Holger Patzel Thomas Brenne *Editor*.

Handbook of <mark>Bioentr</mark>epreneurship





Handbook of Bioentrepreneurship

International Handbook Series on Entrepreneurship

VOLUME 4

Series Editors

Zoltan J. Acs, George Mason University, U.S.A.

David B. Audretsch, Max Planck Institute of Economics, Jena, Germany, and Indiana University, U.S.A.

SERIES FORWARD

Interest in entrepreneurship has surged in the last decade. Scholars across a broad spectrum of fields and disciplines have responded by generating new research approaches uncovering a wealth of new findings and insights about entrepreneurship. This new research spans not just a diverse set of fields, such as management, finance, psychology, economics, sociology, and geography but also a wide range of countries reflecting the fact that entrepreneurship is a global phenomenon. The exceptionally cross-disciplinary nature of entrepreneurship has made it difficult for scholars in any one particular field to become aware of and understand the leading contributions and insights emerging in other disciplines. The purpose of this series is to compile a series of handbooks, each devoted to a particular issue in the entrepreneurship. Each handbook will draw upon the leading international scholars from the entire range of disciplines contributing to entrepreneurship to articulate the state of knowledge about a particular topic. The contribution should identify the fundamental questions, which are being posed, the methodological approaches, types of data bases used for empirical analyses, the most important empirical regularities to emerge in the literature, major policy conclusions, and the most promising research direction. Thus, each handbook will reflect the interdisciplinary nature of entrepreneurship that has proven to be elusive to discipline-based scholars. A goal of the International Handbook Series on Entrepreneurship is not only to provide a state-of-the-art coverage of what has been learned about entrepreneurship, but that when viewed in its entirety, entrepreneurship is emerging as a bona fide academic discipline.

The particular topics in the Series will be drawn from discussions with the leading scholars. Each handbook will be directed and compiled by a Handbook Editor. (S)he will work closely with the Series Editors to ensure that the contents and contributions are appropriate, and that there is consistency with the other volumes in the Series.

The titles published in this series are listed at the end of this volume.

Holger Patzelt Thomas Brenner Editors

Handbook of Bioentrepreneurship



Editors
Holger Patzelt
Max Planck Institute of Economics
07745 Jena
Germany
Patzelt@econ.mpg.de

Dr. Thomas Brenner Philipps University Marburg Marburg Germany brenner@mpiew-jena.mpg.de

Series Editors Zoltan J. Acs George Mason University Fairfax, VA USA

David B. Audretsch Max Planck Institute of Economics Jena Germany

and

Indiana University Indiana USA

ISBN: 978-0-387-48343-6 e-ISBN: 978-0-387-48345-0

DOI: 10.1007/978-0-387-48345-0

Library of Congress Control Number: 2008926123

© 2008 Springer Science+Business Media, LLC

All rights reserved. This work may not be translated or copied in whole or in part without the written permission of the publisher (Springer Science+Business Media, LLC, 233 Spring Street, New York, NY 10013, USA), except for brief excerpts in connection with reviews or scholarly analysis. Use in connection with any form of information storage and retrieval, electronic adaptation, computer software, or by similar or dissimilar methodology now known or hereafter developed is forbidden. The use in this publication of trade names, trademarks, service marks, and similar terms, even if they are not identified as such, is not to be taken as an expression of opinion as to whether or not they are subject to proprietary rights.

Printed on acid-free paper

9 8 7 6 5 4 3 2 1

springer.com

Contents

Part I

1	Introduction to the Handbook of Bioentrepreneurship	1
	Holger Patzelt and Thomas Brenner	
	References	6
2	Biotechnology Dynamics at the Global Scale	7
	Philip Cooke	
	2.1 Introduction.	7
	2.2 The Global Health Care Market in Relation to Biotechnology	
	2.3 Global Bioregions	
	2.4 The World Leaders in Brief: Top Bioclusters in the USA	
	2.5 Comparison of UK and German Clusters	
	2.6 Bioregions and Their Key Characteristics Elsewhere	23
	2.6.1 Economic Geography of Clusters Spawned by	
	Pharmaceuticals Firms	
	2.6.2 The Research and DBF-led Clusters in Israel and Canada	
	2.7 Conclusions	31
	References	32
3	Geographic Clustering in Biotechnology: Social Networks and Firm	
	Foundings	35
	Dirk Fornahl and Olav Sorenson	
	3.1 Introduction	
	3.2 Social Networks and Geographic Proximity	
	3.3 Social Networks and Entrepreneurship	
	3.3.1 Opportunity Perception	
	3.3.2 Intellectual Capital	
	3.3.3 Human Capital	
	3.3.4 Financial Capital	
	3.4 Implications and Evidence	
	3.5 Policy Implications	
	3.6 Summary and Outlook	
	References	49

4 Innovation Networks in Biotechnology	53
Pier Paolo Saviotti and David Catherine	
4.1 Introduction.	
4.2 The Nature of Innovation Networks	
4.2.1 The Entry of New Firms	
4.2.2 Biotechnology	
4.2.3 The Changing Role of Universities	
4.2.4 Theories of Industrial Organization	
4.2.5 Innovation Networks	
4.3 Recent Developments in Network Dynamics	
4.4 Summary and Conclusions	
References	78
Part II	
5 Strategy and Strategic Thinking in Biotechnology Entrepreneurship Alan L. Carsrud, Malin Brännback and Maija Renko	83
5.1 Introduction.	83
5.2 Sector Development Review	
5.3 Innovation in Biotechnology	
5.4 Theoretical Bases for Entrepreneurial Biotechnology	
5.5 Strategic Management, Entrepreneurship, and Biotechnology	
5.6 Strategy Components in Early-Stage Biotechnology Firms	
5.6.1 Proactiveness	
5.6.2 Fit	
5.6.3 Reactiveness	
5.6.4 Traditions and History	
5.7 Discussion and Conclusions	
References.	
6 Research on Strategic Alliances in Biotechnology:	
An Assessment and Review	105
Maxim Sytch and Philipp Bubenzer	
6.1 Introduction	105
6.2 Why and with Whom Do Biotechnology Firms Form Alliances?	
6.2.1 Access to Knowledge and Other Complementary Resources	
6.2.2 Pursuit of Legitimacy	
6.2.3 Choosing Partners	
6.3 Governance of Alliances	
6.4 Consequences of Alliances	
6.4.1 Consequences of Accessing Knowledge and Other	
Complementary Resources	116
6.4.2 Consequences of Enhancing Legitimacy	
6.4.3 Taking Off the Rose-Colored Glasses: Alliances as Relational	
Liability	121
6.5 Future Research	
References	

7 Mergers and Acquisitions in the Biotechnology Industry	133
Lars Schweizer and Dodo zu Knyphausen-Aufsess	
7.1 Introduction	
7.2 Development of the Biotechnology Industry and the Need for M&A	
7.2.1 The Development of the Biotechnology Industry from a Scien	
Perspective	134
7.2.2 The Development of the Biotechnology Industry from an	105
Organizational Perspective	
7.2.3 The Development of the Biotechnology Industry from a Finan	
Perspective	
7.3 Layers of M&A Activities	
7.3.1 M&A Activities Among Biotechnology Companies	138
7.3.2 M&A Activities Between Pharmaceutical and Biotechnology	
Companies	
7.4 Summary and Future Research	
References	143
8 Synergy, Strategy and Serendipity: Kirin Brewery's Entry into	
Biopharmaceuticals	1/10
Michael J. Lynskey	17/
8.1 Introduction	149
8.2 The Locus of Entrepreneurship	
8.3 Background	
8.4 Synergy	
8.4.1 Opportunity Recognition	
8.4.2 Early Efforts with Erythropoietin	
8.4.3 Importance of Tacit Knowledge	
8.4.4 Scientific Gatekeepers and Academic Collaboration	157
8.5 Serendipity	
8.5.1 Origins of the Alliance with Amgen	
8.5.2 Structure of the Joint Venture	
8.5.3 Kirin's Contributions and Manufacturing Scale-Up	
8.5.4 Outcome of the Joint Venture	
8.5.5 Key Success Factors	
8.6 Strategy	
8.6.1 Targeting Niche Therapeutic Fields	
8.6.2 Cell Therapy and Stem Cell Research	
8.6.3 Monoclonal Antibodies and the Transgenic Mouse	
8.7 Conclusions	
References	
References	1 / ¬
Part III	
9 A Survey Review of University Biotechnology and Entrepreneurship	
Commercialization	179
David B. Audretsch, T. Taylor Aldridge and Marcus Perry	
9.1 Introduction	179

	9.2 Commercialization of Science and Entrepreneurial Choice	180
	9.3 Scientist Biotech Entrepreneurship	183
	9.4 Conclusions.	
	References	
10	University-Based Biotechnology Spin-Offs	193
	Amalya L. Oliver	
	10.1 Introduction.	
	10.1.1 The Context of the Biotechnology Industry	
	10.1.2 Entrepreneurial Universities	
	10.2 Scientific Entrepreneurship	
	10.2.1 Changes in Academic Science	
	10.3 University Spin-Offs and University-Based Spin-Offs	
	10.3.1 University-Based Spin-Offs as a New Organizational Form	
	10.3.2 Levels of Analysis of USOs and USBOs	
	10.3.3 A Life Cycle Approach to USOs	
	10.4 Integration, Complexities and New Research Directions	
	References	207
Pa	nrt IV	
11	Patenting Biotechnology	211
11	Saradindu Bhaduri	411
	11.1 Introduction	211
	11.2 Biotechnology Industry: Meaning and Scope	
	11.2.1 Genetic Engineering: Basic Features	
	11.2.2 Genetic Engineering: Continuity or Discontinuity?	
	11.3 Patents: An Overview	
	11.3.1 Basic Issues.	
	11.3.2 Evolution of Patents in Biotechnology	
	11.4 Patenting Biotechnology: Implications	
	11.4.1 Patenting Life Forms and 'Ordre Public': Basic Issues	
	and Inter-country Comparison	222
	11.4.2 Implications of Patent Length	
	11.4.3 Implications of Patent Scope	
	11.4.4 Benefit Sharing: 'Bio-Diversity' vis-à-vis 'Indigenous Science'	238
	11.5 Conclusion	
	References	241
12	Legal Frameworks and Public Support in the Biotechnology Industry Dirk Engel and Oliver Heneric	249
	•	249
	12.2 Legal Framework and Public Support: Rationales and Economic	∠┭ァ
		250
	12.2.1 Rationales for Public Support and a Legal Framework	
	12.2.2 The Impact of Public R&D Funding: Empirical Findings	
	12.2.3 Evidence Concerning the Impact of Legal Framework Changes.	

12.3 Legal Framework Changes in Germany 12.4 An Empirical Assessment of the Contribution of Legal	230
Framework Change	266
12.5 Future Research and Concluding Remarks	
References	272
Index	275

List of Contributors

T.T. Aldridge

Max Planck Institute of Economics Kahlaische Str. 10 07745 Jena Germany

D.B. Audretsch

Max Planck Institute of Economics Kahlaische Str. 10 07745 Jena Germany

S. Bhaduri

Centre for Studies in Science Policy Jawaharlal Nehru University New Delhi India

M. Brännback

Eugenio Pino and Family Global Entrepreneurship Center Florida International University 11110 Southwest 11th Street, University Park – VH 130 Miami, FL, USA

T. Brenner

Philipps University Marburg Deutschhausstr. 10 35032 Marburg Germany

P. Bubenzer

WHU – Otto Beisheim School of Management Burgplatz 2 56179 Vallendar Germany

A.L. Carsrud

Eugenio Pino and Family Global Entrepreneurship Center Florida International University 11110 Southwest 11th Street, University Park – VH 130 Miami, FL USA

D. Catherine

Grenoble Ecole de Management

12 rue Pierre Sémard - BP 127

38003 GRENOBLE Cedex 01

France

P. Cooke

Centre for Advanced Studies and Centre for Economic and Social Analysis of Genomics (CESAGen)

Cardiff University

44-45 Park Place, Cathays Park, Cardiff CF10 3BB

UK

D. Engel

Rheinisch-Westfälisches Institut fuer Wirtschaftsforschung (RWI)

Hohenzollernstr 1-3

45128 Essen

Germany

D. Fornahl

Institut für Wirtschaftspolitik und Wirtschaftsforschung

Universität Karlsruhe (TH)

Kaiserstraße 12, 76128 Karlsruhe

Germany

O. Heneric

Center for European Economic Research (ZEW)

L 7, 1

68161 Mannheim

Germany

M.J. Lvnskev

Institute of Innovation Research

Hitotsubashi University

2-1 Naka, Kunitachi

Tokyo 186-8603

Japan

A.L. Oliver

Department of Sociology and Anthropology

The Hebrew University of Jerusalem, Mt. Scopus

Jerusalem 91905

Israel

H. Patzelt

Max Plank Institute of Economics

Kahlaische Str 10

07745 Jena

Germany

M. Perry

School of Public and Environmental Affairs Indiana University Bloomington USA

M Renko

Eugenio Pino and Family Global Entrepreneurship Center Florida International University 11110 Southwest 11th Street, University Park – VH 130 Miami, FL USA

P.P. Saviotti

UMR GAEL, Universitè Pierre Mendès-France BP 47 38040 Grenoble Cedex 9, and GREDEG CNRS, I2C, 250 rue Albert Einstein 06560 Valbonne France

L. Schweizer

Johann Wolfgang Goethe-University Frankfurt am Main Mertonstr. 17 60325 Frankfurt am Main Germany

O. Sorenson

Rotman School of Management University of Toronto 105 St. George Street, Toronto M5S 3E6 Canada

M. Sytch

Kellogg School of Management Northwestern University 2001 Sheridan Rd., Leverone 395 Evanston, IL 60201 USA

D. Zu Knyphausen-Aufsess

Otto-Friedrich University of Bamberg Feldkirchenstr. 21 96045 Bamberg Germany

1 Introduction to the Handbook of Bioentrepreneurship

Holger Patzelt¹ and Thomas Brenner^{1,2}

Biotechnology is one of *the* most booming industries at the beginning of the twenty-first century. According to the 2006 Annual Biotechnology Report of Ernst & Young (one of the leading industry observers), the world's publicly traded biotech companies had revenues greater than US \$70 billion in 2006, representing growth rates of 22% in Canada, 14% in the USA, and 14% in Europe, as compared with those in 2005. Moreover, biotech companies raised more than US \$27 billion at the global capital markets, an annual growth of 42%. The average premium pharmaceutical incumbents paid for acquiring biotech firms with values more than US \$500 million was 60%. Biotech firms had 36 new drugs approved in the USA alone. Glen Giovannetti, Ernst & Young's Global Biotechnology Leader, concludes: "The industry in the US has never been stronger and we're seeing its success story spreading to other parts of the world – particularly Europe" (Ernst & Young 2007).

These numbers are even more impressive when we consider that the biotech industry is only 30 years old. Genentech, which is often referred to as the first modern biotech firm, was founded in 1976 in California. Genentech used recombinant DNA technology, a radically new biotechnological technique to genetically modify living organisms, to produce human insulin, which, after market introduction by its pharmaceutical partner Eli Lilly in 1982, formed the basis of Genentech's overwhelming economic success. Motivated by this success, people founded thousands of bioentrepreneurial ventures in the USA in the 1980s. The European biotech industry is even younger than the US sector because governmental regulations, missing societal acceptance, and an insufficiently developed capital market made it difficult for bioentrepreneurs in most countries to start a new firm before the 1990s. Thus, most biotech firms are still entrepreneurial ventures. For example, although the US sector is the most developed biotech industry worldwide, less than one quarter of all the 1,400 US biotech firms are traded at the stock markets. In Europe, only about 100 of more

¹Max Planck Institute of Economics, Jena, Germany ²Philipps University Marburg, Marburg, Germany

than 1,800 biotech firms are publicly traded, and the average firm employees are less than 60 people (Ernst & Young 2003a, 2003b).

Although the development of the US industry and the individual firms such as Genentech demonstrate that biotechnology can be an extremely successful business, starting a biotech venture is among the most complex entrepreneurial tasks. Biotechnology firms are characterized by high knowledge intensity, long product development cycles, high technological and market uncertainties, and an extraordinary need for capital. For example, the development of biopharmaceutical drugs takes, on an average, more than 12 years and several hundreds of millions of US dollars (DiMasi et al. 2003; Kellog and Charnes 2000), and only one of 5,000 initial drug candidates reaches market launch (Evans and Varaiya 2003). Thus, particularly in the case of drug development, biotechnology is an extremely risky and money-consuming business, and many bioentrepreneurial firms fail before they bring any product to the market. Indeed, most existing biotech ventures do not earn any profits yet, and the sector as a whole is still not profitable (Ernst & Young 2007).

The extraordinary success potential on one hand and the high failure rates of bioentrepreneurial firms on the other hand make biotechnology a fascinating field of study for academic research, and the sector has attracted considerable scholarly attention. For example, management researchers have analyzed the success factors and strategies of bioentrepreneurial ventures; sociologists have studied the extensive web of collaborations between biotech firms, universities, and incumbent companies; and scholars from the disciplines of economics and political sciences have identified regional and political factors that promote or hinder the development of a local biotech industry. Indeed, biotechnology appears to be one of the most researched industries over the last decade. For instance, a Business Source Premier search for publications that match the word biotechnology and appeared in scholarly, peer-reviewed journals yielded more than 3,200 articles. While these articles have advanced our understanding of bioentrepreneurship at the firm, industry, and regional level considerably, the pure amount of research that has been conducted to date demonstrates that, particularly for scholars new to the field of bioentrepreneurship, it is difficult to gain an overview and understanding of what has been researched and within which discipline, where the field stands, and what the challenges are for the going forward researchers focusing on this fascinating industry.

Based on these observations, the purpose of the *Handbook of Bioentre-preneurship* is twofold. First, it provides an overview of the current state of the academic field. It was our goal to bring leading bioentrepreneurship researchers from various disciplines together and have them review and summarize past research in their fields. Second, based on these reviews, the scholars identify important gaps in our knowledge and suggest avenues how these gaps may be filled in by future bioentrepreneurship research. Thus, this book is not so much a guide for practitioners, but is mainly addressed to academic researchers. Since the efficient generation of new knowledge requires building on existing knowledge (Kuhn 1974), we expect that the book will not only help to inform those who have a general interest in academic bioentrepreneurship research, but will also serve as