 Structure of Dantacol (DHE).

One disadvantage of the polymer-bonded explosives of the first generation, is that the non-energetic binder (polymer) and plasticizer lessened the performance. To overcome this problem energetic binders and plasticizers have been developed. The most prominent examples for **energetic binders** are (Fig. 1.5, a):

- poly-GLYN, poly(glycidyl)nitrate
- poly-NIMMO, poly(3-nitratomethyl-3-methyl-oxetane)
- GAP, glycidylazide polymer
- poly-AMMO, poly(3-azidomethyl-3-methyl-oxetane),
- poly-BAMO, poly(3,3-bis-azidomethyl-oxetane).

Examples for energetic plasticizers are (Fig. 1.5, b):

- NENA derivatives, alkylnitratoethylnitramine,
- EGDN, ethyleneglycoldinitrate,
- MTN, metrioltrinitrate,
- BTTN, butane-1,2,4-trioltrinitrate.



Fig. 1.5 Energetic binders (a) and energetic plasticizers (b). Synthesis of the NENA compound, ANTTO (c).

1.2.2 New High (Secondary) Explosives

New secondary explosives which are currently under research, development or testing include 5-nitro-1,2,4-triazol-3-one (NTO), 1,3,3-trinitroazetidine (TNAZ), hexanitrohexaazaisowurtzitane (HNIW, CL-20) and octanitrocubane (ONC) (Fig. 1.7). **NTO** has already found application as a very insensitive compound in gas generators for automobile inflatable air bags and in some polymer-bonded explosive formulations. (*N.B.* Initially NaN₃ was used in air bag systems, however, nowadays guanidinium nitrate is often used in combination with oxidizers such as AN in some non-azide automotive inflators. It is used to enhance burning at low flame temperatures. Low flame temperatures are desired in order to reduce the formation of NO_x gasses in inflators.) NTO is usually produced in a two-step process from semicarbazide hydrochloride with formic acid via the intermediate formation of 1,2,4-triazol-5-one (TO) and subsequent nitration with 70 % nitric acid:



TNAZ was first synthesized in 1983 and has a strained four-membered ring backbone with both C-nitro and nitramine $(N-NO_2)$ functionalities. There are various routes that yield TNAZ all of which consist of several reaction steps. One possible synthesis of TNAZ is shown in Figure 1.6. It starts from epichlorohydrine and 'Bu-amine. As far as the author of this book is aware, there has been no wide-spread use for TNAZ so far.

CL-20 (1987, A. Nielsen) and ONC (1997, Eaton) are without doubt the most prominent recent explosives based on molecules with considerable cage-strain.



Fig. 1.6 Synthesis of 1,3,3-trinitroazetidine (TNAZ).



Fig. 1.7 Molecular structures of 5-nitro-1,2,4-triazol-3-one (NTO), 1,3,3-trinitroazetidine (TNAZ), hexanitrohexaazaisowurtzitane (CL-20), octanitrocubane (ONC) and 4,10-dinitro-2,6,8,12-tetraoxa-4,10-diazaisowurtzitane (TEX).



Fig. 1.8 Synthesis of hexanitrohexaazaisowurtzitane (CL-20).

While CL-20 is now already produced in 100 kg quantities (e.g. by SNPE, France or Thiokol, USA) on industrial pilot scale plants, ONC is only available on a mg to g scale because of its very difficult synthesis. Despite the great enthusiasm for CL-20 since its discovery over 20 years ago it has to be mentioned that even today most of the high explosive formulations are based on RDX (see Tab. 1.2). There are several reasons why CL-20 despite its great performance has not yet been introduced successfully:

- CL-20 is much more expensive than the relatively cheap RDX.
- CL-20 has some sensitivity issues (see insentitive munitions).
- CL-20 exists in several polymorphic forms and the desired ε polymorph (because of its high density and detonation velocity) is thermodynamically not the most stable one.

Interconversion of the ε form into a more stable but perhaps also more sensitive other polymorph would result in a loss of performance and an increase in sentitivity.



Fig. 1.9 Molecular structures of FOX-7 and FOX-12 (a). Two alternative synthetic routes for the synthesis of FOX-7 (b).

CL-20 is obtained by the condension of glyoxal with benzylamine in an acid catalyzed reaction to yield hexabenzylhexaaxaisowurtzitane (Fig. 1.8). Afterwards the benzyl groups are replaced under reducing conditions (Pd-C catalyst) by easily removable acetyl substituents. Nitration to form CL-20 takes place in the final reaction step.

Another very insensitive high explosive which is structurally closely related to CL-20 is 4,10-dinitro-2,6,8,12-tetraoxa-4,10-diazaisowurtzitane (**TEX**, see Fig. 1.7), which was first described by Ramakrishnan and his co-workers in 1990. It displays one of the highest densities of all nitramines (2.008 g cm⁻³) [1c].

	FOX-7	FOX-12	RDX	
detonation pressure, p _{C-J} /kbar	340	260	347	
detonation velocity, $D/m s^{-1}$	8870	7900	8750	
impact sensitivity/J	25	> 90	7.5	
friction sensitivity/N	> 350	> 352	120	
ESD/J	ca. 4.5	> 3	0.2	

Tab. 1.3 Characteristic performance and sensitivity data of FOX-7 and FOX-12 in comparison with RDX.



Fig. 1.10 DSC-Plot of FOX-7.

The chemist N. Latypov of the Swedish defense agency FOI developed and synthesized two other new energetic materials. These two compounds have become known as **FOX-7** and **FOX-12** (Fig. 1.9, a). FOX-7 or DADNE (diamino dinitro ethene) is the covalent molecule 1,1-diamino-2,2-dinitro ethene: $(O_2N)_2C=C(NH_2)_2$. The synthesis of FOX-7 always includes several reaction steps. Two alternative ways to prepare FOX-7 are shown in Figure 1.9 (b). FOX-12 or GUDN (guanylurea dinitramide) is the dinitramide of guanylurea: $[H_2N-C(=NH_2)-NH-C(O)-NH_2]^+[N(NO_2)_2]^-$.

It is interesting that FOX-7 has the same C/H/N/O ratio as RDX or HMX. Although neither FOX-7 nor (and in particular not) FOX-12 meet RDX in terms of performance (detonation velocity and detonation pressure). Both compounds are much less sensitive than RDX and might be of interest due to their insensitive munition (IM) properties. Table 1.3 shows the most characteristic performance and sensitivity data of FOX-7 and FOX-12 in comparison with RDX.

FOX-7 exists in at least three different polymorphic forms (a, β and γ). The a modification converts reversibly into the β form at 389 K (Fig. 1.10) [2]. At 435 K the β polymorph converts into the γ phase and this interconversion is not reversible. The γ form can be quenched at 200 K. When heated the γ form decomposes at



Fig. 1.11 Crystalline packing of *a*- FOX-7 (a), β - FOX-7 (b) and γ -FOX-7 (c).



Fig. 1.12 Molecular structures of dinitroglycoluril (DINGU) and the corresponding tetramine (SORGUYL).



Fig. 1.13 Synthesis of LLM-105 starting from 2,6-dichloropyrazine. 3,5-dinitro-2,6-diaminopyrazine is oxidized to 3,5-dinitro-2,6-pyrazinediamine 1-oxide (LLM-105) in the final step.

504 K. Structurally, the three polymorphs are closely related and quite similar, with the planarity of the individual FOX-7 layers increasing from $a \operatorname{via} \beta$ to γ (i.e. γ possesses the most planar layers) (Fig. 1.11).

Another member of the family of nitramine explosives is the compound dinitroglycoluril (DINGU) which was first reported as early as 1888. The reaction between glyoxal (O=CH-CH=O) and urea yields glycoluril which can be nitrated with 100% nitric acid to produce DINGU. Further nitration with a mixture of HNO₃/N₂O₅ yields the corresponding tetramine SORGUYL. The latter compound is of interest because of its high density (2.01 g cm⁻³) and its high detonation velocity (9150 m s⁻¹) (Fig. 1.12). SORGUYL belongs to the class of cyclic dinitroureas. These compounds generally show a higher hydrolytic activity and may therefore be of interest as "self-remediating" energetic materials.

A new neutral nitrimino-functionalized high explosive which was first mentioned in 1951 (*J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **1951**, 73, 4443) and which was recently suggested as a RDX replacement in C4 and Comp.B by Damavarapu (ARDEC) is bisnitraminotriazinone (DNAM). This compound has a melting point of 228 °C and a remarkably high density of 1.998 g/cc. Due to the high density and the not too negative enthalpy of formation ($\Delta H_{f}^{\circ} = -111 \text{ kJ mol}^{-1}$) DNAM has a detonation velocity



Fig. 1.13a Synthesis of DNAM.

of 9200 m s⁻¹ but still desirably low sensitivities (IS = 82.5 cm, FS = 216 N, ESD = 0.25 J). The synthesis of DNAM can be achieved in 50-60% yield by nitration of melamine using in-situ generated AcONO₂ as the effective nitrating agent (Fig. 1.13a) or by direct nitration of melamine. One possible concern about DNAM is that the compound hydrolyzes rapidly at 80° with liberation of nitrous oxide. At room temperature, the hydrolysis requires one to two days and is acid catalyzed.

The reaction of DNAM with NaHCO₃, CsOH and Sr(OH)₂ \cdot 8 H₂O yields the corresponding mono-deprotonated salts NaDNAM, CsDNAM and Sr(DNAM)₂, respectively.

Pyrazine derivatives are six-membered heterocyclic compounds containing two nitrogen atoms in the ring system. As high-nitrogen heterocylic compounds, they have an ideal structure for energetic materials (EMs). Some of them have a high formation enthalpy, fine thermal stability and good safety characteristics. The basic structure of energetic pyrazine compounds is that of 3,5-dinitro-2,6-diaminopyrazine (I in Fig. 1.13). One of the most prominent members in this family is the 1-oxide 3,5-dinitro-2,6-pyrazinediamine 1-oxide (also known as **LLM-105**, Fig. 1.13). LLM-105 has a high density of 1.92 g cm⁻³ and it shows a detonation velocity of 8730 m s⁻¹ and a detonation pressure of 359 kbar which are comparable to those of RDX (density = 1.80 g cm^{-3} , exptl. values: VoD = 8750, P_{C-J} = 347 kbar). LLM-105 is a lot less impact sensitive than RDX and is not sensitive towards electrostatics and friction [1d].

Another N-oxide which has recently been suggested by Chavez et al. (LANL) as an insensitive high explosive is 3,3' diaminoazoxy furazan (DAAF). Though the detonation velocity and detonation pressure of DAAF are rather low (7930 m s⁻¹, 306 kbar @ 1.685 g/cc), the low sensitivity (IS > 320 cm, FS > 360 N) and a critical diameter of < 3 mm make this compound promising. The synthesis of DAAF is shown in Fig 1.13b.

There are various methods to prepare LLM-105. Most methods start from commercially available 2,6-dichloropyrazine (Fig. 1.13) and oxidize dinitropyrazinediamine in the final step to the 1-oxide (LLM-105).

Organic peroxides are another class of explosives which has been researched recently. This class of explosives (organic, covalent peroxides) includes the following compounds: