

# INTERNATIONAL AND DOMESTIC ARBITRATION IN SWITZERLAND

BERNHARD BERGER  
FRANZ KELLERHALS

FOURTH EDITION



Stämpfli Publishers



NOMIKI BIBLIOTHIKI

This standard work is one of the leading authorities on Swiss arbitration law. The fully revised and supplemented Fourth Edition provides up-to-date information on the law and practice of international and domestic arbitration in Switzerland, including on the recent revision of Chapter 12 PILA in 2020.

The book provides a comprehensive analysis of all relevant aspects of arbitration, including the concept of arbitration, the sources of arbitration, arbitrability, and all aspects concerning the validity and scope of the arbitration agreement and its autonomy. Other topics include competence-competence, the jurisdiction of the arbitral tribunal, the arbitral procedure, the effects and limits of arbitral awards, setting aside as well as the recognition and enforcement of awards in Switzerland.

Frequently referred to in the case law of the Swiss Federal Supreme Court, the book is an indispensable tool for legal scholars dealing in depth with a controversial issue. At the same time, it is an invaluable and user-friendly source of information and reference for arbitration practitioners in Switzerland and abroad.

The book's appendices contain useful supplementary materials, including a detailed table of cases and an accurate translation of the arbitration provisions of the Swiss Private International Law Act and the Swiss Code of Civil Procedure.

---

Bernhard Berger

Doctor in Law, LL.M. (Harvard), Attorney at Law

Franz Kellerhals

Doctor in Law, Honorary Professor, Attorney at Law

# **International and Domestic Arbitration in Switzerland**

**Fourth Edition**



Stämpfli Publishers



NOMIKI BIBLIOTHIKI

---

All rights reserved. This book or any parts thereof may not be passed on to third parties in any form (for a fee or free of charge). The file contains a hidden watermark in which the download data is stored.

Bibliographic information published by the German National Library  
The German National Library lists this publication in the German National Bibliography;  
detailed bibliographic data are available on the Internet at <http://dnb.d-nb.de>.

This publication is protected by international copyright law. All rights reserved. No part of this publication may be reproduced, stored in a retrieval system or transmitted in any form or by any means, electronic, mechanical, photocopying or otherwise, without the prior permission of the publishers, except in cases permitted by law.

© Verlag C.H. Beck oHG, München  
ISBN 978-3-406-78548-1

© Hart Publishing, An Imprint of Bloomsbury Publishing, London and New York  
ISBN 978-1-5099-5899-3

© Nomos Verlagsgesellschaft mbH & Co., Baden-Baden  
ISBN 978-3-8487-8480-6

© Nomiki Bibliothiki, Athens  
ISBN 978-960-654-524-5

© Stämpfli Publishers Ltd. Berne · 2021  
[www.staempfliverlag.com](http://www.staempfliverlag.com)

E-Book ISBN 978-3-7272-1976-4

In our online bookshop [www.staempfshop.com](http://www.staempfshop.com)  
the following edition is available:

Print ISBN 978-3-7272-1975-7



---

## Preface

More than 30 years have passed since Switzerland's international arbitration law, contained in Chapter 12 of the Swiss Private International Law Act (PILA), came into force in 1989. In 2011, comprehensive legislation on domestic arbitration contained in Part 3 of the Swiss Code of Civil Procedure replaced the previous Concordat on Arbitration. On 1 January 2021, the long-awaited revision of Chapter 12 of the PILA entered into force, which also entailed various adjustments to Part 3 of the CCP. Since the publication of the third edition in 2015, a wealth of new case law and legal writing has emerged.

The fourth edition has the same aim as the previous ones: to provide a comprehensive, concise analysis of the law and practice of international and domestic arbitration in Switzerland, to identify the relevant areas of academic debate, to contribute to the controversial issues with a pragmatic and arbitration-friendly approach and to highlight possible future developments. In order to maintain the proven format of a user-friendly source of information and reference for arbitration practitioners in Switzerland and abroad, selective reference will continue to be made to legal writing in preference to a detailed and up-to-date presentation of the case law of the Swiss Federal Supreme Court.

Special thanks go to all those who have contributed over many years through numerous discussions, suggestions and encouragement to continue and further improve this book.

Berne, August 2021

*B.B.*



---

# Contents

Preface.....	V
Contents .....	VII
Bibliography .....	XXXI
Abbreviations .....	LVII
<b>Chapter 1 Introduction .....</b>	<b>1</b>
§ 1 Arbitration as a particular form of dispute resolution.....	1
I. The concept of arbitration.....	1
II. The sources of arbitration .....	2
1. Priority of party autonomy.....	3
2. Arbitration rules.....	3
3. National law .....	4
4. Treaties .....	6
III. Ad hoc <i>v.</i> institutional arbitration .....	6
1. Advantages and disadvantages .....	7
2. Arbitral institutions and their sets of rules .....	8
a. Swiss Rules of International Arbitration .....	8
b. ICC Arbitration Rules .....	9
c. LCIA Arbitration Rules.....	9
d. WIPO Arbitration Rules .....	9
e. Code of Sports-related Arbitration .....	10
f. UNCITRAL Arbitration Rules .....	10
g. Other arbitration rules .....	11
IV. Types of arbitration.....	11
1. Commercial arbitration.....	12
2. Investment (treaty) arbitration .....	12
3. IP/IT-arbitration.....	14
4. Dispute resolution between states.....	14

## *Contents*

---

a.	The Permanent Court of Arbitration at The Hague .....	15
b.	OSCE Court of Conciliation and Arbitration .....	16
c.	WTO Settlement of Disputes.....	17
d.	Other international conventions.....	19
5.	Arbitration within associations (including sports arbitration).....	19
a.	The concept.....	19
b.	Jurisdiction .....	20
c.	Independence.....	20
§ 2	On the sources of Swiss arbitration law .....	23
I.	National and international arbitration .....	23
II.	Part 3 CCP and its predecessor .....	24
1.	Introduction .....	24
2.	Scope of application .....	25
3.	Characteristics of Part 3 CCP .....	27
III.	Chap.12 PILA .....	28
1.	Legislative history of Chap.12 PILA .....	28
2.	The 2020 revision of Chap.12 PILA.....	29
3.	Characteristics of Chap.12 PILA .....	30
4.	Constitutional basis .....	32
5.	Scope of application of Chap.12 PILA .....	32
a.	Territorial scope .....	33
b.	Personal scope .....	34
c.	Material scope .....	36
d.	Relationship to other ADR methods (functional scope) .....	36
e.	Agreement to exclude Chap.12 PILA (opting out).....	37
f.	Agreement to apply Chap.12 PILA (opting in) .....	39
g.	Reservations and ancillary provisions .....	40
h.	Transitional rules.....	41
IV.	Treaties .....	43
1.	New York Convention of 1958.....	44
2.	Geneva Protocol and Geneva Convention of 1923/27 .....	45
3.	Bilateral treaties.....	46
4.	ICSID Convention of 1965.....	47
5.	European Convention of 1961 .....	48
V.	The position of Switzerland as a place of arbitration .....	49
§ 3	Other forms of private dispute resolution.....	51
I.	Expert determination.....	51
1.	Concept.....	51
2.	Legal nature and contractual relations .....	53
3.	Scope of powers of the expert-arbitrator.....	54
4.	Conditions for the binding effect .....	54

## VIII

5. Remedies .....	56
II. Conciliation .....	57
1. Introduction .....	57
2. Types .....	57
3. Settlement facilitation by arbitrators.....	58
4. Multi-tiered dispute resolution clauses .....	60
III. Mediation.....	60
 <b>Chapter 2 On the Authority of Arbitral Tribunals .....</b>	<b>63</b>
§ 4 Arbitrability.....	63
I. Introduction .....	63
II. Applicable law .....	65
1. Before the arbitral tribunal.....	65
2. Before state courts .....	66
a. In proceedings concerning a substantive claim before court .....	66
b. In setting aside proceedings.....	67
c. In recognition and enforcement proceedings.....	68
III. Possible approaches to the problem .....	68
IV. Arbitrability under Chap.12 PILA .....	70
1. Legal nature .....	70
2. Concept of claim of financial interest .....	71
3. Relationship with other provisions on jurisdiction .....	73
4. Particular issue of arbitrability.....	74
a. Family and inheritance law .....	74
b. Intellectual property law .....	75
c. Competition and antitrust law .....	76
d. Sports-related matters.....	77
e. Non-enforceable claims.....	78
f. Insolvency-related claims and proceedings .....	79
g. Disputes arising from residential tenancy.....	80
h. Employment law .....	81
i. Consumer contracts .....	82
j. Arbitrability and corruption.....	84
V. Arbitrability under Part 3 CCP.....	85
1. Introduction .....	85
2. Applicable law.....	87
a. Swiss law.....	87
b. Foreign law.....	87
VI. Examination of arbitrability .....	88
VII. Plea of lack of arbitrability .....	90
VIII. Arbitrability and public policy .....	90

IX.	Consequences of lack of arbitrability.....	93
§ 5	The arbitration agreement: cornerstone of the arbitral proceedings .....	94
I.	Notion and terminology .....	94
II.	Subject of the arbitration agreement .....	96
1.	Salient features ( <i>essentialia</i> ).....	96
a.	Submission to arbitration.....	97
b.	Determination of the dispute .....	100
2.	Other essential elements .....	101
a.	Seat of the arbitration .....	102
b.	Number of arbitrators and procedure for their appointment .....	102
c.	Language of the arbitration .....	103
3.	Additional agreements.....	103
III.	Legal nature .....	106
1.	Legal writing .....	106
2.	Case law .....	107
IV.	Applicable law .....	108
1.	Before the arbitral tribunal.....	108
2.	Before the state courts .....	109
a.	State court at the seat of the arbitration .....	109
b.	Proceedings concerning a substantive claim before court .....	110
i.	Introduction.....	110
ii.	Form of the arbitration agreement.....	111
iii.	Substance of the arbitration agreement .....	112
iv.	Standard of review .....	113
c.	Setting aside proceedings .....	117
d.	Recognition and enforcement proceedings.....	117
V.	Conditions of validity .....	118
1.	Subjective arbitrability ( <i>ratione personae</i> ) .....	118
a.	Legal capacity and capacity to be a party .....	119
b.	Capacity to be a party <i>v.</i> substantive standing .....	121
c.	Capacity to act and conduct legal proceedings.....	124
d.	Authority to initiate and conduct the arbitration.....	126
e.	The state as a party to arbitral proceedings.....	126
i.	Content and purpose of Art.177(2) PILA.....	126
ii.	Legitimate expectations and good faith.....	127
iii.	Lack of capacity to be a party to the arbitration .....	129
iv.	Lack of power of representation .....	129
v.	Lack of arbitrability .....	130
vi.	State immunity from jurisdiction .....	130
vii.	Plea alleging a special status under international law .....	131
viii.	Relationship to Art.V(1)(a) NYC.....	131

2.	Objective arbitrability ( <i>ratione materiae</i> ).....	132
3.	Consent to arbitrate.....	132
a.	Conflict of laws rule <i>in favorem validitatis</i> .....	133
b.	Issues not covered by the rule <i>in favorem validitatis</i> .....	134
c.	The three alternatives under Art.178(2) PILA .....	135
i.	The law chosen for the arbitration agreement .....	135
ii.	The law applicable to the main contract.....	136
iii.	Swiss law .....	137
d.	Relationship between Art.178(2) PILA and Art.V(1)(a) NYC.....	138
e.	Absence of rules for domestic arbitration.....	138
4.	Form of the arbitration agreement .....	139
a.	Significance of mandatory formal requirements.....	139
b.	The NYC as a lodestar for the form requirement .....	140
c.	Form of the arbitration agreement under Chap.12 PILA .....	140
i.	Content of Art.178(1) PILA.....	141
ii.	Relationship to Art.II(2) NYC .....	144
iii.	Elements to be covered by the formal requirement .....	146
d.	Form of the arbitration agreement under Part 3 CCP .....	146
e.	Agreement as to (stricter) form .....	147
f.	Forfeiture of the defence of lack of form.....	148
g.	Art.178(1) PILA and international practice.....	149
5.	Specific problems of validity.....	150
a.	Conclusion of an arbitration agreement by an agent.....	150
b.	Conclusion of an arbitration agreement by reference .....	153
i.	Compliance with the formal requirement.....	153
ii.	Consent to arbitrate .....	154
iii.	Relationship to Art.30(2) FC .....	156
c.	Arbitration clauses in articles of association .....	157
d.	Arbitration clauses in unilateral legal acts.....	161
VI.	Interpretation of an arbitration agreement.....	163
1.	Application of general principles of contract law .....	163
a.	Introduction .....	163
b.	Subjective interpretation.....	165
c.	Objective interpretation.....	165
d.	Question of fact v. question of law .....	166
2.	Specific standards of interpretation for arbitration agreements .....	167
a.	Restrictive interpretation of formation and conclusion.....	167
b.	Liberal interpretation of content and scope .....	169
3.	Pathological arbitration agreements.....	170
VII.	Effects of an arbitration agreement.....	171
1.	Exclusion of the state courts .....	171
2.	Material scope of application ( <i>ratione materiae</i> ).....	173

a.	Introduction .....	173
b.	Party autonomy .....	173
c.	Contractual claims.....	174
d.	<i>Culpa in contrahendo</i> ; reliance-based liability.....	176
e.	Non-contractual claims.....	177
i.	Claims in tort .....	178
ii.	Claims for unjust enrichment.....	178
f.	Multiple contracts.....	179
i.	Extension of an arbitration clause in one contract to claims under another contract .....	179
ii.	Cumulation of claims under multiple contracts.....	181
g.	Set-off.....	183
h.	Counterclaims.....	185
i.	Jurisdiction over claims for damages for breach of an arbitration agreement.....	188
3.	Personal scope of application ( <i>ratione personae</i> ).....	189
a.	Introduction.....	189
b.	Universal succession .....	191
c.	Singular succession .....	192
i.	Assignment of claim .....	192
ii.	Assumption of debt.....	197
iii.	Assignment of contract .....	197
d.	Arbitration agreement in favour of a third party.....	198
e.	Third party interference (extension to a “non-signatory”) .....	200
f.	Extension from a general partnership to its partners.....	205
g.	Piercing the corporate veil.....	205
h.	Group of companies doctrine .....	206
i.	Joint and several obligations; joinder of parties .....	208
j.	Third-party notice and intervention .....	209
k.	Third-party claim and intervention claim .....	210
4.	Temporal scope of application ( <i>ratione temporis</i> ).....	211
a.	Lack of arbitral jurisdiction <i>ratione temporis</i> .....	211
b.	Consequences of non-compliance with compulsory pre- arbitral dispute resolution mechanisms .....	212
c.	Jurisdiction <i>ratione temporis</i> in investment arbitration .....	213
5.	Consolidation of arbitral proceedings.....	213
6.	Effects of insolvency on arbitral jurisdiction .....	215
VIII.	Invalidity of the arbitration agreement.....	217
1.	Formal defects .....	217
2.	Defects in the conclusion.....	218
3.	Deficiencies in content .....	218
4.	Consequences of invalidity.....	220

a.	Full invalidity .....	220
b.	Partial invalidity .....	221
IX.	Termination of the arbitration agreement.....	222
1.	Revocation by mutual agreement .....	222
2.	Conditions and limitations.....	224
3.	Extinction by subsequent impossibility .....	225
a.	Achievement of purpose.....	225
b.	Frustration of purpose .....	226
4.	Unilateral termination.....	226
a.	<i>Clausula rebus sic stantibus</i> .....	227
b.	Unilateral termination for cause .....	228
5.	Effects of termination .....	229
§ 6	Jurisdiction despite absence or invalidity of arbitration agreement.....	230
I.	Submission to arbitration without reservation.....	230
1.	Unconditional submission as a procedural act.....	230
2.	No need for compliance with formal requirements.....	231
3.	Admissibility of unconditional submission.....	232
4.	Applicable law.....	233
5.	Requirements for unconditional submission.....	234
a.	Claim before a non-competent arbitral tribunal.....	234
b.	Pending arbitration .....	235
c.	Unconditional submission to the merits .....	235
6.	Legal consequences and effects .....	238
7.	Relationship to Art.II NYC.....	240
II.	Conduct contrary to the duty to act in good faith.....	241
§ 7	Competence-competence and autonomy of arbitration agreement .....	243
I.	Introduction .....	243
II.	Competence-competence .....	244
1.	Content and scope.....	244
2.	Legal consequences .....	247
III.	Autonomy of the arbitration agreement .....	249
1.	Principle .....	249
2.	Limitations.....	250
§ 8	The decision on jurisdiction .....	252
I.	Introduction .....	252
II.	Prerequisites for jurisdiction .....	252
III.	Examination of jurisdiction by the arbitral tribunal .....	253
1.	No examination <i>ex officio</i> .....	253
2.	Limited examination <i>ex officio</i> in default proceedings .....	254
3.	Plea of lack of jurisdiction.....	255
4.	Scope of examination .....	256

5.	Stay of the decision on jurisdiction.....	257
6.	Decision on jurisdiction as a preliminary question .....	258
7.	Deferral of the decision on jurisdiction .....	261
IV.	Examination of jurisdiction by Swiss state courts.....	262
V.	Effects of the decision on jurisdiction.....	264
1.	Arbitral award declining jurisdiction .....	264
2.	Arbitral award affirming jurisdiction.....	265
3.	Binding effect of the decision on jurisdiction .....	266
a.	Negative decisions on jurisdiction.....	266
i.	Arbitral tribunal declining jurisdiction.....	266
ii.	Swiss court declining jurisdiction .....	266
b.	Positive decisions on jurisdiction .....	267
i.	Arbitral tribunal affirming jurisdiction .....	267
ii.	Swiss court affirming jurisdiction .....	267
iii.	Effects of Art.186(1bis) PILA.....	268
c.	Decisions on requests for judicial assistance .....	269
VI.	Judicial review of decisions on jurisdiction .....	269
1.	Arbitral awards on jurisdiction .....	269
2.	Court decisions on jurisdiction .....	271
<b>Chapter 3</b>	<b>The Arbitral Tribunal.....</b>	<b>273</b>
§ 9	Seat of the arbitration .....	273
I.	Concept of the seat.....	273
II.	Importance of the seat .....	273
1.	Localisation of the arbitration.....	273
2.	Localisation of arbitral awards .....	274
III.	Determination of the seat .....	275
1.	Agreement on the seat between the parties .....	276
a.	General principles .....	276
b.	“Arbitration in Switzerland”.....	277
2.	Determination of the seat in the absence of an agreement .....	279
a.	General principles .....	279
b.	Determination of the seat by an arbitral institution .....	280
c.	Determination of the seat by another authority.....	280
d.	Determination of the seat by the arbitral tribunal .....	281
IV.	Transfer of the seat .....	283
1.	Transfer by agreement of the parties .....	283
2.	Transfer by the arbitral tribunal .....	283
3.	Transfer at the request of a party .....	284
4.	Consequences of a seat transfer .....	284
V.	Venue for meetings and hearings .....	285

§ 10 Constitution of the arbitral tribunal .....	286
I. Qualifications for the mandate of arbitrator .....	286
1. Qualifications agreed between the parties .....	287
2. Independence and impartiality .....	288
a. Introduction .....	288
b. Standards applicable in Switzerland .....	290
c. No lesser standards for party-appointed arbitrators .....	292
d. Consequences of participating in settlement discussions .....	293
e. Consequences of procedural failures .....	294
II. Appointment of arbitrators .....	294
1. Appointment by the parties .....	294
a. Designation by function .....	295
b. Number of arbitrators .....	295
c. Panel of three arbitrators .....	296
d. Sole arbitrator .....	296
e. Reference to arbitration rules .....	297
f. Right to parity .....	297
2. Appointment by a designated appointing authority .....	298
a. Private authority .....	298
b. Public authority .....	299
3. Appointment by the <i>juge d'appui</i> .....	300
a. Absence of an agreement .....	300
b. Jurisdiction .....	302
i. Seat determined by the parties .....	302
ii. Absence of a seat agreement .....	303
c. Applicable law .....	304
d. Principles of appointment .....	305
e. Summary examination of the arbitration agreement .....	306
4. Appointment in multi-party proceedings .....	307
III. Judicial review of decisions on appointment .....	310
1. Decision of a state court appointing an arbitrator .....	310
2. Decision of a state court refusing the appointment .....	312
3. Decision of an institution or another private authority .....	312
§ 11 Challenge of arbitrators .....	314
I. Grounds for challenge .....	314
1. Non-compliance with agreed qualifications .....	314
2. Justifiable doubts as to independence and impartiality .....	316
II. Challenging the arbitral tribunal in its entirety .....	318
III. Right to challenge .....	319
1. Introduction .....	319
2. Duty of the parties to act in good faith .....	320

3. Timeliness of a challenge .....	322
a. Arbitrations under Part 3 CCP.....	322
b. Arbitrations under Chap.12 PILA .....	324
c. Duty of inquiry .....	325
d. Miscellaneous.....	327
4. Waiver of the right to challenge .....	327
IV. Challenge procedure .....	328
1. Priority of party autonomy.....	328
2. Request for challenge .....	329
3. Decision on the challenge.....	330
a. Jurisdiction .....	330
b. Time-limit .....	332
c. Applicable procedural rules.....	332
4. Effects of a challenge on the arbitral proceedings .....	333
V. Judicial review of decisions on challenge .....	334
1. Decision of a private authority on a challenge.....	334
2. Decision of a state court on a challenge.....	337
VI. Consequences of a challenge .....	339
§ 12 Removal of arbitrators.....	340
I. Removal by agreement of the parties.....	340
II. Removal by a designated authority or the state court.....	341
§ 13 Resignation of an arbitrator.....	345
I. Admissibility and conditions of resignation.....	345
II. Decision on the validity of resignation .....	346
III. Consequences of resignation.....	347
§ 14 Replacement of an arbitrator .....	350
I. Requirements for replacement .....	350
II. Replacement procedure.....	350
III. Stay of the arbitral proceedings .....	352
IV. Decision on repetition of procedural steps .....	353
V. Continuation of proceedings without replacement.....	354
§ 15 Relationship between arbitrators and parties .....	356
I. Legal nature .....	356
1. Contractual basis ( <i>receptum arbitri</i> ).....	356
2. Statutory basis .....	357
II. Applicable law .....	358
III. Rights and obligations of arbitrators .....	359
1. Duties of care, diligence and expedition.....	359
2. Obligation to perform personally.....	360
3. Duty to disclose potential conflicts of interests .....	360

4. Adjudication of the dispute.....	361
5. Duty to apply the law.....	362
6. Determination of the arbitral procedure and equal treatment.....	362
7. Judicial assistance.....	363
8. Communication between arbitrators and parties .....	363
9. Confidentiality.....	364
10. Liability .....	365
11. Arbitrators' fees.....	365
12. The role of party representatives .....	367
IV. Term of the mandate of arbitrator .....	368
1. Principle .....	368
2. Time-limit for making the award .....	369
§ 16 Secretary and other assistance to the tribunal.....	370
I. Introduction .....	370
II. Secretary .....	370
III. Other assistance to the tribunal .....	372
<b>Chapter 4 The Arbitral Procedure .....</b>	<b>373</b>
§ 17 Commencement of the arbitration.....	373
I. Recourse to arbitration.....	373
II. Pendency of the proceedings ( <i>lis pendens</i> ) .....	374
1. Concept and significance.....	374
2. Commencement of pendency .....	375
a. Submission of a claim to the designated arbitrators .....	375
b. Initiation of the procedure for the constitution of the arbitral tribunal .....	376
c. Initiation of an agreed preceding conciliation procedure.....	377
d. Mandatory nature of the rules on pendency?.....	378
e. Relevant point in time .....	378
III. Preclusive effect of pendency ( <i>lis alibi pendens</i> ).....	379
1. Introduction .....	379
2. Swiss state court seised after an arbitral tribunal .....	380
a. Arbitral tribunal seated in Switzerland first seised .....	380
b. Arbitral tribunal seated abroad first seised .....	381
3. Arbitral tribunal seised after a state court .....	381
a. Foreign state court first seised .....	382
b. Swiss state court first seised .....	387
4. Arbitral tribunal seised after another arbitral tribunal.....	388
a. Arbitral tribunal seated abroad first seised .....	388
b. Arbitral tribunal seated in Switzerland first seised .....	389
5. No <i>lis pendens</i> between arbitration and debt enforcement.....	389

IV.	Further effects of pendency .....	390
1.	Procedural effects .....	390
2.	Compliance with limitation and forfeiture periods .....	390
3.	Compliance with time-limits for filing an action.....	393
V.	Termination of pendency .....	393
1.	Effect on the <i>lis alibi pendens</i> rule .....	393
2.	Effect on limitation or forfeiture periods .....	394
§ 18	The proceedings before the arbitral tribunal.....	396
I.	Organisation of the proceedings .....	396
1.	Introduction .....	396
2.	Topics to be addressed.....	397
3.	Structuring the organisation of the proceedings.....	398
a.	Agreement between parties and arbitrators .....	398
b.	Determination by the arbitral tribunal .....	399
c.	Mixed forms .....	399
4.	Approaches under selected arbitration rules .....	400
II.	Determination of the arbitral procedure.....	401
1.	Introduction .....	401
2.	Determination by the parties.....	401
a.	Reference to arbitration rules .....	403
b.	Submission to a procedural law of the parties' choice.....	405
3.	Determination by the arbitral tribunal.....	406
III.	Fundamental procedural guarantees (due process).....	408
1.	Introduction .....	408
a.	Statutory basis .....	408
b.	Constitutional basis .....	408
c.	Content of the fundamental procedural guarantees .....	409
d.	Mandatory nature .....	411
e.	Scope of application .....	412
f.	Remedies.....	413
g.	Procedural guarantees in arbitration rules .....	413
2.	Specific aspects of the fundamental procedural guarantees .....	414
a.	Presentation of claims and defences .....	414
b.	Evidentiary issues.....	415
c.	Participation in hearings.....	416
d.	Access to the file .....	417
e.	Right to representation .....	418
3.	Duty to act in good faith and waiver.....	419
a.	Duty of the arbitral tribunal to avoid surprises .....	420
b.	Duty of the parties to promptly state any objections .....	420
IV.	Key points in the course of the proceedings .....	421

1.	Examination of conditions of admissibility .....	421
2.	Language of the arbitration.....	423
3.	Legal representation and assistance .....	424
4.	Default.....	425
5.	Stay of the arbitration proceedings .....	428
a.	Conclusion of the arbitration within reasonable time .....	428
b.	Circumstances that may justify a stay .....	428
c.	Suspension during pending criminal proceedings .....	429
d.	Suspension during pending insolvency proceedings .....	430
e.	Other circumstances .....	431
6.	Records and minutes.....	431
7.	Expedited procedure .....	433
8.	Failure of the arbitral tribunal to fulfil its duties.....	435
V.	Selected questions on the parties' submissions.....	436
1.	Relief or remedy sought (prayers for relief) .....	436
2.	Amendments or supplements to the claim or defence.....	439
a.	Amendments to the prayer for relief.....	440
b.	Amendments to the cause of action .....	441
3.	New claims .....	442
4.	Counterclaims and set-off defences .....	443
VI.	Judicial assistance by Swiss state courts .....	444
VII.	Confidentiality .....	446
§ 19	Provisional and conservatory measures.....	449
I.	Introduction and overview .....	449
II.	Power of arbitral tribunals to order interim measures .....	451
1.	Party autonomy.....	451
2.	Scope of application .....	452
3.	Requirements for granting provisional measures.....	453
a.	Jurisdiction .....	453
b.	Merits .....	454
c.	Justified claim .....	455
d.	Valid reason .....	455
e.	Proportionality.....	456
4.	Applicable procedure.....	456
5.	Types of provisional measures .....	457
6.	Limits of the power of an arbitral tribunal .....	458
7.	No judicial review .....	459
III.	Judicial assistance by Swiss state courts .....	460
1.	Assistance for arbitral tribunals seated in Switzerland .....	460
2.	Assistance for arbitral tribunals seated abroad.....	462
3.	Scope of assistance .....	462

4.	Remedies against the orders and measures of the state court.....	463
IV.	Power of state courts to order provisional measures .....	463
1.	Principle of concurrent jurisdiction .....	463
2.	Waiver of state court jurisdiction.....	465
3.	Parallel proceedings.....	466
4.	Jurisdiction of Swiss state courts .....	466
5.	Jurisdiction of foreign state courts.....	467
6.	Applicable law.....	468
7.	Remedies against the decision of the state court.....	468
V.	Emergency arbitrator .....	468
VI.	Provision of security .....	470
VII.	Liability for damage caused by unjustified measures .....	471
VIII.	Recognition and enforcement of provisional measures.....	473
1.	Provisional measures ordered by an arbitral tribunal.....	473
2.	Provisional measures granted by a state court .....	474
§ 20	Taking of evidence .....	476
I.	Purpose of the taking of evidence .....	476
II.	Competence to take evidence.....	477
III.	Procedure for taking evidence.....	478
IV.	Burden of proof.....	479
V.	Standard of proof .....	480
VI.	Presentation of evidence .....	482
1.	Admissibility .....	482
2.	Timeliness .....	483
3.	Documents .....	484
a.	General principles .....	484
b.	Requests for production of documents .....	484
i.	Power to order the production of documents .....	484
ii.	Non-compliance and negative inference .....	485
iii.	Documents under the control of third parties .....	486
iv.	Standards for granting requests to produce documents .....	486
v.	Relevance of the burden of proof.....	488
c.	Originals and copies of documents.....	489
4.	Witnesses of fact.....	489
5.	Experts.....	493
a.	Introduction.....	493
b.	Party-appointed experts.....	493
c.	Tribunal-appointed experts.....	494
6.	Inspections.....	498
VII.	Assessment of evidence .....	499
1.	Principle of free assessment of evidence .....	499

2. Anticipated assessment of evidence .....	500
3. Adverse inference .....	500
4. No judicial review of the assessment of evidence .....	501
VIII. Judicial assistance by state courts .....	501
1. Assistance for arbitral tribunals seated in Switzerland .....	501
a. Jurisdiction .....	502
b. Applicable law and other forms of procedure .....	503
c. Scope and forms of assistance .....	503
2. Assistance for arbitral tribunals seated abroad.....	505
§ 21 Decision on the merits .....	507
I. Concept of decision on the merits.....	507
II. Decision in accordance with the law.....	508
1. Prevailing concept .....	508
2. Development in Switzerland.....	509
3. Law or rules of law? .....	511
4. Rules of law chosen by the parties.....	512
a. The choice of law agreement.....	513
b. Subject of the choice of law .....	514
i. Direct or indirect choice of law.....	514
ii. Negative choice of law.....	515
iii. Choice of “no law”.....	515
iv. Choice of international law, transnational rules, etc .....	515
v. Freezing clause .....	516
vi. Floating clause .....	516
vii. <i>Tronc commun</i> .....	516
viii. <i>Dépeçage</i> .....	517
c. Scope of the choice of law.....	517
d. Limits of party autonomy .....	519
5. The rules of law determined by the arbitral tribunal .....	519
a. Autonomy of the arbitral tribunal .....	519
b. Determination of the closest connection.....	520
c. Scope of the determination by the arbitral tribunal.....	523
6. Mandatory rules (positive public policy).....	523
a. Mandatory rules of the <i>lex causae</i> .....	524
b. “Foreign” mandatory rules .....	524
7. Public international law .....	528
8. <i>Jura novit curia</i> (and <i>arbiter</i> ) .....	528
III. Decision <i>ex aequo et bono</i> .....	530
1. Concept and scope of application .....	530
a. Introduction .....	530
b. Decision <i>ex aequo et bono</i> and public policy .....	532

c.	Relevance of the contract .....	533
d.	Establishment of the facts and rules of evidence .....	534
e.	Decision <i>ex aequo et bono</i> and procedural questions .....	534
f.	Decision <i>ex aequo et bono</i> and arbitral jurisdiction.....	535
g.	Amiable composition .....	535
2.	Agreement on a decision <i>ex aequo et bono</i> .....	536
IV.	Provisions of the contract and relevant trade usages.....	537
§ 22	The arbitral award .....	539
I.	Types of awards.....	539
II.	Closing and reopening of the proceedings .....	539
III.	Making of the award .....	540
1.	Party autonomy.....	540
2.	Deliberations .....	540
3.	Votes.....	543
4.	Confidentiality of the deliberations .....	544
5.	Failure to participate in the deliberations and votes.....	546
IV.	Form and content of the award .....	547
1.	Party autonomy.....	547
2.	Formal requirements.....	547
3.	Reasons for the decision .....	548
4.	Date of the award and signatures .....	550
V.	Dissenting opinion .....	551
1.	Concept.....	551
2.	Admissibility .....	552
3.	Content, procedure and communication to the parties.....	553
4.	Effect .....	554
VI.	Notification of the arbitral award .....	554
1.	Form and procedure.....	554
2.	Effect .....	556
VII.	Confidentiality and publication of awards .....	556
VIII.	Deposit of the award .....	557
IX.	Interpretation and correction of the award and supplemental award.....	559
1.	Concept.....	559
2.	Admissibility .....	560
3.	Procedure and time-limits .....	561
4.	Interpretation and correction.....	562
a.	Concept of interpretation .....	562
b.	Concept of correction .....	563
c.	Decision on interpretation or correction .....	563
d.	Remedies against the decision on interpretation or correction .....	564
5.	Supplemental award .....	565

6. Waiver of interpretation, correction and supplementation of the award .....	567
§ 23 Settlement and other grounds for termination .....	568
I. Settlement of the dispute.....	568
1. Introduction .....	568
2. Award on agreed terms (consent award).....	569
a. Requirements.....	569
b. Form, content and notification.....	569
c. Effects .....	570
3. Order for the termination of the proceedings.....	571
4. Defects in consent.....	571
a. Defect raised before the termination of the proceedings .....	572
b. Defect raised after an order terminating the proceedings .....	572
c. Defect raised after a consent award .....	572
II. Acceptance of claim.....	573
III. Withdrawal of claim .....	574
1. Introduction .....	574
2. Withdrawal with or without prejudice .....	575
IV. Other grounds for the termination of the proceedings.....	576
§ 24 Costs of arbitration.....	578
I. Advance on the costs of arbitration.....	578
1. Power of the arbitral tribunal .....	578
2. Allocation of the advance between the parties.....	579
3. Consequences of non-payment .....	580
a. Suspension or termination of the arbitration.....	580
b. Waiver of the arbitration .....	581
c. No power to make a default award .....	584
d. Separate award for reimbursement of substitute payment? .....	584
4. Accounting on the deposits received .....	585
II. Security for costs .....	586
1. Introduction .....	586
2. Legal qualification of an order for security for costs .....	587
3. Authority and jurisdiction of the arbitral tribunal .....	587
4. Requirements for ordering security for costs .....	588
5. Order or Award?.....	589
6. Circumstances justifying security for costs .....	589
a. Serious deterioration in a party's financial situation .....	589
b. Bad faith manoeuvres .....	590
c. No claim to security because of party's domicile or seat .....	591
7. Determination of the amount of the security .....	591
8. Consequences of non-payment .....	592

9. Security for costs under Art.379 CCP.....	593
III. The decision on costs .....	594
1. Authority and jurisdiction of the arbitral tribunal .....	594
2. Assessment of arbitration costs.....	594
3. Apportionment of arbitration costs .....	596
a. General principles .....	596
b. Claim and order for reimbursement.....	597
c. Allocation of arbitration costs in a termination order.....	597
4. Determination and allocation of party costs .....	598
5. Interest on costs .....	600
6. Timing of the decision on costs .....	601
7. Remedies against the decision on costs .....	601
 <b>Chapter 5 The Effects and Limits of Arbitral Awards.....</b>	<b>603</b>
§ 25 Effects of the award .....	603
I. Introduction .....	603
II. Enforceability of the award.....	604
1. General principles.....	604
2. Effect of arbitral remedies .....	605
3. Termination of pendency.....	605
III. Preclusive effect of the award ( <i>res judicata</i> ) .....	605
1. Concept and significance.....	605
2. Commencement and termination .....	606
3. Types of awards with <i>res judicata</i> effect .....	607
4. Scope and limits of <i>res judicata</i> under Swiss law.....	608
a. Identity of the subject-matter of the dispute .....	609
i. Identity of claims .....	609
ii. Identity of facts .....	610
b. Identity of the parties.....	611
c. Limitation of <i>res judicata</i> effect to the dispositive part.....	612
i. General principle.....	612
ii. Set-off claims .....	612
iii. Partial claims.....	613
5. Consequences of <i>res judicata</i> .....	613
a. Swiss court <i>v.</i> Swiss award.....	613
b. Swiss court <i>v.</i> foreign award .....	614
c. Swiss arbitral tribunal <i>v.</i> Swiss judgment or award .....	615
d. Swiss arbitral tribunal <i>v.</i> foreign court judgment.....	615
e. Swiss arbitral tribunal <i>v.</i> foreign award .....	616
6. <i>Res judicata</i> and public policy .....	616
7. The ILA Report on Res Judicata and Arbitration .....	617

§ 26 Challenge of arbitral awards under Chapter 12 PILA .....	620
I.    Introduction .....	620
II.   General requirements .....	620
III.  Awards subject to challenge .....	622
1.  Final awards .....	623
2.  Partial awards .....	623
3.  Preliminary or interim awards .....	625
4.  Decisions on applications for provisional measures .....	627
5.  Procedural orders.....	628
IV.  Party autonomy.....	628
V.   Grounds for challenge.....	629
1.  Introduction .....	629
2.  The Federal Supreme Court is bound by the findings of fact in the challenged award .....	630
3.  Irregular composition of the arbitral tribunal.....	631
4.  Incorrect decision on jurisdiction .....	634
a.  Scope of application .....	634
b.  Timeliness and forfeiture.....	636
c.  Scope of review by the Swiss Federal Supreme Court .....	637
d.  Admissibility of declaratory relief.....	640
e.  Jurisdictional challenges in investment arbitration.....	641
5.  Decision on claims not submitted or failure to decide claims.....	644
6.  Violation of equal treatment or the right to be heard .....	648
a.  Equal treatment .....	648
b.  Right to be heard in adversarial proceedings.....	648
i.  Failure to provide the reasons for the award .....	649
ii.  No challenge for incorrect factual findings, manifest errors or wrong application of the law .....	650
iii. Failure to consider a decisive issue .....	651
iv.  Surprising application of the law (right to be heard and <i>jura novit curia</i> ) .....	652
v.  Procedural issues.....	654
c.  Timeliness and forfeiture.....	654
d.  Formal nature of the right to be heard .....	655
7.  Incompatibility with public policy.....	656
a.  Background and content of the rule.....	656
b.  Swiss or universal public policy? .....	657
c.  Substantive public policy .....	660
i.  Introduction and recognised principles .....	660
ii. <i>Pacta sunt servanda</i> .....	661
iii. Prohibition of discrimination .....	662
iv.  Violation of Art.27(2) CC (excessive obligation) .....	662

v.	Corruption.....	663
vi.	No challenge for incorrect application of the law .....	663
vii.	Mandatory rules ( <i>lois de police, lois d'application immédiate</i> ) .....	665
viii.	Failure to consider (“foreign”) competition law .....	666
ix.	<i>Jura novit curia</i> .....	667
x.	Other controversial issues .....	667
d.	Procedural public policy.....	668
i.	Introduction.....	668
ii.	Principles of <i>res judicata</i> and <i>ne bis in idem</i> .....	669
iii.	Lack of independence or impartiality of a tribunal-appointed expert.....	669
iv.	Right to a fair trial.....	670
v.	Principle of being bound by the considerations in the decision upholding a challenge .....	671
vi.	Fundamental procedural principles of the ECHR .....	671
vii.	<i>Le pénal tient le civil en l'état</i> .....	671
viii.	Challenge of the arbitral tribunal in its entirety.....	672
ix.	Failure to give reasons for the award .....	672
x.	Admission of illegally obtained evidence and other issues.....	672
e.	Public policy provides no basis for challenging factual findings.....	673
VI.	Challenge proceedings .....	674
1.	Competent judicial authority and procedure .....	674
2.	Effect of the challenge .....	677
3.	Request for suspensive effect .....	678
4.	Conditions of admissibility.....	679
a.	Actual and practical interest in the challenge .....	680
b.	Time-limit for challenge .....	680
c.	Requirements for the notice of challenge .....	681
5.	Challenge and parallel request for revision.....	682
6.	Conduct of the proceedings .....	682
7.	Language of proceedings and judgment .....	683
8.	Exchange of pleadings; reply and rejoinder.....	685
9.	Provisional and conservatory measures .....	686
10.	Taking of evidence .....	687
11.	Court costs and party costs .....	688
12.	Security for costs .....	689
13.	Legal aid .....	690
VII.	Decision on the challenge .....	690
1.	Unsuccessful challenge.....	690
2.	Successful challenge.....	691

3. Full or partial setting aside .....	691
4. Remand to the same arbitral tribunal .....	692
5. No incurably invalid awards .....	693
VIII. Remedies against the decision on the challenge .....	694
1. Revision.....	694
2. Interpretation and correction.....	696
IX. Waiver of challenge .....	696
1. Introduction .....	696
2. Waiver agreement.....	699
a. Review by the Swiss Federal Supreme Court.....	699
b. Applicable law.....	699
c. Personal requirements .....	700
d. Temporal requirements.....	701
e. Requirement of form .....	702
f. Express nature of the waiver agreement .....	702
g. Waiver agreement and jurisdictional challenge .....	705
h. Waiver and unconditional submission to arbitration .....	706
i. Material scope of a waiver .....	707
j. Personal scope of a waiver .....	708
3. Effects and consequences of a waiver .....	710
a. Full waiver .....	710
b. Partial waiver .....	712
c. Relationship to the remedy of revision.....	713
X. Publicity and publication of judgment .....	713
§ 27 Challenge of arbitral awards under Part 3 CCP.....	715
I. Introduction .....	715
II. Awards subject to challenge .....	715
III. No waiver of recourse .....	716
IV. Grounds for challenge.....	717
1. Irregular composition of the arbitral tribunal.....	717
2. Incorrect decision on jurisdiction .....	717
3. Decision on claims not submitted or failure to decide claims.....	717
4. Violation of equal treatment or the right to be heard .....	718
5. Arbitrariness of the result of the award.....	718
a. The concept of arbitrariness under Art.393(e) CCP .....	718
b. Scope of arbitrariness under Art.393(e) CCP .....	719
c. Findings of fact manifestly contrary to the record .....	720
d. Manifest violation of the law .....	720
e. Manifest violation of equity .....	722
f. No review of the assessment of evidence .....	723
6. Manifestly excessive fees and expenses .....	724

V.	Challenge proceedings .....	725
1.	Competent authority and procedure.....	725
a.	Challenge before the Swiss Federal Supreme Court.....	725
b.	Challenge before the cantonal court .....	725
2.	Effects of a challenge .....	726
3.	Conditions of admissibility.....	727
4.	Remand for correction and completion of the award .....	727
VI.	Decision on the challenge .....	728
§ 28	Revision of arbitral awards .....	729
I.	Purpose of revision .....	729
II.	Subsidiarity of revision .....	730
III.	Revision of awards under Part 3 CCP .....	730
1.	Awards subject to revision.....	730
2.	Grounds for revision.....	731
a.	Relevant facts or evidence discovered subsequently .....	731
b.	Arbitral award influenced by a criminal act .....	733
c.	Alleged invalidity of an acceptance or withdrawal of a claim or of an arbitral settlement.....	734
d.	Ground for challenge of an arbitrator discovered subsequently ..	734
e.	Violation of the ECHR .....	734
3.	Competent judicial authority and procedure.....	735
4.	Time-limits for revision.....	736
5.	Effect of the request for revision .....	737
6.	Decision on the request for revision .....	738
7.	Remedies against the decision on revision .....	739
8.	Final remarks.....	739
IV.	Revision of awards under Chap.12 PILA.....	740
1.	Introduction .....	740
2.	Grounds for revision.....	741
a.	Relevant facts or evidence discovered subsequently .....	741
b.	Arbitral award influenced by a criminal act .....	744
c.	Ground for challenge of an arbitrator discovered subsequently ..	745
3.	Awards subject to revision.....	747
4.	Competent judicial authority and procedure .....	748
5.	Time-limits for revision.....	749
6.	Effect of the request for revision .....	751
7.	Decision on the request for revision .....	751
8.	Waiver of revision .....	753
9.	Remedies against the decision on revision .....	755
§ 29	Recognition and enforcement of arbitral awards.....	756
I.	Certificate of enforceability .....	756

1. Purpose and effect .....	756
2. Requirements.....	758
3. Competent authority and procedure.....	759
II. Enforcement of awards made in Switzerland.....	761
1. Monetary claims .....	762
a. Payment order .....	762
b. Final enforcement order .....	762
c. Attachment order.....	764
2. Non-monetary claims .....	764
a. Application for enforcement.....	764
b. Freezing of assets .....	765
3. Foreign sovereign immunity and enforcement .....	765
III. Enforcement of foreign arbitral awards .....	767
1. Introduction .....	767
2. Procedural issues .....	768
a. Monetary claims.....	768
b. Non-monetary claims .....	769
3. Scope of application of the New York Convention .....	770
a. Limitation to “foreign” arbitral awards .....	770
b. Limitation to “arbitral awards” .....	771
c. No limitation to disputes of private law or civil law .....	772
d. No limitation to contractual disputes.....	773
e. Personal scope of the NYC.....	773
4. Documents to be provided .....	774
5. Grounds for refusal of recognition and enforcement .....	776
6. Grounds for refusal to be examined on request .....	778
a. Invalidity of the arbitration agreement – Art.V(1)(a) NYC.....	778
i. Lack of arbitrability <i>ratione personae</i> .....	778
ii. Defects of the arbitration agreement .....	779
iii. Lack of arbitrability under the <i>lex causae</i> or <i>lex arbitri</i> .....	780
iv. Unconditional submission to arbitration .....	780
v. Failure to exhaust legal remedies in the country of origin ....	781
b. Violation of due process – Art.V(1)(b) NYC .....	781
c. Decision beyond the submission to arbitration – Art.V(1)(c) NYC .....	783
i. Dispute not covered by the arbitration agreement.....	783
ii. Decision on claims not submitted .....	784
d. Irregular composition of the arbitral tribunal and failure to respect the arbitral procedure – Art.V(1)(d) NYC.....	784
e. Award not yet binding, set aside or suspended –Art.V(1)(e) NYC .....	786
i. Award no yet binding.....	786

## *Contents*

---

ii. Award set aside .....	787
iii. Award suspended .....	788
iv. Adjournment of enforcement and security – Art.VI NYC ....	789
7. Grounds for refusal to be examined <i>ex officio</i> .....	789
a. Dispute not capable of settlement by arbitration – Art.V(2)(a) NYC .....	790
b. Incompatibility with public policy – Art.V(2)(b) NYC .....	792
8. Procedural rules .....	795
9. Reservation of “more favourable law” .....	795
a. National law .....	796
b. International law.....	797
IV. Recognition of awards .....	798
<b>Swiss Private International Law Act (PILA) of 18 December 1987 .....</b>	<b>799</b>
<b>Swiss Code of Civil Procedure (CCP) of 19 December 2008.....</b>	<b>809</b>
<b>Table of Cases.....</b>	<b>821</b>
<b>Index.....</b>	<b>853</b>
<b>Index of Swiss international arbitration law .....</b>	<b>885</b>
<b>Index of New York Convention.....</b>	<b>889</b>

---

## Bibliography

Swiss legal commentaries are cited with BK-[author(s)] for Berner Kommentar, BSK-[author(s)] for Basler Kommentar, CR-[author(s)] for Commentaire Romand, ZK-[author(s)] for Zürcher Kommentar, etc. with reference to the current editions, unless stated otherwise. Other sources are cited with the author(s) and, if applicable, a keyword or a reference to the journal and the year of publication.

- Addor Felix*, Internationale Schiedsgerichtsbarkeit: Bundesrecht oder Konkordatsrecht? ZSR 1993 I 37–72
- Ahrens Jan-Michael*, Zur Anfechtung von Teil-, Vor- und Zwischenschiedssprüchen, ASA Bull. 2005, 300–312
- Ambauen Irma*, 3. Teil ZPO versus 12. Kapitel IPRG: eine Gegenüberstellung im Kontext der Opting-out-Möglichkeiten: unter besonderer Berücksichtigung der zwingenden Bestimmungen, der Schiedsfähigkeit und der Anfechtbarkeit von Schiedssprüchen, Diss. Luzern 2016
- Amonn Kurt*, *Walther Fridolin*, Grundriss des Schuldbetreibungs- und Konkursrechts, 9. Aufl., Bern 2013
- Arfazadeh Homayoon*, Juge d'appui et for de nécessité, ASA Bull. 1996, 325–332
- Arbitrability under the New York Convention: the Lex Fori Revisited, Arb. Int. 2001, 73–88
  - Considérations pragmatiques sur la compétence respective de l'arbitre et du juge en matière de corruption, ASA Bull. 2001, 672–683
- Arroyo Manuel*, Dealing with Dissenting Opinions in the Award: Some Options for the Tribunal, ASA Bull. 2008, 437–466
- Arroyo Manuel* (ed.), Arbitration in Switzerland, The Practitioner's Guide, 2nd edn, Alphen aan den Rijn 2018
- Aubert Gabriel*, L'arbitrage en droit de travail, ASA Bull. 2000, 2–30
- Bachmann Simon*, The Impact of Third-Party Funding on Security for Costs Requests in International Arbitration Proceedings in Switzerland. Why and how third-party funding should be considered under the Swiss lex arbitri, ASA Bull. 2020, 842–867
- Baizeau Domitille*, Waiving the Right to Challenge an Arbitral Award Rendered in Switzerland: Caveats and Drafting Considerations for Foreign Parties, Int. ALR 2005, 69–77

- Arbitration and Insolvency: Issues of Applicable Law, in: *New Developments in International Commercial Arbitration 2009*, hrsg. von Christoph Müller und Antonio Rigozzi, Zürich 2009, 97–120
- Beechey John*, International Arbitrations and the Award of Security for Costs in England, ASA Bull. 1994, 179–191
- Beffa Luca*, Enforcement of “Default Awards”, ASA Bull. 2013, 756–773
- Berger Bernhard*, Die Schweiz als Schiedsort für Investitionsstreitigkeiten – Erkenntnisse aus der neueren Rechtsprechung des Bundesgerichts”, ASA Bull. 2020, 32–54 (Teil I), ASA Bull. 296–314 (Teil II)
- Die Zuständigkeit von Schiedsgerichten in Investitionsstreitigkeiten mit Sitz in der Schweiz”, Festschrift für Prof. Dr. Roderich C. Thümmel, De Gruyter 2020, 45–53
- Insolvenz und Schiedsvereinbarung in der Schweiz, ASA Bull. 2018, 834–845
- Consequences of a failure to comply with compulsory pre-arbitral steps, *Revista Brasileira de Arbitragem*, Volume XIV Number 56 2017, 198–205
- No Force of Res Judicata for an Award’s Underlying Reasoning, Note on 4A\_633/2014 of 29 May 2015, ASA Bull. 2015, 642–657
- Negative effect of competence-competence revisited, Note on 4A\_560/2013, Judgment of 30 June 2014, ASA Bull. 2014, 539–542
- Rights and Obligations of Arbitrators in the Deliberations, ASA Bull. 2013, 244–261
- Konkurrierende, optionale und asymmetrische Schieds- und Gerichtsstands-klauseln, Jusletter of 13 May 2013
- The new Swiss domestic arbitration law: potential effects on international arbitration in Switzerland, SchiedsVZ 6/2011, 301–306
- Notification and Deposit, Publication, Confidentiality and Preservation of the File, in: *Post Award Issues*, ASA Special Series No. 38, edited by Pierre Tercier, Huntington, 2011, 75–92
- Kritische Gedanken zur Revision von Art. 7 IPRG im Lichte eines praktischen Beispiels, ASA Bull. 2011, 33–44
- Security for Costs: Trends and Developments in Swiss Arbitral Case Law, ASA Bull. 2010, 7–15
- Allgemeines Schuldrecht, 2. Aufl., Bern 2012
- Appeals in International Arbitration under the New Swiss Federal Tribunal Statute, in: *New Developments in International Commercial Arbitration 2007*, hrsg. von Christoph Müller, Zürich 2007, 79–90
- Erste Revision im 12. Kapitel IPRG über die Internationale Schiedsgerichts-barkeit: Lis pendens vor Schiedsgerichten in der Schweiz künftig kein Sistierungsgrund mehr, ZBJV 2007, 151–156
- Jederzeitiges Kündigungsrecht des Schiedsrichters?, ASA Bull. 2002, 5–26
- Prozesskostensicherheit (cautio iudicatum solvi) im Schiedsverfahren, ASA Bull. 2004, 4–21
- Gedanken zur Kautionspflicht im Zivilprozess, ZBJV 2004, 277–287

- Die Widerklage zwischen kantonalem und eidgenössischem Recht, in: Rechtsetzung und Rechtsdurchsetzung, Festschrift für Franz Kellerhals zum 65. Geburtstag, hrsg. von Monique Jametti Greiner, Bernhard Berger, Andreas Güngerich, Bern 2005, 219–252
- Berger Bernhard, Moltzahn Ellen*, Interest in International Arbitration – An Overview, IBLJ 2014, 361–371
- Berger Bernhard, Pfisterer Stefanie*, Arbitration and Politics: Analysis of a Peculiar Arbitration Between Libya and Switzerland, International Journal of Arab Arbitration, Vol. 3/2011, 55–63
- Berger Klaus Peter*, Internationale Wirtschaftsschiedsgerichtsbarkeit – Verfahrens- und materiellrechtliche Grundprobleme im Spiegel moderner Schiedsgesetze, Berlin/New York 1992
- Set-Off in International Economic Arbitration, Arb. Int. 1999, 53–54
  - Rechtsprobleme von Eskalationsklauseln, in: Grenzüberschreitungen, Festschrift für Peter Schlosser zum 70. Geburtstag, hrsg. von Dagmar Coester-Waltjen et al., Tübingen 2005, 19–35
  - Evidentiary Privileges – Best Practice Standards vs./and Arbitral Discretion, in: ASA Special Series No. 26, Basel 2006, 19–39
- Bernet Martin*, Englische Freezing (Mareva) Orders – Praktische Fragen der Anerkennung und Vollstreckung in der Schweiz, in: Internationales Zivilprozess- und Verfahrensrecht I, hrsg. von Karl Spühler, Zürich 2001, 51–100
- Die Vollstreckbarerklärung englischer Freezing Orders in der Schweiz, Jusletter vom 19. Januar 2004
  - Schiedsgericht und Konkurs einer Partei, in: Rechtsetzung und Rechtsdurchsetzung, Festschrift für Franz Kellerhals zum 65. Geburtstag, hrsg. von Monique Jametti Greiner, Bernhard Berger, Andreas Güngerich, Bern 2005, 3–22
  - Obtaining Evidence in the United States, 28 U.S.C. Section 1782 and International Arbitration: Recent Developments and Trends, in: New Developments in International Commercial Arbitration 2008, hrsg. von Christoph Müller und Antonio Rigozzi, Zürich 2009, 125–142
- Berti Stephen V.*, Some Thoughts on the Validity of Arbitration Clauses in the Articles of Association of Corporations under Swiss Law, in: ASA Special Series No. 8, Basel 1994, 120–122
- Besson Sébastien*, Les limites au choix du droit applicable à l'arbitrage et au fond en matière interne, RJJN 2014, 15 *et seq.*
- Réflexions sur le projet de modification de l'article 7 LDIP (initiative Lüscher), ASA Bull. 2011, 374–385
  - The Relationships between Court and Arbitral Jurisdiction: the Impact of the New Article 186(1bis) PILA, in: New Developments in International Commercial Arbitration 2007, hrsg. von Christoph Müller, Zürich 2007, 57–78
  - Le recours contre la sentence arbitrale internationale selon la nouvelle LTF (aspects procéduraux), ASA Bull. 2007, 2–35

- Arbitrage international et mesures provisoires – étude de droit comparé, Diss. Lausanne 1997
- Le sort et les effets au sein de l'Espace judiciaire européen d'un jugement écartant une exception d'arbitrage et statuant sur le fond, in: *Etudes de procédure et d'arbitrage en l'honneur de Jean-François Poudret*, éd. par Jacques Haldy et al., Lausanne 1999, 329–347
- L'efficacité de la clause «Arbitrage en suisse» et de la clause blanche, in: Jean-Denis Bredin et al. (réd.), *Autour de l'arbitrage*, Liber Amicorum Claude Reymond, Paris 2004, 11–27
- La recevabilité du recours au Tribunal fédéral suisse contre les sentences préjudiciales, incidentes ou partielles rendues en matière internationale: Importants revirements de jurisprudence, Gaz. Pal. du mercredi 27, jeudi 28 avril 2005, 15–21
- Etendue du contrôle par le juge d'une exception d'arbitrage; renonciation au recours contre la sentence arbitrale: Deux questions choisies de droit suisse de l'arbitrage international, Rev. arb. 2005, 1071–1083

*Besson Sébastien, Pittet Luc*, La Reconnaissance à l'étranger d'une sentence annulée dans son Etat d'origine, ASA Bull. 1998, 498–527

*Blackaby Nigel, Partasides Constantine, Redfern Alan, Hunter Martin*, Redfern and Hunter on International Arbitration, 6th edn, Oxford University Press 2015 (cited as “Redfern and Hunter”)

*Blessing Marc*, Das neue internationale Schiedsgerichtsrecht der Schweiz – Ein Fortschritt oder ein Rückschritt? in: Die Internationale Schiedsgerichtsbarkeit in der Schweiz (II), Das neue Recht ab 1. Januar 1989, Schriftenreihe DIS 1/II, hrsg. von Karl-Heinz Böckstiegel, Köln, Berlin, Bonn, München 1989, 13–97

- Extension of the Scope of an Arbitration Clause to Non-Signatories, in: ASA Special Series No. 8, Basel 1994, 151–164
- Einführung in die Schiedsgerichtsbarkeit, in: Schiedsgerichtsbarkeit, hrsg. von Andreas Kellerhals, Zürich 1997, 1–150
- Introduction to arbitration – Swiss and international perspectives, Swiss commercial law series vol. 10, Basel 1999

*Blessing Marc, Burckhardt Thomas*, Sovereign Immunity – A Pitfall in State Arbitration? in: Recueil de travaux suisses sur l'arbitrage international, Ouvrage publié sous la direction de Claude Reymond et Eugène Bucher à l'occasion du Congrès Intérimaire du International Council for Commercial Arbitration (ICCA), Zürich 1984, 107–123

*Boog Christopher*, Die Durchsetzung einstweiliger Massnahmen in internationalem Schiedsverfahren, Diss. Zürich 2010

- Swiss Rules of International Arbitration – Time to Introduce an Emergency Arbitrator Procedure?, ASA Bull. 2010, 461–477

*Born Gary B.*, International Commercial Arbitration, 2nd edn, Biggleswade 2014

*Briner Robert*, Die Anfechtung und Vollstreckung des Schiedsentscheids, in: Die Internationale Schiedsgerichtsbarkeit in der Schweiz (II), Das neue

- Recht ab 1. Januar 1989, Schriftenreihe DIS 1/II, hrsg. von Karl-Heinz Böckstiegel, Köln/Berlin/Bonn/München 1989, 99–112
- Broggini Gerardo*, Réflexions sur l’Equité dans l’arbitrage international, ASA Bull. 1991, 95–122
- Brower Charles N.*, *Sharpe Jeremy K.*, Awards of Compound Interest in International Arbitration: The Aminoil Non-Precedent, in: Global Reflections on International Law, Commerce and Dispute Resolution, Liber Amicorum in honour of Robert Briner, Paris 2005, 155–178
- Brown-Berset Dominique*, *Lévy Laurent*, Faillite et arbitrage, ASA Bull. 1998, 664–680
- Brunner Christoph*, Rechtsmittelverzicht in der internationalen Schiedsgerichtsbarkeit: eine Standortbestimmung nach dem Cañas-Urteil (BGE 133 III 235), AJP 2008, 738–751
- Force Majeure and Hardship under General Contract Principles; Exemption for Non-performance in International Arbitration, Austin 2009
- Bucher Andreas*, Das Kapitel 11 des IPR-Gesetzes über die internationale Schiedsgerichtsbarkeit, in: Beiträge zum neuen IPR des Sachen-, Schuld- und Gesellschaftsrechts, Festschrift für Rudolf Moser, hrsg. von Ivo Schwander, Zürich 1987, 193–233
- Die neue internationale Schiedsgerichtsbarkeit in der Schweiz, Basel 1989
  - Zur Lokalisierung internationaler Schiedsgerichte in der Schweiz, in: Festschrift für Max Keller zum 65. Geburtstag, hrsg. von Peter Forstmoser et al., Zürich 1989, 565–574
- Bucher Eugen*, Zur Unabhängigkeit des parteibenannten Schiedsrichters, in: Recht und Wirtschaft heute, Festgabe zum 65. Geburtstag von Max Kummer, hrsg. von Hans Merz und Walter R. Schluep, Bern 1980, 599–616
- Unabdingbarkeit der Nichtigkeitsbeschwerde nach schweizerischem Konkordat über die Schiedsgerichtsbarkeit, IPRax 1986, 187–188
  - Obligationenrecht Allgemeiner Teil, 2. Aufl., Zürich 1988
  - Rechtsvergleichende und kollisionsrechtliche Bemerkungen zur Verrechnung («Kompensation»), in: Kollision und Vereinheitlichung, Mélanges en l’honneur d’Alfred E. von Overbeck à l’occasion de son 65ème anniversaire, hrsg. von Walter A. Stoffel et al., Freiburg 1990, 701–723
  - Die Rolle des Schiedsrichters bei vergleichsweiser Beilegung des Streites, ASA Bull. 1995, 568–589
  - Kompensation im Prozess: Zurück zum materiellen Recht, in: Einheit und Vielfalt des Rechts, Festschrift für Reinhold Geimer zum 65. Geburtstag, hrsg. von Rolf A. Schütze, München 2002, 97–137
  - Noch einmal das Rücktrittsrecht des Schiedsrichters: Zurück zum receptum arbitri, und sodann Rezepte gegen die Untat böser Buben, ASA Bull. 2002, 413–426
  - Was macht den Schiedsrichter? Abschied vom «Schiedsrichtervertrag» – und Weiteres zu Prozessverträgen, in: Grenzüberschreitungen, Festschrift für Peter Schlosser zum 70. Geburtstag, hrsg. von Dagmar Coester-Waltjen et al., Tübingen 2005, 97–118

- Bühler Micha*, Awarding Costs in International Commercial Arbitration: an Overview, ASA Bull. 2004, 249–279
- Bühr Daniel Lucien*, Der internationale Billigkeitsschiedsspruch in der privaten Schiedsgerichtsbarkeit der Schweiz, unter Berücksichtigung des deutschen, englischen, französischen und italienischen Schiedsrechts, Diss. Bern 1993
- Bühring-Uhle Christian*, Arbitration and mediation in international business, 2nd edn, The Hague 2006
- Burkart Michael*, Schiedsfähigkeit individualarbeitsrechtlicher Streitigkeiten: unter besonderer Berücksichtigung der Sportschiedsgerichtsbarkeit, Diss. Luzern 2019
- Caron David, Caplan Lee, Pellonpää Matti*, The UNCITRAL Arbitration Rules – A Commentary, Oxford 2006
- Casey-Obrist Angela*, Individualarbeitsrechtliche Streitigkeiten im Schiedsverfahren, Diss. Basel 2015
- Castelberg Thomas A.*, Die identischen und die in Zusammenhang stehenden Klagen im Gerichtsstandsgesetz, Diss. Bern 2005
- Catelli Cinzia*, The Impact of Insolvency Proceedings on an International Arbitration with Seat in Switzerland, in: Favalli et al. (eds.), Series on International Arbitration, Vol. 3, Bern 2013, 41–83
- Cavalieros Philippe*, In-House Counsel Costs and Other Internal Party Costs in International Commercial Arbitration, Arb. Int. 2014, 145–152
- Chrobak Lennart*, Der Anwendungsbereich des Schiedsverfahrens in Erbsachen: eine Untersuchung der objektiven Schiedsfähigkeit gemäss ZPO und IPRG unter Einbezug des Stiftungs-, Trust- und Familienrechts, Diss. Zürich 2017
- Collins Lawrence*, Anti-Suit Injunctions and the Arbitration Process, in: ASA Special Series No. 15, Basel 2001, 85–96
- Colombini Marco*, Vorsorglicher Rechtsschutz vor Konstituierung des Schiedsgerichts: unter besonderer Berücksichtigung des Eilschiedsrichterverfahrens der ICC Verantwortung, Diss. Zürich 2015
- Courvoisier Maurice*, In der Sache anwendbares Recht vor internationalen Schiedsgerichten mit Sitz in der Schweiz, Diss. Zürich 2004
- Craig W. Laurence, Park William W., Paulsson Jan*, International Chamber of Commerce arbitration, 3. Aufl., New York 2000
- Cuendet David, Daphinoff Michael*, Vers une renonciation tacite au recours contre une sentence arbitrale (art. 192 al. 1 LDIP)? Résumé et commentaire de l'ATF 143 III 55, ASA Bull. 2017, 860–871
- Dardel Daniela*, Trust in Arbitration: schweizerische Schiedsgerichtsbarkeit in trustrechtlichen Angelegenheiten, Diss. Zürich 2018
- Dasser Felix*, International Arbitration and Setting Aside Proceedings in Switzerland: A Statistical Analysis, ASA Bull. 2007, 444–472
- International Arbitration and Setting Aside Proceedings in Switzerland: An Updated Statistical Analysis, ASA Bull. 2010, 82–100.

- Internationale Schiedsgerichte und lex mercatoria – rechtsvergleichender Beitrag zur Diskussion über ein nicht-staatliches Handelsrecht, Diss. Zürich 1989
  - Punitive damages: Vom «fremden Fötzel» zum «Miteidgenoss»? SJZ 2000, 101–111
  - Internationale Schiedsentscheide ohne Rechtsmittel: Ab jetzt gilt's ernst, Jusletter vom 9. Mai 2005
- Dasser Felix, Gauthey Danielle*, La bonne foi dans l'arbitrage, ASA Bull. 2015, 239–273
- Dasser Felix, Wójtowicz Piotr*, Swiss International Arbitral Awards Before the Federal Supreme Court, Statistical Data 1989–2019, ASA Bull. 2021, 7–41
- Derains Yves*, Intérêts moratoires, dommages-intérêts compensatoires et dommages punitifs devant l'arbitre international, in: Etudes offertes à Pierre Bellet, Paris, Litec, 1991, 101–121
- La pratique de l'administration de la prevue dans l'arbitrage commercial international, Rev. arb. 2004, 781–802
- Derains Yves, Schwartz Eric A.*, A guide to the new ICC rules of arbitration, 2nd ed., The Hague 2005
- Devaud Pascal*, La convention d'arbitrage signée par le représentant sans pouvoirs, ASA Bull. 2005, 2–21
- Dimolitsa Antonias*, The Equivocal Power of the Arbitrators to Introduce Ex Officio New Issues of Law, ASA Bull. 2009, 426–440
- Autonomie et «Kompetenz-Kompetenz», Rev. arb. 1998, 305–358
- Dohm Jürgen*, Bankgarantien und Schiedsgerichtsbarkeit, ASA Bull. 1987, 92–106
- Donahey M. Scott*, The UNCITRAL Arbitration Rules and the Truncated Tribunal, Am. Rev. Int. Arb. 1993, 191–197
- Dutoit Bernard*, Droit international privé suisse, commentaire de la loi fédérale du 18 décembre 1987, 4. Aufl., Basel 2005
- Ehle Bernd*, Emergency Arbitration in Practice, in: Christoph Müller, Antonio Rigozzi, New Developments in International Commercial Arbitration 2013, Zurich 2013, 87–109
- Eiholzer Heiner*, Die Streitbeilegungsabrede – Ein Beitrag zu alternativen Formen der Streitbeilegung, namentlich zur Mediation, Diss. Freiburg 1998
- Fasching Hans*, Der Kostenersatzanspruch des Beklagten bei Unzuständigkeitsausspruch des Schiedsgerichtes, in: Festschrift für Walther J. Habscheid zum 65. Geburtstag, hrsg. von Walter Lindacher et al., Bielefeld 1989, 93–98
- Favre-Bulle Xavier*, Les conséquences du non-paiement de la provision pour frais de l'arbitrage par une partie – Un tribunal arbitral peut-il condamner un défendeur au paiement de sa part de l'avance de frais?, ASA Bull. 2001, 227–245
- Favrod-Coune Pascal, Belet Kévin*, La convention d'arbitrage dans un smart contract , AJP 2018, 1105 *et seq.*
- Feit Michael, Terrapon Chassot Chloé*, The Swiss Federal Supreme Court Provides Guidance on the Proper Use of Arbitral Secretaries and Arbitrator

- Consultants under the Swiss lex arbitri, DFC 4A\_709/2014 dated 21 May 2015, ASA Bull. 2015, 897–917
- Fischer Eliane, Peter Flavio*, The Consequences of a Tribunal Secretary's Breach of Duties – the Games of Thrones Edition, ASA Bull. 2018, 358–365
- Follonier-Ayala Alejandro*, Droit de l'arbitrage international en Amérique latine et en Suisse: sources, nature juridique et convention d'arbitrage, Diss. Neuchâtel 2014
- Fouchard Philippe, Gaillard Emmanuel, Goldman Berthold*, Traité de l'arbitrage commercial international, Paris 1996
- Frank Richard, Sträuli Hans, Messmer Georg*, Kommentar zur zürcherischen Zivilprozessordnung, 3. Aufl., Zürich 1997
- Fry Jason, Greenberg Simon, Mazza Francesca*, The Secretariat's Guide to ICC Arbitration, A Practical Commentary on the 2012 ICC Rules of Arbitration from the Secretariat of the ICC International Court of Arbitration, Paris 2012
- Gabriel Simon*, Congruence of the NYC and Swiss lex arbitri regarding extension of arbitral jurisdiction to non-signatories. BGE 145 III 199 (BGer Nr. 4A\_646/2018), ASA Bull. 2019, 883–890
- Gabriel Simon, Wicki Jodok*, Vorvertragliche Schiedszuständigkeit, ASA Bull. 2009, 236–255
- Gaillard Emmanuel*, Les manœuvres dilatoires des parties et des arbitres dans l'arbitrage commercial international, Rev. Arb. 1990, 759–796
- L'effet négatif de la compétence-compétence, in: Études de procédure et d'arbitrage en l'honneur de Jean-François Poudret, Lausanne 1999, 387–402
  - Convention d'arbitrage et immunités de juridiction et d'exécution des Etats et des organisations internationales, ASA Bull. 2000, 471–481
  - Il est interdit d'interdire: réflexions sur l'utilisation des anti-suit injunctions dans l'arbitrage commercial international, Rev. arb. 2004, 47–62
- Galli Dario, Kündig Michael, Vischer Markus*, Schiedsgutachterklauseln in M&A-Verträgen, GesKR 2018, 424 *et seq.*
- Geisinger Elliott*, Les relations entre l'arbitrage commercial international et la justice étatique en matière de mesures provisionnelles, SJ 2005 II 375–397
- The Expedited Procedure under the Swiss Rules of International Arbitration, in: ASA Special Series No. 22, Basel 2004, 67–86
- Geisinger Elliott, Voser Nathalie* (eds), International Arbitration in Switzerland, A Handbook for Practitioners, 2nd edn, Biggleswade 2013
- Getaz Kunz Valentine*, Rechtsmittelverzicht in der internationalen Schiedsgerichtsbarkeit der Schweiz, Diss. Bern 1993
- Ghaffari Amir, Walters Emmylou*, The Emergency Arbitrator: The Dawn of a New Age?, Arb. Int. 2014, 153–167
- Gill Judith*, The Arbitrator's Role in Bringing About a Settlement – An English View, in: ASA Special Series No. 26, Basel 2006, 155–165
- Girsberger Daniel, Gabriel Simon*, Die Rechtsnatur der Schiedsvereinbarung im schweizerischen Recht, in: Mél. en l'honneur de Pierre Tercier, hrsg. von Peter Gauch et al., Zürich 2008, 819–833

- Girsberger Daniel, Hausmaninger Christian*, Assignment of Rights and Agreement to Arbitrate, *Arb. Int.* 1992, 121–166
- Girsberger Daniel, Loretan Fabian*, Internationale Schiedsgerichtsbarkeit: Revision des 12. Kapitels IPRG, *SRIEL* 2020, 391 *et seq.*
- Girsberger Daniel, Voser Nathalie*, International Arbitration, Comparative and Swiss Perspectives, 4th edn, Zurich 2021
- Göksu Tarkan*, Schiedsgerichtsbarkeit, Zürich/St. Gallen 2014
- Schiedsgerichtlicher Instanzenzug – Welches Verfahren bei Rechtsmitteln an ein Oberschiedsgericht?, *ASA Bull.* 2016, 606–628
- Goldman Berthold*, L’arbitrage international et le droit de la concurrence, *ASA Bull.* 1989, 260–302
- Greenberg Simon, Lautenschlager Felix*, Adverse Inferences in International Arbitral Practice, *ICC Bull.* 2011, 43–56
- Grégoire Nicolas*, Evidentiary privileges in international arbitration: a comparative analysis under English, American, Swiss and French law, Diss. Genève 2015
- Groselj Luka*, Stay of arbitration proceedings – Some examples from arbitral practice, *ASA Bull.* 2018, 560–577
- Grossen Jacques-Michel*, La prise en compte du droit international public dans l’arbitrage commercial international, in: *Rechtsetzung und Rechtsdurchsetzung*, Festschrift für Franz Kellerhals zum 65. Geburtstag, hrsg. von Monique Jametti Greiner, Bernhard Berger, Andreas Güngerich, Bern 2005, 35–48
- Guldener Max*, Das internationale und interkantonale Zivilprozessrecht der Schweiz, Zürich 1951
- Schweizerisches Zivilprozessrecht, 3. Aufl., Zürich 1979
- Gunter Pierre-Yves*, Transnational Rules on the Taking of Evidence, in: Towards a Uniform International Arbitration Law? ed. by Emmanuel Gaillard et al., Huntington/Bern 2005, 129–148
- Günther Michael*, Internationale Schiedsgerichtsbarkeit und Insolvenz: zur Berücksichtigung von Insolvenzverfahren und ihre Auswirkungen vor internationalen Schiedsgerichten mit Sitz in der Schweiz, Diss. Luzern, 2011
- Gutzwiller Max*, Das Internationalprivatrecht der durch die Friedensverträge eingesetzten Gemischten Schiedsgerichtshöfe, *IJS* 1931, Bd. 3, 123–152
- Habegger Philipp*, Das revidierte Kapitel 12 IPRG über die Internationale Schiedsgerichtsbarkeit, *ZZZ* 2021, 371 *et seq.*
- Habscheid Walther J.*, Die Kündigung des Schiedsvertrages aus wichtigem Grund, *KTS* 1980, 285–296
- Das Problem der Kompetenz-Kompetenz des Schiedsgerichts, *SJZ* 1982, 321–327
  - Teil-, Zwischen- und Vorabschiedssprüche im schweizerischen und deutschen Recht, ihre Anfechtbarkeit und die Rechtsfolgen ihrer Aufhebung durch das Staatsgericht (unter besonderer Berücksichtigung der Streitgenossenschaft), *ZSR* 1987 I 669–689
  - Der nur scheinbar Verklagte (Scheinbeklagte), *SJZ* 1987, 235–238

- Grundsätzliches zur Dogmatik des Schiedsrichtervertrages im schweizerischen Recht, in: *Festschrift für Hans W. Fasching zum 65. Geburtstag*, hrsg. von Richard Holzhammer, Wolfgang Jelinek und Peter Böhm, Wien 1988, 195–211
- Rechtsstaatliche Aspekte des internationalen Schiedsverfahrens mit Rechtsmittelverzicht nach dem IPR-Gesetz, *Vereinigung für Rechtsstaat und Individualrechte*, Solothurn 1988
- Das neue schweizerische Recht der internationalen Schiedsgerichtsbarkeit nach dem Bundesgesetz über das Internationale Privatrecht, RIW 1988, 766–772
- Einstweiliger Rechtsschutz durch Schiedsgerichte nach dem schweizerischen Gesetz über das Internationale Privatrecht (IPRG), IPrax 1989, 134–137
- Die Schiedsgerichtsbarkeit und der Ordre public, in: *Festschrift für Max Keller zum 65. Geburtstag*, hrsg. von Peter Forstmoser et al., Zürich 1989, 575–587
- Schweizerisches Zivilprozess- und Gerichtsorganisationsrecht, 2. Aufl., Basel 1990
- Zur materiellen Rechtskraft des Unzuständigkeitsentscheids, in: *Festschrift für Hideo Nakamura zum 70. Geburtstag*, hrsg. von Andreas Heldrich und Takeyoshi Uchida, Tokyo 1996, 203–220

*Hanotiau Bernard*, Objective Arbitrability, its Limits, its Problem Areas, in: ASA Special Series No. 6, Basel 1994, 26–43

- L’arbitrabilité et le favor arbitrandum: un réexamen, JDI 1994, 899–966
- L’arbitrabilité des litiges de propriété intellectuelle: une analyse comparative, ASA Bull. 2003, 3–15

*Hausheer Heinz, Aebi-Müller Regina*, Sanktionen gegen Sportler – Voraussetzungen und Rahmenbedingungen, ZBJV 2001, 337–384

*Heini Anton*, Der materiellrechtliche Ordre public im neuen schweizerischen Recht der internationalen Schiedsgerichtsbarkeit, in: *Festschrift für Walther J. Habscheid zum 65. Geburtstag*, hrsg. von Walter Lindacher et al., Bielefeld 1989, 153–159

*Henn Guenter*, Gibt es eine bindende Kompetenz-Kompetenz der Schiedsgerichte?, JPS 1990, 50–57

*Henriques Duarte Gorjão*, The Prague Rules: Competitor, Alternative or Addition to the IBA Rules on the Taking of Evidence in International Arbitration?, ASA Bull. 2018, 351–363

*Herrmann Gerold*, Commentary on the UNCITRAL Conciliation Rules, YCA 1981, 170–190

*Hirsch Laurent*, Recours contre une sentence rectificative en matière d’arbitrage international, Jusletter vom 22. August 2005

- Révision d’une sentence arbitrale 12 ans après, Jusletter 4. Januar 2010
- Odd Arbitration Clause, Reflecting Disagreement, Held to Be Inexistent. Note on the Judgment of the Swiss Federal Supreme Court of 18 May 2020, ASA Bull. 2020, 675–681

- Hochstrasser Daniel*, Choice of Law and “Foreign” Mandatory Rules in International Arbitration, *Jnl. Int. Arb.* 1994, 57–86
- Hochstrasser Daniel, Sunaric Predrag*, Dissenting Opinion – Weder Ärgernis noch Torheit, *SchiedsVZ* 2021, 35–40
- Hoffet Franz*, Rechtliche Beziehungen zwischen Schiedsrichtern und Parteien, *Diss. Zürich* 1991
- Fragen der subjektiven und objektiven Schiedsfähigkeit, in: *Schiedsgerichtsbarkeit*, hrsg. von Andreas Kellerhals, Zürich 1997, 249–269
  - Plädoyer für die ex officio Anwendung von Kartellrecht durch Schiedsgerichte in der Schweiz, *ASA Bull.* 2000, 697–704
- Hoffmann-Nowotny, Urs H.*, Doppelrelevante Tatsachen in Zivilprozess und Schiedsverfahren, *Diss. Zürich* 2010
- Holtzmann Howard M., Neuhaus Joseph E.*, A Guide to the UNCITRAL Model Law on International Commercial Arbitration, 1994
- Homberger Arthur*, Der private Schiedsspruch im internationalen Verkehr, *ZSR* 1932, 3–22
- Hovaguimian Philippe*, Non-reviewable Facts in Swiss Annulment Proceedings: Undermining the Safeguards of Art. 190 PILA, *ASA Bull.* 2018, 89–108
- Huber Lucius*, Arbitration Clause «By Reference», in: *ASA Special Series No. 8*, Basel 1994, 78–88
- Hunter Martin*, Ethics of the International Arbitrator, *ASA Bull.* 1986, 172–196
- Inderkum Hans-Heinrich*, Der Schiedsrichtervertrag nach dem Recht der nicht internationalen Schiedsgerichtsbarkeit der Schweiz unter Mitberücksichtigung der Schiedsordnung des IPRG, *Diss. Freiburg* 1988
- Jalili Mahir*, Kompetenz-Kompetenz: Recent U.S. and U.K. Developments, *Jnl. Int. Arb.* 1996, 169–178
- Jarrosson Charles*, Conventions d’arbitrage et groupes de sociétés, in: *ASA Special Series No. 8*, Basel 1994, 209–228
- Jarvin Sigvard*, Extending the Scope to Non-Signatories; Group of Companies Doctrine, in: *ASA Special Series No. 8*, Basel 1994, 181–208
- Jauch Heidi Kerstin*, Aufrechnung und Verrechnung in der Schiedsgerichtsbarkeit, Eine rechtsvergleichende Studie Deutschland/Schweiz, *Diss. Bern* 2001
- Jermini Cesare*, Die Anfechtung der Schiedssprüche im internationalen Privatrecht, *Diss. Zürich* 1997
- Jermini Cesare, Bernardoni Nicola*, Suspensive Effect of Challenges Against International Arbitral Awards in Switzerland: A Trend Towards More Frequent Grants?, in: *New Developments in International Commercial Arbitration* 2011, pp.79 et seq.
- Jolles Alexander*, Fast Track Procedure, in: *ASA Special Series No. 13*, Basel 2000, 37–42
- Jolidon Pierre*, Commentaire du Concordat suisse sur l’arbitrage, Berne 1984
- Josi Christian*, Die Anerkennung und Vollstreckung der Schiedssprüche in der Schweiz, *Diss. Bern* 2004

- Kaufmann-Kohler Gabrielle*, «Iura novit arbiter» – Est-ce bien raisonnable? Réflexions sur le statut du droit de fond devant l’arbitre international, in: *De lege ferenda*, Réflexions sur le droit désirable en l’honneur du Professeur Alain Hirsch, éd. par Anne Héritier Lachat et Laurent Hirsch, Genève 2004, 71–78
- Kaufmann-Kohler Gabrielle, Bärtsch Philippe*, Discovery in international arbitration: How much is too much? *SchiedsVZ* 2004, 13–21
- Kaufmann-Kohler Gabrielle, Rigozzi Antonio*, International Arbitration, Law and Practice in Switzerland, Oxford 2015
- Karrer Pierre A.*, Pathological Arbitration Clauses – Malpractice, Diagnosis and Therapy, in: *The International Practice of Law*, Liber Amicorum for Thomas Bär and Robert Karrer, ed. by Nedim Peter Vogt et al., Basel 1997, 109–127
- Jurisdiction on Set-off Defences and Counterclaims, *Arbitration* 2001, 176–178
  - Verrechnung und Widerklage vor Schiedsgericht, in: Rechtsetzung und Rechtsdurchsetzung, *Festschrift für Franz Kellerhals zum 65. Geburtstag*, hrsg. von Monique Jametti Greiner, Bernhard Berger, Andreas Günerich, Bern 2005, 49–54
- Karrer Pierre A., Desax Marcus*, Security for Costs in International Arbitration – Why, when, and what if ..., in: *Law of international business and dispute settlement in the 21st century*, Liber Amicorum Karl-Heinz Böckstiegel, hrsg. von Robert Briner et al., Köln etc. 2001, 339–353
- Kellerhals Franz, Berger Bernhard*, Terms of Reference – Ihre Bedeutung für das Schiedsverfahren, *recht* 2002, 24–36
- Iura novit arbiter, in: *Norm und Wirkung*, *Festschrift für Wolfgang Wiegand zum 65. Geburtstag*, hrsg. von Eugen Bucher, Claus-Wilhelm Canaris, Heinrich Honsell, Thomas Koller, Bern 2005, 387–405
  - Widerklage und Verrechnung nach den Swiss Rules of International Arbitration, in: *Mélanges en l’honneur de François Knoepfler*, éd. par François Bohnet et Pierre Wessner, Bâle/Genève/Munich 2005, 207–226
  - Erste Erfahrungen mit den Swiss Rules of International Arbitration, *Anwaltsrevue* 2005, 161–163
- Kiffer Laurence*, Amiable Composition and ICC Arbitration, *ICC Bull.* 2007, 51–62
- Kistler Hansjörg*, Schiedsabreden in Testamenten und Erbverträgen, Zürich 1999
- Knoepfler François*, L’article 19 LDIP est-il adapté à l’arbitrage international? in: *Etudes de droit international en l’honneur de Pierre Lalive*, hrsg. von Christian Dominicé, Robert Patry und Claude Reymond, Basel 1993, 531–541
- Les mesures provisoires et l’arbitrage international, in: *Schiedsgerichtsbarkeit*, hrsg. von Andreas Kellerhals, Zürich 1997, 307–329
  - Les décisions rendues par l’arbitre à la suite d’un examen ‘Prima Facie’, *ASA Bull.* 2002, 587–607

- Knuts Gisela*, Jura Novit Curia and the Right to Be Heard – An Analysis of Recent Case Law, Arb. Int. 2012, 669–688
- Krapfl Bettina*, Die Dokumentenvorlage im internationalen Schiedsverfahren, Diss. Köln 2006
- Kreindler Richard H.*, Final Rulings on Costs: Loser Pays All? in: ASA Special Series No. 26, Basel 2006, 41–54
- Kronke Herbert, Nacimiento Patricia, Otto Dirk, Port Nicola Christine* (eds), Recognition and Enforcement of Foreign Arbitral Awards: A Global Commentary on the New York Convention, Biggleswade 2010
- Kummer Max*, Grundriss des Zivilprozessrechts nach den Prozessordnungen des Kantons Bern und des Bundes, 4. Aufl., Bern 1984
- Kunz Catherine Anne*, Revision of Arbitral Awards in Switzerland: An Extraordinary Tool or Simply a Popular Chimera? A Review of Decisions Rendered by the Swiss Supreme Court on Revision Requests over the Period 2009–2019, ASA Bull. 2020, 6–31
- Kunz Christian*, Dissenting Opinions in International Commercial Arbitration Proceedings in Switzerland, in: Favalli et al. (eds.), Series on International Arbitration, Vol. 3, Bern 2013, 1–39
- Lalive Pierre*, Transnational (or Truly International) Public Policy and International Arbitration, in: ICCA congress series No. 3, New York 1986, 258–318
- On the Conflict Rules Applicable by the International Arbitrator, ASA Bull. 1989, 27–37
  - Sur l'impartialité de l'arbitre international en Suisse, SJ 1990, 362–371
  - Le droit applicable au fond par l'arbitre international, in: Maria de Lourdes Belchior (éd.), Droit international et droit communautaire, actes du colloque, Paris, 5 et 6 avril 1990, Paris, Fondation Calouste Gulbenkian Centre culturel portugais, 1991, 33–53
  - Avantages et inconvénients de l'arbitrage «ad hoc», in: Etudes offertes à Pierre Bellet, Paris, Litec, 1991, 301–321
  - Du nouveau sur les tribunaux arbitraux «tronqués»? ASA Bull. 1999, 211–219
  - Principe d'inquisition et principe accusatoire dans l'arbitrage commercial international, ULR 2001, 887–900
  - On the Transfer of Seat in International Arbitration, in: J. A. R. Nafziger and S. C. Symeonides (Eds.), Law and Justice in a Multistate World, Essays in Honor of Arthur T. von Mehren, New York 2002, 515–526
- Lalive Pierre, Poudret Jean François, Reymond Claude*, Le droit de l'arbitrage interne et international en Suisse, Lausanne 1989
- Landbrecht Johannes, Wehowsky Andreas R.*, Determining the Law Applicable to the Personal Scope of Arbitration Agreements and its "Extension", ASA Bull. 2017, 837–859
- Lanz Richard*, Das Konkordat über die Schiedsgerichtsbarkeit vom 27. März 1969, Diss. Zürich 1971

- Lawson David A.*, Impartiality and Independence of International Arbitrators – Commentary on the 2004 IBA Guidelines on Conflicts of Interest in International Arbitration, *ASA Bull.* 2005, 22–44
- Legler Thomas*, Arbitration of Intellectual Property Disputes, *ASA Bull.* 2019, 289–304
- Leimgruber Stefan*, Declaratory Relief in International Commercial Arbitration, *ASA Bull.* 2014, 467–489
- Die negative Feststellungsklage vor internationalen Schiedsgerichten mit Sitz in der Schweiz, *Diss. Luzern* 2013
- Leimgruber Stefan, Leuenberger Christoph, Lévy Laurent, Lew Julian D. M., Liniger Stefan, Mauerhofer Marc André, Legler Thomas, Leuch Georg, Marbach Omar, Kellerhals Franz, Sterchi Martin, Liatowitsch Manuel, Nica Andreea, Marugg Daniel*, *Leombo Saverio, Conrad Hari Aurelie*, International Arbitration in Switzerland and Foreign Bankruptcy: Where Do We Stand?, *ASA Bull* 2014, 735–757
- Leuenberger Christoph*, Streiterledigung zwischen Vergleich und Urteil: Modell eines einfachen und raschen Verfahrens, in: Beiträge zum schweizerischen und internationalen Zivilprozessrecht, *Festschrift für Oscar Vogel*, hrsg. von Ivo Schwander und Walter A. Stoffel, Freiburg 1991, 51–66
- Leuch Georg, Marbach Omar, Kellerhals Franz, Sterchi Martin*, Die Zivilprozessordnung für den Kanton Bern, 5. Aufl., Bern 2000
- Lévy Laurent*, Dissenting Opinions in International Arbitration in Switzerland, *Arb. Int.* 1989, 35–42
- Les astreintes et l’arbitrage international en Suisse, *ASA Bull.* 2001, 21–36
- Insolvency in Arbitration – Swiss law, in: Financial Capacity of the Parties – A Condition for the Validity of Arbitration Agreements? ed. by the German Institution of Arbitration (DIS), Frankfurt a. M. 2004, 87–106
- Lévy Laurent, Schlaepfer Anne Véronique*, La suspension d’instance dans l’arbitrage international, *Gaz. Pal.* du 14/15 novembre 2001, 18–26
- Lew Julian D. M.*, Inquisitorial v. Adversarial Proceedings, in: *ASA Special Series No. 26*, Basel 2006, 167–178
- Lew Julian D. M., Mistelis Loukas A., Kröll Stefan*, Comparative International Commercial Arbitration, 2003
- Liatowitsch Manuel*, Die Anwendung der Litispendenzregeln von Art. 9 IRPG durch schweizerische Schiedsgerichte: Ein Paradoxon? Überlegungen zu einem Bundesgerichtsentscheid vom 14. Mai 2001 im Lichte von BGE 124 III 83, *ASA Bull.* 2001, 422–438
- Schweizer Schiedsgerichte und Parallelverfahren vor Staatsgerichten im In- und Ausland, *Diss. Basel* 2002
- Liniger Stefan*, Immaterialgüterrechtliche Streitigkeiten vor internationalen Schiedsgerichten mit Sitz in der Schweiz, *Diss. Zürich* 2002
- Nica Andreea*, Case Note on the Decision of the Swiss Federal Tribunal in *Clorox v. Venezuela*, *ASA Bull.* 2020, 884–893
- Marugg Daniel*, Die Schiedsbeschwerde - anspruchsvoll und selten erfolgreich, *ZZZ* 2019, 41 *et seq.*
- Mauerhofer Marc André*, Schiedsgerichtliche Zuständigkeit in Erbstreitigkeiten aufgrund Parteivereinbarung und erblassererischer Anordnung, *ZBJV* 2006, 375–403

- Mayer Carl Ulrich*, Die Überprüfung internationaler Schiedsvereinbarungen durch staatliche Gerichte – Überlegungen zu BGE 121 III 38 und BGE 122 III 139, ASA Bull. 1996, 361–411
- Exclusion Agreements According to Article 192 of the Swiss Private International Law Act, ASA Bull. 1999, 191–210
- Mayer Pierre*, Les limites de la séparabilité de la clause compromissoire, Rev. arb. 1998, 359–368
- McGregor Eleanor*, L'arbitrage en droit public suisse : une comparaison avec la France, les Etats-Unis et l'arbitrage d'investissement, Diss. Genève 2014
- Meier Andrea*, Einbezug Dritter vor internationalen Schiedsgerichten, Diss. Zürich 2007
- Meier Andrea, Mcgough Yolanda*, Do Lawyers Always Have to Have the Last Word? Iura Novit Curia and the Right to Be Heard in International Arbitration: an Analysis in View of Recent Swiss Case Law, ASA Bull. 2014, 490–507
- Meier Andrea, Setz Anna Lea*, Arbitration Clauses in Third Party Beneficiary Contracts - Who May and Who Must Arbitrate?, ASA Bull. 2016, 62–77
- Meier Kurt*, Schiedsgerichtsbarkeit in arbeitsrechtlichen Streitigkeiten, in: Festschrift 125 Jahre Kassationsgericht des Kantons Zürich, hrsg. von Andreas Donatsch, Thomas Fingerhuth, Viktor Lieber, Jörg Rehberg, Hans Ulrich Walder-Richli, Zürich 2000, 267–278
- Meier Valentina*, Schiedsklauseln in Statuten schweizerischer Aktiengesellschaften, Diss. Zürich 2016
- Meyer-Hauser Bernhard F.*, Ad hoc Schiedsgerichtsbarkeit und UNCITRAL Verfahrensordnung, in: Schiedsgerichtsbarkeit, hrsg. von Andreas Kellerhals, Zürich 1997, 207–222
- Anwaltsgeheimnis und Schiedsgericht, Zürich 2004
- Stärkung des Schiedsplatzes Schweiz – Neue Internationale Schiedsordnung in Kraft, in: ASA Special Series No. 22, Basel 2004, 289–292
- Meyer-Hauser Bernhard F., Sieber Philipp*, Attorney Secrecy v Attorney-Client Privilege in International Commercial Arbitration, Arbitration 2007, 148–188
- Meyer Bernhard F., Baier Jonatan*, Arbitrator Consultants - Another Way to Deal with Technical or Commercial Challenges of Arbitrations, ASA Bull. 2015, 37–57
- Mitrovic Milivoje*, Dealing with the Consequences of Non-Compliance with Mandatory Pre-Arbitral Requirements in Multi-Tiered Dispute Resolution Clauses. The Swiss Approach and a Look Across the Border, ASA Bull. 2019, 559–579
- Möhler Christine*, Konsumentenverträge im schweizerischen Schiedsverfahren mit rechtsvergleichenden Aspekten, Diss. Luzern, Luzerner Beiträge zur Rechtswissenschaft, vol. 93, Zurich 2014
- Mosimann Olivier Luc*, Anti-suit injunctions in international commercial arbitration, Diss. Basel, 2009

- The Action by Stages (Stufenklage / action échelonnée) in International Arbitrations in Switzerland, *ASA Bull.* 2017, 567–588
- Müller Christoph*, Das Schweizerische Bundesgericht revidiert zum ersten Mal einen internationalen Schiedsspruch: eine Analyse im Lichte des neuen Bundesgerichtsgesetzes, *SchiedsVZ* 2007, 64–70
- La confidentialité en arbitrage commercial international: un trompe-l'oeil? *ASA Bull.* 2005, 216–240
- Müller Christoph, Pearson Sabrina*, Swiss Case Law in International Arbitration, 3rd edn, Zurich 2019
- Müller Thomas*, IBA Rules of Evidence – ein Brückenschlag zwischen Common Law and Civil Law in internationalen Schiedsverfahren, in: Internationales Zivilprozess- und Verfahrensrecht II, hrsg. von Karl Spühler, Zürich 2003, 51–71
- Müller-Chen Markus*, The Use of Evidence Obtained in US-American Discovery in International Civil Procedure Law and Arbitration in Switzerland, *ASA Bull.* 2009, 196–212
- Nater-Bass Gabriele*, ‘Prima Facie’ Zuständigkeitsentscheide in internationalen Schiedsgerichtsverfahren aus der Sicht der Parteien, *ASA Bull.* 2002, 608–622
- Praktische Aspekte des Vergleichs in Schiedgerichtsverfahren, *ASA Bull.* 2002, 427–442
- Nessi Sebastiano*, Anti-suit and Anti-arbitration Injunctions in International Commercial Arbitration: The Swiss Approach, in: Favalli et al. (eds.), Series on International Arbitration, Vol. 3, Bern 2013, 163–203
- Oesch Matthias*, Das Streitbeilegungsverfahren der WTO, *recht* 2004, 192–205
- Oetiker Christian*, Witnesses before the International Arbitral Tribunal, *ASA Bull.* 2007, 253 – 278
- Sense and Nonsense of Written Witness Statements, in: New Developments in International Commercial Arbitration 2009, hrsg. von Christoph Müller und Antonio Rigozzi, Zürich 2009, 29–41
  - Eintritt und Wirkungen der Rechtshängigkeit in der internationalen Schiedsgerichtsbarkeit, *Diss. St. Gallen* 2002
- Oetiker Christian, Walz Claudia*, Non-Compliance with Multi-Tier Dispute Resolution Clauses in Switzerland, *ASA Bull.* 2017, 872–887
- Oschütz Frank*, Sportschiedsgerichtsbarkeit: die Schiedsverfahren des Tribunal Arbitral du Sport vor dem Hintergrund des schweizerischen und deutschen Schiedsverfahrensrechts, Berlin 2005
- Paczoska Kottmann Dorota Maria*, Materiellrechtliche Folgen der Missachtung einer internationalen Schiedsvereinbarung nach Schweizer Recht, *Diss. Zürich* 2019
- Palermo Giulio, Robach Malcolm*, Judicial Review of Arbitrators' Fees. A Swiss law perspective, *ASA Bull.* 2014, 595–608
- Panchaud André*, Le siège de l'arbitrage international de droit privé, *SJZ* 1965, 369–375

- Partasides Constantine*, The Fourth Arbitrator? The Role of Secretaries to Tribunals in International Arbitration, *Arb. Int.* 2002, 147–163
- Patocchi Paolo Michele*, Party Autonomy vs. Case Management in International Arbitration, *Banka ve Ticaret Hukuku Dergisi C. XXIX Sa. 2’den*, 126–163
- Paulsson Jan*, The Case for Disregarding LSAS (Local Standards Annulments) under the New York Convention, *Am. Rev. Int. Arb.* 1996, 99–114
- May or Must Under the New York Convention: An Exercise in Syntax and Linguistics, *Arb. Int.* 1998, 227–230
- Perret François*, Faillite et arbitrage international, *ASA Bull.* 2007, 36–47
- Les conclusions et leur cause juridique au regard de la règle ne eat judex ultra petita partium, in: *Etudes de Droit International en l’honneur de Pierre Lalive*, Basel 1993, 595–605
  - Les conclusions et les chefs de demande dans l’arbitrage international, *ASA Bull.* 1996, 7–20
  - Parallel Actions Pending before an Arbitral Tribunal and a State Court: The Solution under Swiss Law, *Arb. Int.* 2000, 333–342
  - Parallel Actions Pending before an Arbitral Tribunal and a State Court: The Solution under Swiss Law, *ASA Special Series No. 15*, Basel 2001, 65–84
  - Arbitrage interne et international: y a-t-il lieu de conserver cette distinction? in: *Rechtsetzung und Rechtsdurchsetzung*, *Festschrift für Franz Kellerhals zum 65. Geburtstag*, hrsg. von Monique Jametti Greiner, Bernhard Berger, Andreas Güngerich, Bern 2005, 55–63
- Pestalozzi Christoph*, Die widerspenstige Schiedspartei – Sanktionen im Verfahrensrecht der Schiedsgerichtsbarkeit, in: *Wirtschaft in Bewegung*, *Festschrift zum 65. Geburtstag von Peter Forstmoser*, hrsg. von Gaudenz Zindel et al., Zürich 2008, 579–600
- Peter Wolfgang*, Witness «Conferencing», *Arb. Int.* 2002, 47–58
- Die neue Schweizerische Schiedsordnung – Anmerkungen für die Praxis, *SchiedsVZ* 2004, 57–65
- Pfisterer Stefanie*, Ausdehnung von Schiedsvereinbarungen im Konzernverhältnis, *Diss.* Zürich, 2011
- Pfisterer Stefanie Schnyder Anton K.*, International Arbitration in Switzerland, Swiss law in a nuthsell series, Zurich 2012
- Picht Peter Georg Chrobak Lennart*, Einseitige Schiedsklauseln in der Schweizer Schiedsrechtsrevision - Teil I, *SJZ* 2018, 205 *et seq.*, Teil II, *SJZ* 2018, 233 *et seq.*
- Pittet Luc*, Compétence du juge et de l’arbitre en matière de compensation: étude de droit interne et international, *Diss.* Lausanne 2000
- Poncet Dominique Macaluso Alain*, La suspension de la procédure arbitrale comme dépendant du pénal: un bref état des lieux, in: *Rechtsetzung und Rechtsdurchsetzung*, *Festschrift für Franz Kellerhals zum 65. Geburtstag*, hrsg. von Monique Jametti Greiner, Bernhard Berger, Andreas Güngerich, Bern 2005, 65–73
- Pörnbacher Karl Thiel Sophie*, Kostensicherheit in Schiedsverfahren, *SchiedsVZ* 2010, 14–21

- Poudret Jean-François*, Exception d’arbitrage et litispendence en droit suisse – Comment départager le juge et l’arbitre? ASA Bull. 2007, 230–245
- Particularismes du recours en matière d’arbitrage international, in: Urs Portmann (ed.), *La nouvelle loi sur le Tribunal fédéral*, Lausanne 2007, 121–128
  - La clause arbitrale par référence selon la Convention de New York et l’art. 6 du Concordat sur l’arbitrage, in: *Mélanges Guy Flattet*, hrsg. von Bernard Dutoit, Josef Hofstetter und Paul Piotet, Lausanne 1985, 523–538
  - Les recours au Tribunal fédéral suisse en matière d’arbitrage interne et international, ASA Bull. 1988, 33–63
  - La recevabilité du recours au Tribunal fédéral contre la sentence partielle, ASA Bull. 1990, 237–243
  - Arbitrage multipartite et droit suisse, ASA Bull. 1991, 8–22
  - Expertise et droit d’être entendu dans l’arbitrage international, in: *Etudes de droit international en l’honneur de Pierre Lalive*, éd. par Christian Dominicé, Robert Patry und Claude Reymond, Basel 1993, 607–624
  - Le droit applicable à la convention d’arbitrage, in: *ASA Special Series No. 8*, Basel 1994, 23–31
  - Une action en constatation de droit au sujet de l’existence ou la validité d’une clause arbitrale est-elle recevable en droit fédéral ou cantonal?, in: *Recht und Rechtsdurchsetzung*, Festschrift für Hans Ulrich Walder, hrsg. von Isaak Meier, Hans Michael Riemer und Peter Weimar, Zürich 1994, 341–356
  - L’extension de la clause d’arbitrage: approches française et suisse, JDI 1995, 893–915
  - Compensation et arbitrage, in: *Le droit en action*, Lausanne 1996, 361–383
  - Discrepancies Between the N. Y. Convention and Chapter 12 of the Swiss PIL? in: *ASA Special Series No. 9*, Basel 1996, 238–244
  - L’arbitre n’a pas de for. Remarques à propos de l’article 1<sup>er</sup> alinéa 3 du projet de loi fédérale sur les fors en matière civile, in: *Pierre-Henri Bolle* (Ed.), *Mél. en l’honneur de Henri-Robert Schüpbach*, Bâle/Genève/Munich 2000, 227–236
  - Légitimité et opportunité de l’opinion dissidente dans le silence de la loi? Poursuite d’un amical débat, in: *Jean-Denis Bredin* et al. (réd.), *Autour de l’arbitrage*, Liber Amicorum Claude Reymond, Paris 2004, 243–253
  - Les recours au Tribunal fédéral en matière d’arbitrage interne selon les projets de lois sur le Tribunal fédéral et de procédure civile suisse, in: *Rechtsetzung und Rechtsdurchsetzung*, Festschrift für Franz Kellerhals zum 65. Geburtstag, hrsg. von Monique Jametti Greiner, Bernhard Berger, Andreas Güngerich, Bern 2005, 75–88
- Poudret Jean-François, Besson Sébastien*, Comparative law of international arbitration, 2nd ed., London 2007
- Raeschke-Kessler Hilmar*, The Arbitrator as Settlement Facilitator, Arb. Int. 2005, 523–536
- Die Unparteilichkeit und Unabhängigkeit des Schiedsrichters – ein transnationales Rechtsproblem?, ASA Bull. 2008, 3–17

- Raess Lorenz*, Court assistance in the taking of evidence in international arbitration, Diss. Fribourg 2020
- Challenging Court Assistance in the Taking of Evidence in International Arbitration – the Swiss Perspective, *ASA Bull.* 2019, 902–915
- Reiser Hans*, Strafandrohung gemäss Art. 292 StGB durch Schiedsgerichte? in: *Schweizerisches und internationales Zwangsvollstreckungsrecht*, Festschrift für Karl Spühler zum 70. Geburtstag, hrsg. von Hans Michael Riemer et al., Zürich 2005, 265–272
- Reymond Claude*, La clause arbitrale par référence, in: *Recueil de travaux suisses sur l'arbitrage international*, Ouvrage publié sous la direction de Claude Reymond et Eugène Bucher à l'occasion du Congrès Intérimaire du International Council for Commercial Arbitration (ICCA), Zürich 1984, 85–98
- Security for Costs in International Arbitration, *110 L.Q. Rev.* 1994, 501–506
  - The President of the Arbitral Tribunal, *ICSID Rev.-For. Inv. L.J.* 1994, 1–16
- Reymond-Eniaeva Elza*, Towards a uniform approach to confidentiality of international commercial arbitration, Diss. Lausanne 2017
- Riemer Hans Michael*, Schiedsfähigkeit von Klagen des ZGB, in: *Recht und Rechtsdurchsetzung*, Festschrift für Hans Ulrich Walder, hrsg. von Isaak Meier, Hans Michael Riemer und Peter Weimar, Zürich 1994, 371–383
- Rigozzi Antonio*, Arbitrage, Ordre public et droit communautaire de la concurrence, *ASA Bull.* 1999, 455–487
- L'arbitrabilité des litiges sportifs, *ASA Bull.* 2003, 501–534
  - L'arbitrage international en matière de sport, Basel 2005
- Rigozzi Antonio, Schöll Michael*, Die Revision von Schiedssprüchen nach dem 12. Kapitel des IPRG, Bibliothek zur Zeitschrift für Schweizerisches Recht, Beiheft 37, Basel 2002
- Ritter Thomas*, Einheitliche Entscheidung gesellschaftsrechtlicher Beschluss-anfechtungsklagen vor Schiedsgerichten: unter Berücksichtigung der staatlichen Gerichtsbarkeit und statutarischer Schiedsklauseln, Diss. Zürich 2015
- Ritz Philipp*, Die Geheimhaltung im Schiedsverfahren nach schweizerischem Recht, Diss. Univ. Bern 2007
- Roney David P., von der Weid Katherine*, Third-Party Funding in International Arbitration: New Opportunities and New Challenges, in: Christoph Müller, Antonio Rigozzi, *New Developments in International Commercial Arbitration* 2013, Zurich 2013, 183–207
- Rosenthal David*, Complying with the General Data Protection Regulation (GDPR) in International Arbitration – Practical Guidance, *ASA Bull.* 2019, 822–852
- Ruch Pascal*, Zum Rechtsmittelverzicht in der internationalen Schiedsgerichtsbarkeit, Diss. Luzern, 2013
- Rüede Thomas*, Gültigkeit von Schiedsklauseln in letztwilligen Verfügungen, in: *ASA Special Series No. 8*, Basel 1994, 142–150

- Rüede Thomas, Hadenfeldt Reimer*, Schweizerisches Schiedsgerichtsrecht, 2. Aufl., Zürich 1993
- Sanders Pieter*, Commentary on UNCITRAL Arbitration Rules, *ICCA Yearbook* 1977, 172–224
- Sandrock Otto*, Extending the Scope of Arbitration Agreements to Non-Signatories, in: *ASA Special Series No. 8*, Basel 1994, 165–180
- The Cautio Judicatum Solvi in Arbitration Proceedings or The Duty of an Alien Claimant to Provide Security for the Costs of the Defendant, *Jnl. Int. Arb.* 1997, 17–38
  - Compound Interest in International Arbitration, *Etudes de procédure et d'arbitrage en l'honneur de Jean-François Poudret*, éd. par Jacques Haldy et al., Lausanne 1999, 519–539
  - Die Aufweichung einer Formvorschrift und anderes mehr – Das Schweizer Bundesgericht erlässt ein befremdliches Urteil, *SchiedsVZ* 2005, 1–10
- Sangiorgio Didier*, Der vorsorgliche Rechtsschutz in der internationalen Schiedsgerichtsbarkeit nach Art. 183 IPRG, Diss. Zürich 1996
- Sangiovanni Valerio*, Arbitrato irrituale e regole procedurali nel nuovo diritto italiano, *ASA Bull.* 2008, 688–699
- Santoro Dimitri*, Forum Non Conveniens: a Valid Defense under the New York Convention?, *ASA Bull.* 2003, 713–735
- Sayed Abdulbay*, La question de la corruption dans l'arbitrage commercial international: Inventaire des solutions, *ASA Bull.* 2001, 653–671
- Schäfer Erik, Verbist Herman, Imhoos Christophe*, ICC arbitration in practice, The Hague 2005
- Schaffstein Silja*, The doctrine of res judicata before international commercial arbitral tribunals, *Diss. Genève* 2011, Oxford 2016
- Scherer Matthias*, La Convention de Bruxelles (et de Lugano) et les mesures provisoires en présence d'une clause compromissoire, *ASA Bull.* 1998, 60–94
- The Place or «Seat» of Arbitration (Possibility, and/or Sometimes Necessity of its Transfer?) – Some Remarks on the Award in ICC Arbitration No. 10623, *ASA Bull.* 2003, 112–119
- Schindler Alex*, Streitbeilegungsentscheidungen der WTO im europäischen und schweizerischen Recht, *Diss. Bern* 2005
- Schlumpf Michael*, Testamentarische Schiedsklauseln, *Diss. Zürich*, 2011
- Schlosser Peter F.*, Right and Remedy in Common Law Arbitration and in German Arbitration Law, *Jnl. Int. Arb.* 1987, 27–40
- Das Recht der internationalen privaten Schiedsgerichtsbarkeit, 2. Aufl., Tübingen 1989
  - Arbitral Tribunals or State Courts – Who must defer to whom? in: *ASA Special Series No. 15*, Basel 2001, 15–34
- Schmid Ernst, Knecht Brigitte*, Schiedsvereinbarung und provisorische Rechtsöffnung, *SJZ* 2009 537–544

- Schneider Marcel*, Funktionen des staatlichen Richters am Sitz des internationalen Schiedsgerichts gemäss 12. Kapitel des IPRG, Diss. Univ. St. Gallen 2008
- Schneider Michael E.*, Das schiedsgerichtliche Verfahren, in: Schiedsgerichtsbarkeit, hrsg. von Andreas Kellerhals, Zürich 1997, 271–305
- Schöll Michael*, Réflexions sur l'expertise-arbitrage en droit suisse, ASA Bull. 2006, 621–645
- Brussels I/Lugano and Arbitration: Problems and Perspectives, in: New Developments in International Commercial Arbitration 2009, hrsg. von Christoph Müller und Antonio Rigozzi, Zürich 2009, 43–72
  - Set-Off Defences in International Arbitration, Criteria for Best Practice – A Comparative Perspective, in: ASA Special Series No. 26, Basel 2006, 97–136
- Schumacher Hubertus*, Zu Inhalt und Bestimmtheit von Schiedssprüchen und Vollstreckungsanträgen, ASA Bull. 2007, 493–507
- Schwab Karl Heinz, Walter Gerhard*, Schiedsgerichtsbarkeit, systematischer Kommentar zu den Vorschriften der Zivilprozeßordnung, des Arbeitsgerichtsgesetzes, der Staatsverträge und der Kostengesetze über das privatrechtliche Schiedsgerichtsverfahren, 7. Aufl., Basel 2005
- Schwebel Stephen M.*, The Authority of a Truncated Tribunal, ICCA Congress series no. 9, Paris 1999, 314–318
- Schwenzer Ingeborg*, Obligationenrecht Allgemeiner Teil, 8. Aufl., Bern 2020
- Schwenzer Ingeborg, Mohs Florian*, Arbitration Clauses in Chains of Contracts, ASA Bull. 2009, 213–235
- Secomb Matthew*, Interest in international arbitration, Diss. Fribourg 2018
- Seifi Jamal*, The Legality of Truncated Arbitral Tribunals (Public and Private): An Overview in the Wake of the 1998 ICC Rules of Arbitration, Jnl. Int. Arb. 2000, 3–46
- Sindler Michelle, Wiistemann Tina*, Privilege across borders in arbitration: multi-jurisdictional nightmare or a storm in a teacup? ASA Bull. 2005, 610–639
- Smahi Nadia*, The Arbitrator's Liability and Immunity Under Swiss Law, ASA Bull. 2016, 876–896 (Part I); ASA Bull. 2017, 67–83 (Part II)
- Smit Hans*, Dissenting Opinions in Arbitration, ICC Bull. 2004, 37–41
- Solhchi M. A.*, The Validity of Truncated Tribunal Proceedings and Awards, Arb. Int. 1993, 303–316
- Spühler Karl, Gehri Myriam*, Die Zulassung von Experten zur Urteilsberatung: Neue Wege für Schiedsverfahren? ASA Bull. 2003, 16–26
- Staelin Adrian, Staelin Daniel, Grolimund Pascal*, Zivilprozessrecht, 3rd edn, Zurich, Basel, Geneva 2019
- Stacher Marco*, Einführung in die internationale Schiedsgerichtsbarkeit der Schweiz, 2nd edn, Zürich 2021
- Jurisdiction and Admissibility under Swiss Arbitration Law – the Relevance of the Distinction and a New Hope, ASA Bull. 2020, 55–74

- Nicht- und Scheinschiedssprüche sowie nichtige Schiedssprüche, ZZZ 2016, 321 *et seq.*
  - Eingriffsnormen und Schiedsgerichtsbarkeit, ZZZ 2017, 301 *et seq.*
  - Der unzuständige Schiedsrichter, ZZZ 29/2013, 32–58
  - Grenzen des Regelungsbereichs von Art. 186 Abs. 1<sup>bis</sup> IPRG, ZZZ 2006, 509–530
  - Die Rechtsnatur der Schiedsvereinbarung – Merkmale und Wesen der verpflichtenden und der gestaltenden Elemente der Schiedsvereinbarung, Diss. St. Gallen 2007
  - You Don't Want to Go There – Antisuit Injunctions in International Commercial Arbitration, ASA Bull. 2005, 640–654
- Stacher Marco, Oetiker Christian*, Kernpunkte der Revision des 12. Kapitels des IPRG, SRIEL 2018, 213 *et seq.*
- Stebler Simone*, The Problem of Conflicting Arbitration and Forum Selection Clauses, ASA Bull. 2013, 27–44
- Steinbrick Ben*, Die Unterstützung ausländischer Schiedsverfahren durch staatliche Gerichte; eine rechtsvergleichende Untersuchung des deutschen, österreichischen, englischen, schweizerischen, französischen und US-amerikanischen Schiedsrechts, Diss. Univ. Köln 2008
- Stojiljkovic Mladen*, Arbitral Jurisdiction and Court Review: Three Swiss Federal Supreme Court Decisions to Reconsider, ASA Bull. 2016, 897 *et seq.*
- Arrestprosequierung mittels Schiedsklage, ZZZ 2020, 21 *et seq.*
- Straub Peter A.*, Englische Mareva Injunctions und Anton Piller Orders, SZIER 1992, 525–550
- Stutzer Hansjörg*, Settlement Facilitation: Does the Arbitrator have a Role? The "Referentenaudienz" – the "Zurich-Way" of settling the Case, ASA Bull. 2017, 589–608
- Sykora, Daniel*, Der schiedsgerichtliche Billigkeitsentscheid, Diss. Zürich, Schriften zum Schweizerischen Zivilprozessrecht, Nr. 13, Zürich/St. Gallen 2011
- Tercier Pierre*, Le droit de l'arbitrage et le droit de la concurrence, ASA Bull. 2000, 688–696
- Le référé pré-arbital, ASA Bull. 2004, 464–478
- Tettamanti Reto Andrea*, Intertemporales Schiedsrecht. Die für die Revision des 12. Kapitels IPRG relevanten Übergangsbestimmungen, ASA Bull. 2020, 821–841
- Tschanz Pierre-Yves*, De l'opportunité de modifier l'art. 7 LDIP, ASA Bull. 2010, 478–485
- van den Berg Albert Jan*, The New York Convention: towards a uniform judicial interpretation, Deventer 1994 (Repr.)
- The New York Convention: Its Intended Effects, Its Interpretation, Salient Problem Areas, in: ASA Special Series No. 9, Basel 1996, 25–45
- van Hof Jacomijn*, Commentary on the UNCITRAL Arbitration Rules, Deventer/Boston 1991

- Vischer Frank, Huber Lucius, Oser David*, Internationales Vertragsrecht, 2. Aufl., Bern 2000
- Vogt Hans-Ueli, Schmidt Patrick*, Schiedsklauseln in Vereinsstatuten. Bemerkungen zum Bundesgerichtsurteil 5A\_1027/2018 vom 22. Juli 2019 und zur Revision des 12. Kapitels des IPRG und des Aktienrechts, ASA Bull. 2020, 75–95 (Teil I); ASA Bull. 2020, 315–337 (Teil II)
- Vogt Stephan*, Der Schiedsrichtervertrag nach schweizerischem Recht, Diss. Zürich 1988
- Volken Paul*, Zur Ernennung von Schiedsrichtern durch den staatlichen Richter, ASA Bull. 1992, 462–476
- von Mehren Arthur*, Die UNCITRAL Schiedsgerichtsordnung in rechtsvergleichender Sicht, JPS 1990, 86–96
- von Segesser Georg*, Vorsorgliche Massnahmen im Internationalen Schiedsprozess, ASA Bull. 2007, 473–486
- Witness Preparation in International Commercial Arbitration, ASA Bull. 2002, 222–228
  - Arbitrating Pre-closing Disputes in Merger and Acquisition Transactions, in: ASA Special Series No. 24, Basel 2005, 17–54
- von Tuhr Andreas, Escher Arnold*, Allgemeiner Teil des Schweizerischen Obligationenrechts, Bd. II, 3. Aufl., Zürich 1984
- Voser Nathalie*, Sanktion bei Nichterfüllung einer Schiedsklausel, ASA Bull. 2002, 376–381
- Voser Nathalie, Petti Angelina*, The Revised IBA Guidelines on Conflicts of Interest in International Arbitration, ASA Bull. 2015, 6–36
- Voser Nathalie, Raneda Julie*, Recent Developments on the Doctrine of Res Judicata in International Arbitration from a Swiss Perspective: A Call for a Harmonized Solution, ASA Bull. 2015, 742–779
- Vulliemin Jean-Marie*, Litispendance et compétence internationale indirecte du juge étranger; Note ATF 127 III 279, ASA Bull. 2001, 439–450
- Walder Hans Ulrich*, Einführung in das internationale Zivilprozessrecht der Schweiz – Anerkennung und Vollstreckung ausländischer Entscheidungen, Zuständigkeit der schweizerischen Gerichte, Schiedsgerichtsbarkeit und weitere Fragen nach IPRG und Staatsverträgen, Zürich 1989
- Die Vollmacht zum Abschluss einer Schiedsabrede, insbesondere im internationalen Verhältnis, in: Festschrift für Max Keller zum 65. Geburtstag, hrsg. von Peter Forstmoser et al., Zürich 1989, 677–682
  - Zivilprozessrecht, 5. Aufl., Zürich 2009
- Walter Gerhard*, Der lange Arm des Schiedsgerichts, SZZP 2006, 79–94
- Die internationale Schiedsgerichtsbarkeit in der Schweiz – Offene Fragen zu Kap. 12 des IPR-Gesetzes, ZBJV 1990, 161–185
  - Der Schlichtervertrag, in: Besonderes Vertragsrecht – aktuelle Probleme, Festschrift für Heinrich Honsell zum 60. Geburtstag, hrsg. von Friedrich Harrer, Wolfgang Portmann und Roger Zäch, Zürich 2002, 439–460

- Walter Gerhard, Bösch Wolfgang, Brönnimann Jürgen*, Internationale Schiedsgerichtsbarkeit in der Schweiz, Kommentar zu Kapitel 12 des IPR-Gesetzes, Bern 1991
- Walter Gerhard, Jametti Greiner Monique* (Hrsg.), Internationales Privat- und Verfahrensrecht, Texte zum internationalen Privat- und Verfahrensrecht, Loseblattausgabe, Bern 1992 ff.
- Walter Hans Peter*, Praktische Probleme der staatsrechtlichen Beschwerde gegen internationale Schiedsentscheide (Art. 190 IPRG), ASA Bull. 2001, 2–20
- Willkür und Ordre public-Widrigkeit: Ein ungleiches Geschwisterpaar im schiedsgerichtlichen Anfechtungsverfahren, in: Rechtsetzung und Rechtsdurchsetzung, Festschrift für Franz Kellerhals zum 65. Geburtstag, hrsg. von Monique Jametti Greiner, Bernhard Berger, Andreas Günerich, Bern 2005, 109–125
  - Neue Zivilrechtspflege, in: Pierre Tschannen (ed.), Neue Bundesrechtspflege, BTJP 2006, Berne 2007, 113–151
- Weber Pierre-C.* La responsabilité de l'expert à l'égard des parties et du Tribunal arbitral, ASA Bull. 1993, 190–209
- Webster Thomas H.*, Evolving Principles in Enforcing Awards Subject to Annulment Proceedings, Arb. Int. 2006, 201–226
- Functus Officio and Remand in International Arbitration, ASA Bull. 2009, 441–466
- Weiss Alexandra, Bürgli Locatelli Karin*, Der vom Schiedsgericht bestellte Experte – ein Überblick aus Sicht eines Internationalen Schiedsgerichts mit Sitz in der Schweiz, ASA Bull. 2004, 479–504
- Wehrli Daniel*, Die Schiedsgerichtsbarkeit, in: Die künftige schweizerische Zivilprozessordnung, hrsg. von Thomas Sutter-Somm et al., Zürich 2003, 107–129
- Contingency Fees / Pactum De Palmario ‘Civil Law Approach’, ASA Bull. 2008, 241–258
- Wenger Werner*, Säumnis und Säumnisfolgen im internationalen Schiedsverfahren, in: Recueil de travaux suisses sur l’arbitrage international, Ouvrage publié sous la direction de Claude Reymond et Eugène Bucher à l’occasion du Congrès Intérimaire du International Council for Commercial Arbitration (ICCA), Zürich 1984, 245–254
- Welchem Recht unterstehen die im Zeitpunkt des Inkrafttretens des IPR-Gesetzes hängigen Schiedsverfahren? ASA Bull. 1988, 309–319
  - Die Internationale Schiedsgerichtsbarkeit, BJM 1989, 337–358
  - Schiedsvereinbarung und schiedsgerichtliche Zuständigkeit, in: Schiedsgerichtsbarkeit, hrsg. von Andreas Kellerhals, Zürich 1997, 223–247
  - The Role of the Arbitrator in Bringing About a Settlement – A Swiss Perspective, in: ASA Special Series No. 26, Basel 2006, 139–153
- Wiegand Wolfgang*, Iura novit curia vs. ne ultra petita – Die Anfechtbarkeit von Schiedsgerichtsurteilen im Lichte der jüngsten Rechtsprechung des Bundesgerichts, in: Rechtsetzung und Rechtsdurchsetzung, Festschrift für

- Franz Kellerhals zum 65. Geburtstag, hrsg. von Monique Jametti Greiner, Bernhard Berger, Andreas Güngerich, Bern 2005, 127–144
- Modelle für die Einbeziehung von Experten, in: Der Experte im Verfahren, Erkenntnisse aus nationalen und internationalen Verfahren vor Schiedsgerichten und staatlichen Gerichten, Heidelberger Kolloquium Technologie und Recht 2005, hrsg. von Fritz Nicklisch, München 2006, 15–38
- Wiget Matthias, Vergleich, Klageanerkennung und Klagerückzug vor Schiedsgerichten, unter Einbezug des IPRG, des Konkordats über die Schiedsgerichtsbarkeit sowie des Entwurfs einer schweizerischen Zivilprozessordnung, Diss. Univ. Zürich 2008
- Wirth Markus, The Enforcement of Foreign Security Awards in Switzerland, in: ASA Special Series No. 9, Basel 1996, 245–256
- Die Reglemente der massgeblichen Schiedsinstitionen – Selektionskriterien aus praktischer Sicht, in: Schiedsgerichtsbarkeit, hrsg. von Andreas Kellerhals, Zürich 1997, 187–205
  - Interim or Preventive Measures in Support of International Arbitration in Switzerland, Source: ASA Bull. 2000, 31–45
  - Rechtsbegehren in internationalen Schiedsverfahren – wie bestimmt müssen sie sein? in: Rechtsetzung und Rechtsdurchsetzung, Festschrift für Franz Kellerhals zum 65. Geburtstag, hrsg. von Monique Jametti Greiner, Bernhard Berger, Andreas Güngerich, Bern 2005, 145–158
- Wirth Markus, Hoffmann-Nowotny Urs, Rechtshilfe deutscher Gerichte zugunsten ausländischer Schiedsgerichte bei der Beweisaufnahme – ein Erfahrungsbericht, SchiedsVZ 2005, 66–71
- Wüstemann Tina, Arbitration of Trust Disputes, in: New Developments in International Commercial Arbitration 2007, hrsg. von Christoph Müller, Zürich 2007, 33–56
- Wolff Reinmar, Streitwertfestsetzung bei wertabhängiger Schiedsrichter vergütung – Schiedsrichter in eigener Sache? SchiedsVZ 2006, 131–141
- Wolff Reinmar (ed.), New York Convention – Convention on the Recognition and Enforcement of Foreign Arbitral Awards of 10 June 1958 – Commentary, Munich 2012
- Zaugg Niklaus, Objective scope of res judicata of arbitral awards – Is there room for discretion?, ASA Bull. 2017, 319–333
- Zimmerli Christoph, Die Verrechnung im Zivilprozess und in der Schiedsgerichtsbarkeit, Diss. Basel 2002
- Zuberbühler Tobias, Non-Signatories and the Consensus to Arbitrate, ASA Bull. 2008, 18–34
- Zuberbühler Tobias, Müller Christoph, Habegger Philipp (eds), Swiss Rules of International Arbitration, Commentary, 2nd edn, Zurich 2013
- Zuberbühler Tobias, Hofmann Dieter, Oetiker Christian, Rohner Thomas, IBA Rules of Evidence, Commentary on the IBA Rules on the Taking of Evidence in International Arbitration, Zurich 2012



---

# Abbreviations

AAA	American Arbitration Association
AJP	Aktuelle juristische Praxis, Lachen
al.	alinea
All E.R.	The All England Law Reports, London
Am. J. Int. L.	American Journal of International Law
Am. Rev. Int. Arb.	The American Review of International Arbitration
AmtlBull NR	Amtliches Bulletin der Bundesversammlung / Nationalrat (Official gazette of the Swiss National Council)
AmtlBull SR	Amtliches Bulletin der Bundesversammlung / Ständerat (Official gazette of the Swiss Council of States)
Arb. Int.	Arbitration International, The Journal of the London Court of International Arbitration, London
Arbitration	Arbitration: the Journal of the Chartered Institute of Arbitrators, London
Art.	Article
AS	Amtliche Sammlung des Bundesrechts (Official collection of the Federal Acts in Switzerland, in chronological order)
ASA Bull.	ASA Bulletin, Alphen aan den Rijn, The Netherlands
ASA	Association suisse de l'arbitrage (Swiss Arbitration Association)
ASDI	Annuaire suisse de droit international
ATF	Recueil officiel des arrêts du Tribunal fédéral, Lausanne (Official collection of the Decisions of the Swiss Federal Supreme Court)
B.Y. I. L.	British Yearbook of International Law
BBI	Bundesblatt der Schweizerischen Eidgenossenschaft (Official gazette of the Federal Government in Switzerland)
BGB	Bürgerliches Gesetzbuch von 1896 (Deutschland) (German Civil Code)
BGE	Amtliche Sammlung der Entscheidungen des Schweizerischen Bundesgerichts (Official Collection of the Decisions of the Swiss Federal Supreme Court)
BGFA	Bundesgesetz vom 23. Juni 2000 über die Freizügigkeit der Anwältinnen und Anwälte (SR 935.61) (Act on the Freedom of Movement of Lawyers)
BJM	Basler Juristische Mitteilungen, Basel
BK-[author(s)]	Berner Kommentar, Kommentar zum schweizerischen Privatrecht, Bern, as of 1910
BISchK	Blätter für Schuldbetreibung und Konkurs

Brussels I Regulation	Council Regulation (EC) No 44/2001 of 22 December 2000 on jurisdiction and the recognition and enforcement of judgments in civil and commercial matters, OJ L 12/1 of 16 January 2001
BSK-[author(s)]	Basler Kommentar zum schweizerischen Recht
BZP	Bundesgesetz über den Bundeszivilprozess vom 4. Dezember 1947, SR 273 (Code of Civil Procedure for Proceedings before the Swiss Federal Supreme Court)
c/	contra
CA	Concordat on Arbitration (Concordat sur l'arbitrage du 27 mars 1969)
CA	Cour d'appel
ca.	circa
CAS	Court of Arbitration for Sport
CAS Code	Code of Sports-related Arbitration and Mediation Rules, in force from 1 January 2021
Cass.	Cour de cassation
CC	Swiss Civil Code (Schweizerisches Zivilgesetzbuch vom 10. Dezember 1907, SR 210)
CCI	Chambre de commerce internationale de Paris
CCIG	Chambre de commerce et d'industrie de Genève
CCP	Swiss Code of Civil Procedure (Schweizerische Zivilprozessordnung vom 19. Dezember 2008)
cf.	confer
Chap.	Chapter
CIJ	Cour internationale de justice
CIRDI	Centre international pour le règlement des différends relatifs aux investissements entre Etats et ressortissants d'autres Etats
CO	Swiss Code of Obligations (Bundesgesetz betreffend die Ergänzung des Schweizerischen Zivilgesetzbuches (Fünfter Teil: Obligationenrecht) vom 30. März 1911, SR 220)
CPCI	Codice di Procedura Civile (Italian Code of Civil Procedure)
CPJI	Cour permanente de justice internationale
CR-[author(s)]	Commentaire romand du droit suisse
DCBA	Swiss Debt Collection and Bankruptcy Act (Bundesgesetz über Schuldbetreibung und Konkurs vom 11. April 1889, SR 281.1)
DIS	Deutsche Institution für Schiedsgerichtsbarkeit e. V.
Diss.	Dissertation (thesis)
DPCI	Droit et Pratique du Commerce International, Paris
E.	Erwägung (consideration)
e.g.	for example
ECJ	European Court of Justice
ed.	editor
edn	edition
et al.	et alii
etc.	et cetera
EU	European Union

---

European Convention	European Convention on International Commercial Arbitration of 21 April 1961
FC	Swiss Federal Constitution (Bundesverfassung der Schweizerischen Eidgenossenschaft vom 18. April 1999, SR 101)
FG	Festgabe (liber amicorum)
FIDIC	Fédération Internationale des Ingénieurs-Conseils (International Federation of Consulting Engineers), Geneva
fn.	footnote
FS	Festschrift (liber amicorum)
FSC [4A_...]	Decisions of the Swiss Federal Supreme Court that are not included in the Official Collection of Decisions (BGE); available under <a href="http://www.bger.ch">www.bger.ch</a>
FSCA	Federal Supreme Court Act (Bundesgesetz vom 17. Juni 2005 über das Bundesgericht, SR 173.110)
FusG	Bundesgesetz vom 3. Oktober 2003 über Fusion, Spaltung, Umwandlung und Vermögensübertragung, Fusionsgesetz, SR 221.301 (Swiss Merger Act)
Gaz. Pal.	Gazette du Palais (Paris)
Geneva Convention	Convention on the Execution of Foreign Arbitral Awards of 26 September 1927 (SR 0.277.111)
Geneva Protocol	Protocol on Arbitration Clauses of 24 September 1923 (SR 0.277.11)
GestG	Bundesgesetz über den Gerichtsstand in Zivilsachen vom 24. März 2000 (former Swiss Staute on Jurisdiction)
GesKR	Gesellschafts- und Kapitalmarktrecht
GVP	Gerichts- und Verwaltungspraxis
i.e.	that is
IAI	International Arbitration Institute
IBA	International Bar Association
IBA Guidelines	IBA Guidelines on Conflicts of Interest in International Arbitration, adopted on 23 October 2014
IBA Guidelines on Party Representation	IBA Guidelines on Party Representation in International Arbitration, adopted on 23 May 2013
IBA Rules of Evidence	IBA Rules on the Taking of Evidence in International Arbitration, adopted on 17 December 2020
IBA Rules of Ethics	IBA Rules of Ethics for International Arbitrators (1987)
ibid.	ibidem
IBLJ	International Business Law Journal, London
ICC Bull.	The ICC International Court of Arbitration Bulletin, Paris
ICC Rules	Rules of Arbitration of the International Chamber of Commerce (ICC) of 1 January 2021
ICC	International Chamber of Commerce, Paris
ICCA Handbook	ICCA International Handbook on Commercial Arbitration, The Hague
ICCA Yearbook	ICCA Yearbook of Commercial Arbitration, Deventer
ICCA	International Council for Commercial Arbitration
ICDR	International Centre for Dispute Resolution (international division of the American Arbitration Association)

ICDR Rules	International Arbitration Rules of the International Centre for Dispute Resolution, amended and effective 1 March 2021
ICLQ	The International and Comparative Law Quarterly, London
ICSID	International Centre for Settlement of Investment Disputes, Washington
ICSID Convention	Convention on the Settlement of Investment Disputes between States and Nationals of other States of 18 March 1965 (SR 0.975.2)
ICSID Rev.–FILJ	ICSID Review–Foreign Investment Law Journal, Washington
ICSID Rules	Rules of Procedure for Arbitration Proceedings (Arbitration Rules), in force from 10 April 2006
id.	idem
IDR	Journal of international dispute resolution,
IJS	Internationales Jahrbuch für Schiedsgerichtswesen in Zivil- und Handelssachen,
ILA	International Law Association, London
ILR	International Law Reports, Cambridge
Int. ALR	International Arbitration Law Review, London
InVo	Insolvenz & Vollstreckung
IPrax	Praxis des internationalen Privat- und Verfahrensrechts, Bielefeld
JDI	Journal du droit international (Clunet), Paris
JdT	Journal des Tribunaux, Lausanne
JKR	Jahrbuch des Schweizerischen Konsumentenrechts
Jnl. Int. Arb.	Journal of International Arbitration, The Hague
JO	Journal officiel des Communautés européennes
JPS	Jahrbuch für die Praxis der Schiedsgerichtsbarkeit,
KTS	Konkurs-, Treuhand- und Schiedsgerichtswesen, Cologne
Kuko-[author(s)]	Kurzkommentar ZPO, Schweizerische Zivilprozessordnung, 3rd edn, Basel 2021
LCIA	London Court of International Arbitration
LCIA Rules	LCIA Arbitration Rules effective 1 October 2020
L.Q.R.	The Law Quarterly Review, London
LugC	Lugano Convention on jurisdiction and the recognition and enforcement of judgments in civil and commercial matters of 30 October 2007 (SR 0.275.11)
Mél.	Mélanges (liber amicorum)
N	paragraph number (in a textbook or commentary)
NCPC	Nouveau Code de Procédure Civile français
NF	Neue Folge
NJW	Neue Juristische Wochenschrift, Munich
No.	number
NR	Nationalrat (Swiss National Council)
NYC	Convention on the Recognition and Enforcement of Foreign Arbitral Awards, done at New York on 10 June 1958 (SR 0.277.12)
OJ	Organisation of the Judiciary (Loi fédérale du 16 décembre 1943 d'organisation judiciaire; RS 173.110)

---

OLG	Oberlandesgericht
OMPI	Organisation mondiale de la propriété intellectuelle
op. cit.	opus citatum
ord.	ordonnance
p./pp.	page(s)
para./paras	paragraph number(s)
PCA	Permanent Court of Arbitration (The Hague)
PILA	Private International Law Act (Bundesgesetz über das Internationale Privatrecht vom 18. Dezember 1987, SR 291)
Pra	Die Praxis des Bundesgerichts: monatliche Berichte über die wichtigsten Entscheide des schweizerischen Bundesgerichts einschliesslich Sozialversicherungsentscheide, Basel
Q.B.	Queen's Bench Division (Law Reports)
RabelsZ	Rabels Zeitschrift für ausländisches und internationales Recht
recht	recht: Zeitschrift für juristische Ausbildung und Praxis, Bern
rev.	revised
Rev. arb.	Revue de l'arbitrage, Paris
Riv. dell'Arb.	Rivista dell'Arbitrato
Rome Convention	Convention on the law applicable to contractual obligations of 19 June 1980, OJ C 27 of 26 January 1998
Rome I Regulation	Regulation (EC) No 593/2008 of the European Parliament and of the Council of 17 June 2008 on the law applicable to contractual obligations (Rome I)
RSDIE	Revue suisse de droit international et de droit européen, Zurich
RVJ	Revue valaisanne de jurisprudence, Sion
SAG	Schweizerische Aktiengesellschaft, Zeitschrift für Handels- und Wirtschaftsrecht, Zürich
Sc.	Section
SCC	Swiss Criminal Code (Schweizerisches Strafgesetzbuch vom 21. Dezember 1937, SR 311.0)
SCC Rules	Arbitration Rules of the Arbitration Institute of the Stockholm Chamber of Commerce, in force as of 1 January 2017
SchiedsVZ	Zeitschrift für Schiedsverfahren (German Arbitration Journal), Munich
SIALR	Swiss International Arbitration Law Reports, Juris Publishing
sic!	Zeitschrift für Immaterialgüter-, Informations- und Wettbewerbsrecht, Zurich
SJ	La Semaine Judiciaire: paraissant à Genève: revue de jurisprudence, Geneva
SJIR	Schweizerisches Jahrbuch für Internationales Recht
SJZ	Schweizerische Juristen-Zeitung, Zurich
SPR	Schweizerisches Privatrecht, Basel and Stuttgart
SpuRt	Zeitschrift für Sport und Recht, Munich and Bern

SR	Systematische Sammlung des Bundesrechts (Official collection of the Federal Acts in Switzerland, in systematic order)
SRIEL	Swiss Review of International and European Law
SVIT	Schweizerischer Verband der Immobilienwirtschaft
Swiss Rules	Swiss Rules of International Arbitration of the Swiss Arbitration Centre of June 2021
SZW	Schweizerische Zeitschrift für Wirtschaftsrecht, Zurich
ULR	Uniform Law Review/Revue de droit uniforme, Rome
UNCITRAL	United Nations Commission on International Trade Law
UNCITRAL Model Law	UNCITRAL Model Law on International Commercial Arbitration of 25 June 1985, as amended in 2006
UNCITRAL Notes	UNCITRAL Notes on Organizing Arbitral Proceedings (2016)
UNCITRAL Rules	UNCITRAL Arbitration Rules of 15 December 1976; revised UNCITRAL Arbitration Rules of 25 June 2010, in force as from 15 August 2010
Unidroit Principles	Unidroit Principles of International Commercial Contracts (2016)
v.	versus
VCLT	Vienna Convention on the Law of Treaties of 23 May 1969, SR 0.111
vol.	volume
WIPO	World Intellectual Property Organization
WIPO Rules	WIPO Arbitration Rules, effective from 1 June 2020
W.L.R.	The Weekly Law Reports, London
YCA	Yearbook of Commercial Arbitration, Deventer
ZBJV	Zeitschrift des Bernischen Juristenvereins, Bern
ZCC	Zurich Chamber of Commerce
ZEuP	Zeitschrift für Europäisches Privatrecht, Munich
ZK-[author(s)]	Zürcher Kommentar zum Schweizerischen Zivilgesetzbuch, Zurich, as from 1909
ZPO	Zivilprozeßordnung vom 30. Januar 1877/12. September 1950 (German Code of Civil Procedure)
ZR	Blätter für Zürcherische Rechtsprechung, Zurich
ZSR	Zeitschrift für Schweizerisches Recht, Basel
ZZP	Zeitschrift für Zivilprozess, Cologne
ZZPInt	Zeitschrift für Zivilprozess International, Cologne

---

# **Chapter 1**

## **Introduction**

### **§ 1 ARBITRATION AS A PARTICULAR FORM OF DISPUTE RESOLUTION**

#### **I. The concept of arbitration**

Arbitration stands in contrast to state court proceedings, *i.e.* the jurisdiction of the ordinary courts (herein also referred to as “state courts”).<sup>1</sup>

Arbital tribunals act in place of state courts. They have the power to issue final, binding and enforceable decisions on disputes of private or public law between private parties and/or public authorities. Arbitral tribunals are composed of private persons appointed by agreement of the parties.<sup>2</sup>

This definition of arbitration is based on the following features:<sup>3</sup>

Arbitration is based on private agreement.<sup>2</sup> This agreement usually takes the form of a contract between the parties involved (see paras 277–635). It may also arise from membership or participation in a legal entity whose articles of incorporation provide for arbitration as a method of dispute resolution (see paras 465–469). With certain limitations, arbitration may even arise from unilateral legal acts (see paras 470–476). When arbitration panels are established by law, they do not qualify as arbitral tribunals, even though they are sometimes (and incorrectly) referred to as such.<sup>3</sup>

Any person, whether a natural or legal person or public authority, may be a party to arbitration. As in the private sector, governments and state-

<sup>1</sup> Similarly, *e.g.* Kaufmann-Kohler and Rigozzi, N 1.16.

<sup>2</sup> BGE 137 III 37 E.2.2.1.

<sup>3</sup> See *e.g.* Rüede and Hadenfeldt, Supplement to the 2nd edition, 11. See also ZR 2005, 181–184.

controlled public or private entities may submit to arbitration as a means of dispute resolution (see paras 369–388).

- 6 The arbitral tribunal is composed of one or more arbitrators. The arbitrators are chosen by the parties either directly (*e.g.* by agreement on a sole arbitrator) or indirectly (*e.g.* by agreement on an appointing authority or, in the absence of such, by the competent state court at the seat of the arbitration). The appointment of an arbitral tribunal is a voluntary act, not the result of specific legal provisions.<sup>4</sup>
- 7 Arbitrators perform the functions assigned to them by the parties as private individuals, not as representatives of the government or state judiciary.<sup>5</sup> This applies even if the arbitrators are appointed by the *juge d'appui* or if the parties have agreed that a state court should act as the appointing authority (see paras 807–810).
- 8 Arbitral tribunals primarily resolve disputes of private law. However, public law disputes can also be the subject of arbitration,<sup>6</sup> for example disputes arising from administrative contracts or investment treaties. In all cases, however, the disputed claim must meet the requirement of arbitrability (see paras 181–276).
- 9 While arbitration is built on consent and arbitrators act as private individuals, arbitration is unique in that arbitral tribunals have the power to make a final and binding decision on the dispute before them. This power is based on statutory authorisation granted to the arbitral tribunal by the applicable *lex arbitri* (see paras 11–15, 70–119). Furthermore, it results from the fact that states are willing to recognise and enforce domestic and foreign arbitral awards. Arbitral tribunals are thus on the same footing as state courts when it comes to the power to make final, binding and enforceable decisions.<sup>7</sup>

## II. The sources of arbitration

- 10 Arbitration is a method of dispute resolution that the parties can largely determine as they see fit. However, they can only make use of this freedom within the framework of a (functioning) legal system. If arbitration were not tied to a system of norms, it would completely depend on the cooperation of the parties involved. In this case, the

<sup>4</sup> Poudret and Besson, N 8.

<sup>5</sup> Rüede and Hadenfeldt, 3; Poudret and Besson, N 7–8.

<sup>6</sup> Lalive, Poudret and Reymond, Art.1 N 1.2; Jolidon, Art.5 N 421 lit. i; Göksu, N 23.

<sup>7</sup> Poudret and Besson, N 11; Rüede and Hadenfeldt, 4.

arbitral procedure could regularly be obstructed or thwarted. With a view to the principle of party autonomy, arbitration therefore aims to detach the procedure as much as possible from local or national laws. At the same time, however, the arbitration process is indeed dependent on a reliable (local) legal framework as soon as a party refuses to cooperate, so that the support of state courts is necessary to keep the proceedings on track. This reciprocity between party autonomy on the one hand and the law regulating arbitration on the other reveals which norms govern arbitration and how they relate to each other hierarchically. This theme will recur in several of the following Chapters of this book.

## 1. Priority of party autonomy

The principle of party autonomy is the most salient feature of <sup>11</sup> arbitration. First of all, the jurisdiction of the arbitral tribunal is based solely on the will of the parties, whereas any state court, even if the forum is chosen by the parties, derives its jurisdiction from national or international law. In addition, the parties largely determine the composition of the arbitral tribunal, the seat of the arbitration, the applicable arbitral procedure, the language of the proceedings and numerous other aspects.

However, there are limits to the parties' freedom. In particular, the <sup>12</sup> concept of arbitrability and the scope of certain fundamental procedural guarantees are not at the free disposition of the parties (on the mandatory provisions of the Swiss *lex arbitri*, see para.605).

## 2. Arbitration rules

Only rarely do the parties use the extensive freedom afforded to <sup>13</sup> them to tailor the procedure entirely to their needs (*ad hoc* arbitration). Rather, they resort to already existing models and arbitration rules, which they declare to be an integral part of their arbitration agreement. By such reference, the parties agree on a pre-existing set of rules that provide solutions to common problems, such as a party's failure to designate an arbitrator or the parties' failure to agree on the seat of the arbitration or the language of the proceedings.

Arbitration rules are typically instruments created by organisations <sup>14</sup> offering arbitration services (institutional arbitration), such as the International Court of Arbitration of the International Chamber of

Commerce in Paris, the London Court of International Arbitration, the American Arbitration Association, the Swiss Arbitration Centre, the German Institute for Arbitration, the WIPO Arbitration and Mediation Center in Geneva, the International Centre for the Settlement of Investment Disputes in Washington, the Singapore International Arbitration Centre and many others (see paras 25–33). The current versions of the arbitration rules of these institutions show a clear trend towards international convergence. In particular, the concepts developed under the auspices of UNCITRAL have left their mark on almost all arbitration rules (see para.15). There is intense competition between the numerous organisations offering arbitration services. There are many different reasons why one institution is preferred over another. Decisive factors include the location, local tradition, reputation, experience and specialisation of an organisation or the estimated level of arbitrators' fees, registration and administrative costs.

- 15 There are also model arbitration rules for *ad hoc* arbitration. The best known and most important are the UNCITRAL Arbitration Rules of 15 December 1976 (revised on 25 June 2010). In many respects, they have successfully harmonised the principles and methods of different legal systems. This success explains why the UNCITRAL Rules are increasingly used in international *ad hoc* arbitration, especially in cases under the auspices of the PCA such as *ad hoc* investment arbitrations.<sup>8</sup> Some arbitral institutions have adopted the UNCITRAL Rules as their own with few modifications.<sup>9</sup> However, parties who prefer *ad hoc* arbitration sometimes also agree that the rules of a particular institution (*e.g.* the ICC or LCIA Rules) shall be applied by analogy, *i.e.* without submitting the case to the institution.

### 3. National law

- 16 Arbitration, particularly international arbitration, has developed into an independent, largely self-sufficient procedure. In some key respects, however, arbitration still relies on national or local law. The interaction between party autonomy and national law is well expressed in Art.182(1)-(3) PILA:

<sup>8</sup> The most prominent example of the application of the UNCITRAL Rules remains the proceedings under the auspices of the Iran–United States Claims Tribunal, where a rich and publicly accessible body of case law has been created. See *e.g.* Stewart A. Baker, *The UNCITRAL Arbitration Rules in practice: the experience of the Iran–United States Claims Tribunal*, Deventer 1992.

<sup>9</sup> See *e.g.* the first edition of the Swiss Rules in 2004.

- (1) The parties may determine the arbitral procedure, directly or by reference to arbitration rules; they may also submit it to a procedural law of their choice.
- (2) If the parties have not determined the procedure, the arbitral tribunal shall determine it to the extent necessary, either directly or by reference to a law or to arbitration rules.
- (3) Regardless of the chosen procedure, the arbitral tribunal shall ensure equal treatment of the parties and their right to be heard in adversarial proceedings.

The arbitral tribunal can and should therefore apply the procedural rules <sup>17</sup> established or determined by the parties, as long as they do not violate fundamental procedural guarantees.

National law can sometimes be useful as a general guideline for the <sup>18</sup> proceedings before the arbitral tribunal. In addition, national law is important when, for example, referring parties to arbitration, appointing arbitrators, challenging, revoking or replacing an arbitrator, ordering interim or conservatory measures or taking evidence. National law is also applicable in subsequent setting aside or recognition and enforcement proceedings.

The question of which national law is applicable to the various stages of <sup>19</sup> arbitration is complex. At this point, only the most fundamental conflict of laws rules will be mentioned. The arbitral procedure is governed by the arbitration law of the country in which the arbitral tribunal has its seat (*lex arbitri*). The recognition and enforcement procedure, on the other hand, is governed by the law of the country in which recognition and enforcement of an arbitral award is sought (this also includes the international conventions ratified by that country, above all the New York Convention of 1958).

National arbitration laws vary from country to country, although they <sup>20</sup> show a trend towards convergence. In part, this is the result of the United Nations Convention on the Recognition and Enforcement of Foreign Arbitral Awards of 10 June 1958 (NYC). Another factor is the UNCITRAL Model Law on International Commercial Arbitration of 21 June 1985 (as amended on 7 June 2006), which provides a comprehensive set of rules – from the conclusion of the arbitration agreement to the enforcement of the arbitral award. UNCITRAL's approach was to create a comprehensive set of rules that would find worldwide acceptance and could be successively adopted by national legislatures.<sup>10</sup> Since 1985, the Model Law has been directly adopted in numerous national legal systems. In addition, it has significantly

<sup>10</sup> Berger, 34–35; Schwab and Walter, 41 N 7.

influenced many other legislatures in drafting or revising their laws on arbitration. Switzerland has not followed the Model Law approach,<sup>11</sup> although various provisions of Chap.12 PILA indicate that the spirit of the Model Law has left its mark on it as well.<sup>12</sup> The UNCITRAL Model Law was an ambitious project. The success it has had so far is remarkable.

#### 4. Treaties

21 Arbitration would not be so successful if it were not for an international framework ensuring the cross-border recognition of arbitration proceedings and their results, *i.e.* arbitral awards. In the course of the 20th century, numerous efforts were made in this area, so that arbitration today is encompassed by a complex system of multi- and bilateral conventions.<sup>13</sup> Above all, the extraordinary success of the New York Convention on the Recognition and Enforcement of Foreign Arbitral Awards of 1958 (NYC), which has since been ratified by more than 160 countries, has meant that today arbitral awards rendered abroad sometimes have a better chance of being recognised and enforced than judgments of foreign state courts in civil and commercial matters.

### III. Ad hoc v. institutional arbitration

22 According to Art.182(1)-(2) PILA and Art.373(1)-(2) CCP, the parties may choose between *ad hoc* arbitration, where they can fully determine the arbitral procedure themselves, and institutional arbitration, *i.e.* arbitration administered and supervised by an arbitral institution.<sup>14</sup> The parties may choose one of the two options or a combination of the two, weighing the advantages and disadvantages with regard to the case in dispute or the situation at the time of the conclusion of the arbitration agreement.<sup>15</sup>

<sup>11</sup> On the reasons for this decision, see BSK-Hochstrasser and Burlet, Introduction N 180–188.

<sup>12</sup> On the history of Chap.12 PILA, see paras 85–119.

<sup>13</sup> On the conventions and treaties ratified by Switzerland, see paras 125–142.

<sup>14</sup> On the freedom to determine the arbitral procedure, see paras 1094–1108.

<sup>15</sup> See Lalive, Etudes Bellet, 301–321; Meyer-Hauser, Ad hoc Schiedsgerichtsbarkeit, 207–222; Schlaepfer and Petti, in: Geisinger and Voser (eds), 13–24.

## 1. Advantages and disadvantages

The most important advantage offered by *ad hoc* arbitration is 23 flexibility. This can be an advantage for the appointment and composition of the arbitral tribunal. It can facilitate the proceedings (*e.g.* by accepting simplified rules of evidence) or dispense with time-consuming confirmation and scrutiny procedures, which are essential features of institutional arbitration. However, flexibility is only advantageous if there is a minimum spirit of cooperation between the parties and a basic understanding of how the arbitration should proceed. Especially in international cases, *ad hoc* arbitration relies on an “arbitration friendly” legal environment (as is the case with Switzerland) and the involvement of experienced arbitrators. Furthermore, it can be useful if the parties and/or their counsel have a similar cultural and legal background. In domestic arbitration, these conditions are usually met. This may explain why in Switzerland arbitration agreements providing for *ad hoc* arbitration are particularly common in cases without an international context.

Predictability, as opposed to flexibility, is an important advantage of 24 institutional arbitration. For parties from different legal cultures, this advantage often outweighs the disadvantage of limited manoeuvring that comes with institutional arbitration rules. Predictability protects against surprises. This can be useful with regard to the conduct of the arbitration in general and the taking of evidence in particular. Thanks to the detailed fee schedules contained in most institutional arbitration rules, parties are also better able to anticipate the costs that will be involved. Without these fee schedules, the issue of costs is usually addressed only once the arbitrators have been appointed. These advantages of institutional arbitration are more important in cross-border transactions. Even if the country of the seat of the arbitration offers efficient judicial assistance, institutional arbitration is better suited to ensure that, despite recalcitrant parties or uncooperative arbitrators, the arbitration can be properly concluded. While institutional arbitration can claim official status, which can be advantageous in terms of recognition and enforcement of arbitral awards in countries that do not favour arbitration, *ad hoc* arbitration usually offers a higher degree of privacy where this is a priority. In its scope, the NYC does not distinguish between institutional and *ad hoc* arbitral awards. Both types are treated equally (see Art.I(2) NYC; see also Art.2(a) UNCITRAL Model Law).

## 2. Arbitral institutions and their sets of rules

- 25 There are numerous arbitration rules to which the parties can submit. Below is a brief discussion of those sets of rules that are particularly important in international arbitration from a Swiss perspective, either because of the frequency of their application or because the institution is located in Switzerland.
- 26 It should be noted that the structure of the arbitral procedure is not a particularly distinguishing feature in institutional arbitration rules. The differences manifest themselves primarily in the intensity with which the proceedings are administered and the arbitral tribunal is supervised by the institution (*e.g.* by fixing and collecting advances for the arbitrators' fees, monitoring the progress of the case, scrutinising the award, etc.).

### a. Swiss Rules of International Arbitration

27 The Swiss Rules were first made available in 2004. Proceedings conducted under the Swiss Rules are administered by the Swiss Arbitration Centre (the legal successor to the Swiss Chambers' Arbitration Institution).<sup>16</sup> The original version of the Swiss Rules was based on the UNCITRAL Rules with various innovations and adaptions.<sup>17</sup> The Swiss Rules were amended in 2012 and again in 2021, with the continued purpose of providing users around the world with an efficient and reliable framework for arbitration (see Introduction, (b)). The Arbitration Court of the Swiss Arbitration Centre, an independent body composed of leading arbitration practitioners of Swiss and other nationalities, is responsible for the administration of arbitration cases under the Swiss Rules and the final authority for the proper application of the Swiss Rules. The approach of the Swiss Rules is to limit the institution's control of the arbitral process to what is necessary, which contributes to a lean administration.

<sup>16</sup> It should be noted that the services of the Swiss Arbitration Centre (as well as those of the other institutions mentioned below) are no longer confined to the administration of arbitration cases, but extend to a wide range of other ADR services, including acting as appointing authority or fund holder in UNCITRAL and other *ad hoc* proceedings.

<sup>17</sup> See the overview provided by Peter, SchiedsVZ 2004, 57–65.

b. ICC Arbitration Rules

The International Chamber of Commerce (ICC), with its 28 headquarters in Paris, runs one of the most important arbitral institutions. Since its establishment in 1923, more than 25 000 arbitration cases, with participants from well over 100 countries, have been administered. The International Court of Arbitration of the ICC (the Court) is responsible for the supervision and administration of the cases submitted to the ICC Rules. The Court is assisted by the Secretariat, headed by the Secretary General. The ICC Rules provide for comprehensive supervision of the proceedings (including scrutiny of the award by the Court) and administration of the arbitration costs by the institution. In the ICC's annual statistics, Switzerland regularly occupies top positions in terms of arbitral seats, arbitrators and the law applicable to the dispute.

c. LCIA Arbitration Rules

The London Court of International Arbitration (formerly The 29 London Chamber of Arbitration) is over 100 years old and operates an arbitral institution in London with a structure consisting of an Arbitration Court (the LCIA Court) and a Secretariat headed by the Registrar. The LCIA administers cases submitted to the LCIA Rules. The LCIA Court is the final authority for the proper application of the LCIA Rules. Unlike many other institutional arbitration rules, the LCIA's administrative charges and the fees charged by the arbitral tribunals acting under the LCIA Rules are not based on sums in issue. After the registration fee, which is payable with the Request for Arbitration, hourly rates are applied by the arbitrators and by the LCIA.

d. WIPO Arbitration Rules

The World Intellectual Property Organization (WIPO) has its 30 headquarters in Geneva where it operates an independent dispute resolution centre known as the WIPO Arbitration and Mediation Center. The Center has created the WIPO Arbitration Rules and, based on them, the WIPO Expedited Arbitration Rules. These Rules are particularly tailored to cross-border disputes that may arise or have arisen in the field of intellectual property.

e. Code of Sports-related Arbitration

31 The International Council of Arbitration for Sport (ICAS) is a Swiss foundation created in 1994 and based in Lausanne, Switzerland, where it operates an arbitration institution known as the Court of Arbitration for Sport (CAS). The arbitration rules are those issued by ICAS, *i.e.* the Code of Sports-related Arbitration. The rules apply in two types of cases, namely:

- when the parties have agreed to refer a sports-related dispute to CAS (“ordinary arbitration proceedings”); or
- where the dispute arises from a decision of a sports federation, sports club or other sports-related institution, to the extent that the statutes and regulations of such institutions or a specific agreement provide for an appeal to CAS (“appeal arbitration proceedings”).

A separate set of arbitration rules issued by the CAS deals with sports-related conflicts which may arise during the Olympic Games. For other major sporting events (*e.g.* the FIFA World Cup), the CAS has enacted similar sets of rules for the settlement of disputes which may occur during the competitions (see para.1199).

The CAS, with the support of its office (the Court Office), supervises and oversees the administration of the proceedings. The individual cases, more than 400 each year, are decided by an arbitral tribunal (the Panel) consisting of either one or three arbitrators who are chosen from an extensive list of arbitrators.

f. UNCITRAL Arbitration Rules

32 The United Nations Commission on International Trade Law (UNCITRAL), based in Vienna, has established arbitration rules for *ad hoc* arbitration proceedings, which were approved by the United Nations General Assembly on 15 December 1976.<sup>18</sup> The current version of the Rules entered into force on 15 August 2010. The UNCITRAL Rules have found worldwide acceptance. They served as the rules for the Iran-United States Claims Tribunal and are frequently applied in investment treaty arbitrations. The decision to submit to the UNCITRAL Rules is a

<sup>18</sup> The UNCITRAL Rules should be distinguished from the UNCITRAL Model Law. The latter is not a set of (private) arbitration rules, but rather a model to be adopted or used as guidance by national legislatures.

genuine alternative to engaging an arbitral institution, as they contain detailed provisions on the constitution of the arbitral tribunal, the arbitral procedure and the determination and allocation of costs. In addition, the UNCITRAL Rules refer directly and indirectly to arbitral institutions: According to Art.6(2), either party may request the Secretary-General of the PCA in The Hague to designate an appointing authority – a useful provision especially if the seat of the arbitration is not yet determined when the proceedings are initiated, so that it may be difficult to identify a competent state court. Furthermore, Art.41(2) determines that the arbitral tribunal, in fixing its fees, shall take into account the schedule of fees of the appointing authority agreed upon by the parties or designated by the Secretary-General of the PCA, provided that such authority has issued a fee schedule.

g. Other arbitration rules

The Swiss Society of Engineers and Architects (sia) has published <sup>33</sup> the SIA 150 headed “*Bestimmungen für das Verfahren vor einem Schiedsgericht*” as part of its comprehensive set of “norms” (the current version of the SIA 150 entered into force on 1 December 2017). These rules are designed to be particularly suitable for private dispute resolution in the fields of architecture, engineering and construction. To a rather limited extent, the Secretariat of the SIA lends its support to an arbitration conducted under the SIA 150. The SIA 150 is primarily tailored for use in domestic cases.

Another set of arbitration rules primarily geared to domestic disputes is <sup>33a</sup> that of the Swiss Homeowners Federation (HEV) and other institutions headed “*Reglement betreffend Vermittlung und Schiedsgericht Bau + Immobilien*” (2011). The Swiss Association for Arbitration in Inheritance Matters offers domestic and international arbitration services in inheritance matters in Switzerland; its Rules of Arbitration essentially consist of a slightly adapted version of the Swiss Rules of International arbitration (see para.27).

## IV. Types of arbitration

In addition to the distinction between institutional and *ad hoc* <sup>34</sup> arbitration (paras 22–24), arbitration can be characterised according to the subject-matter of the dispute, the area of law of the dispute or the

status of the parties. The following are commonly known types of arbitration.

## 1. Commercial arbitration

- 35        The term “commercial arbitration” is widely used in the international context without defining what is actually meant by a “commercial” dispute.<sup>19</sup>
- 36        The Swiss *lex arbitri* does not distinguish between disputes arising from legal relationships that are considered commercial and others. Rather, the concept of arbitrability in Art.177 PILA shows that the legislature did not intend to limit international arbitration to certain types of disputes. Nor did Switzerland, when adopting the NYC, declare that it would apply the Convention only to disputes considered commercial, as would have been possible under Art.I(3) NYC (see para.2040). Switzerland has also not adopted the European Convention of 1961 (see paras 143–145), which is only applicable to disputes arising out of “international trade”. For Swiss domestic arbitration, the term “commercial arbitration” is also irrelevant.

## 2. Investment (treaty) arbitration

- 37        In recent decades, numerous bilateral and multilateral treaties have been concluded worldwide for the promotion and mutual protection of foreign investments, known as “investment treaties”. Because of this development, which is expected to continue, investment arbitration has increased significantly worldwide (see para.140). Investment treaties take the form of international conventions concluded between states.<sup>20</sup> The contracting states express their will to promote mutual investment activity and to ensure the protection of investors from the other contracting state on their own territory. This protection includes the duty of the host state to treat foreign investments fairly and equitably (*fair and equitable treatment standard*) and not to hinder these investments in

<sup>19</sup> See e.g. Art.1(1) UNCITRAL Model Law where in a footnote the drafters explain that the term “commercial” should be given a wide interpretation so as to cover matters arising from all relationships of a commercial nature, whether contractual or not. The German arbitration law, for example, which is based on the Model Law, is not limited to “commercial” disputes. For further information on the term “commercial arbitration”, see Poudret and Besson, N 10.

<sup>20</sup> For details as to the investment treaties to which Switzerland acceded, see para.139.

their use or disposal through unjust or discriminatory measures (*principle of non-discrimination*). Furthermore, a contracting state is obliged to treat foreign investors and their investments, as well as their returns from such investments, no less favourably than domestic investors or investors of a third state (*most favoured nation clause*). In addition, a contracting state generally undertakes to grant free capital transfer to foreign investors in connection with their investment (*free transfer*). Finally, direct or indirect expropriation or nationalisation of investments without equitable compensation is prohibited.

Most investment treaties provide for arbitration as a method of dispute resolution, whether for disputes between the contracting states or between a foreign investor and the host state. The latter is noteworthy in that the investor is entitled to invoke the dispute resolution clause of the treaty even though it is not a party to the treaty, has no contractual relationship whatsoever with the host state and has not entered into an arbitration agreement with the host state in the traditional sense.<sup>21</sup> Rather, the jurisdiction of the arbitral tribunal arises from the prior and unilateral declaration of the host state to submit any disputes concerning such investments to arbitration and from the subsequent consent of a foreign investor to submit a dispute to arbitration to the extent that it falls within the scope of the treaty. In many cases, the investor has a choice between arbitration under ICSID (see paras 136–142) and *ad hoc* arbitration under the UNCITRAL Rules.<sup>22</sup> Some treaties provide for tailor-made solutions.<sup>23</sup>

A frequently discussed question is whether an investor submitting to arbitration under a BIT is limited to asserting claims based on the investor's rights set out in the treaty (*treaty claims*) or whether, in addition, it may also assert claims arising from a contractual relationship between the investor and the host state or an enterprise controlled by that state (*contractual claims*).<sup>24</sup> Attempts to include contractual claims in a treaty arbitration are often driven by the investor's hope to find a more favourable forum (“forum shopping”).

<sup>21</sup> See Poudret and Besson, N 4; Schäfer, IDR 2006, 2–3.

<sup>22</sup> See e.g. Art.9(2) of the BIT of 29 November 2002 between Switzerland and the Republic of Mozambique (SR 0.975.257.4).

<sup>23</sup> See e.g. Art.11 of the BIT of 28 October 1991 between Switzerland and the Republic of Bulgaria (SR 0.975.221.4).

<sup>24</sup> See e.g. BGE 141 III 495 E.3.2.2 concerning the Energy Charter Treaty; Berger, ASA Bull. 2020, p.50–52. See also e.g. Gill, Gearing and Birt, “Contractual Claims and Bilateral Investment Treaties – A Comparative Review of the SGS Cases”, Jnl. Int. Arb. 2004, 397–412.

### 3. IP/IT-arbitration

- 40 If the dispute is arbitrable under the applicable arbitration law, the parties may submit intellectual property disputes to arbitration (for the limits under the Swiss *lex arbitri*, see paras 225–226).<sup>25</sup> The WIPO Rules (para.30) are specifically tailored to disputes of this nature.
- 41 In 1999, WIPO created the Uniform Domain Name Dispute Resolution Policy (UDRP) for disputes over abusive registration of domain names (“cyber-squatting”). The UDRP now applies to all generic top-level domains (gTLDs, *e.g.* .com, .net, .org, etc.) as well as to numerous country code top-level domains (ccTLDs, *e.g.* .ch, .fr, .nl, etc.). The UDRP is a simple, time- and cost-saving, transparent private administrative procedure to which the holder of a domain name submits during the registration process and which any organisation or individual can initiate. In an administrative procedure, the only remedies are the transfer or cancellation of a domain name. Other claims, such as that for damages, are outside the jurisdiction of the administrative panel. The decision of the panel is comparable to a “proposed judgment” (Art.210–211 CCP), *i.e.* the unsuccessful party can subsequently take the dispute to the competent state courts. As long as this possibility is not used, the UDRP can do without enforcement, as the registration authorities agree to implement the decisions of the panel on their own initiative.

### 4. Dispute resolution between states

- 42 Arbitration as a method of settling disputes between states has a long tradition in Switzerland. The famous “Alabama” arbitration between the United States of America and England supports this statement. An arbitral tribunal based in Geneva, of which Federal Counsellor Jakob Stämpfli was a member, was called upon to decide if England had breached its obligation to remain neutral. The warship “Alabama”, which was responsible for the sinking of over 70 ships in the American Civil War, had been built in Liverpool on behalf of the secessionists. The arbitral tribunal, which met in the Hôtel de Ville in Geneva in the same room where the first Red Cross Convention was signed in 1864, answered the question in the affirmative and on

<sup>25</sup> On the advantages and benefits of arbitration in intellectual property disputes, see *e.g.* Legler, ASA Bull. 2019, 289–304.

14 September 1872 ordered England to pay more than 50 million gold dollars in compensation to the United States.<sup>26</sup>

Many of Switzerland's bilateral and multilateral treaties currently in force provide for dispute resolution by arbitration. Some are briefly mentioned below.

a. The Permanent Court of Arbitration at The Hague

The Convention for the Pacific Settlement of International Disputes of 29 July 1899<sup>27</sup> and the Convention for the Pacific Settlement of International Disputes of 18 October 1907<sup>28</sup> both contain mechanisms for the amicable settlement of international conflicts between states. Switzerland has ratified both treaties;<sup>29</sup> over 100 countries have acceded to the 1907 Convention.

Art.38(1) of the 1907 Convention explicitly states that arbitration is an effective means of settling disputes between states: “In questions of a legal nature, and especially in the interpretation or application of International Conventions, arbitration is recognised by the Contracting Powers as the most effective, and, at the same time, the most equitable means of settling disputes which diplomacy has failed to settle”.

In Art.41 of the 1907 Convention, the contracting states confirmed that they would maintain the Permanent Court of Arbitration (PCA) established by the 1899 Convention. The PCA has jurisdiction over any dispute between contracting states, unless the parties agree to refer it to a special tribunal (Art.42). Each contracting state is entitled to designate a maximum of four persons to be on the list as members of the Court. The parties may select the arbitrators for their particular case from the list (Art.44–45). The PCA has its seat in The Hague. It maintains an office (the International Bureau), which is headed by the Secretary-General and supervised by a Board of Directors (Art.43 and Art.49). Detailed rules apply to the “Arbitration Procedure” before the PCA (Art.51–90), unless other rules have been agreed by the parties.

It should be noted that although the contracting states, by acceding to the Convention, recognise arbitration as a means of pacific dispute

<sup>26</sup> Details on the “Alabama” arbitration can be found in *Arbitrage de l’Alabama Genève 1872*, published by the Chancellerie d’Etat of Geneva in 1991.

<sup>27</sup> SR 0.193.211.

<sup>28</sup> SR 0.193.212.

<sup>29</sup> The Convention on 29 December 1900 and the 1907 Convention on 12 May 1910.

resolution, this does not mean that they automatically submit to the jurisdiction of the PCA. Rather, the PCA only has jurisdiction if and to the extent that the parties have signed a “Compromis” defining the subject-matter of the dispute (Art.52).

- 48 Since its foundation, the PCA has expanded its services:
- 49 Art.6 UNCITRAL Rules provides that the Secretary-General of the PCA may designate an appointing authority if the parties have not provided for one or if the institution agreed by the parties refuses to act. Where parties have agreed to *ad hoc* arbitration, whether under the UNCITRAL Rules or not, the Secretary-General of the PCA is regularly designated as the appointing authority.
- 50 In addition, the PCA has adopted the Permanent Court of Arbitration Arbitration Rules (2012) as well as numerous procedural rules for arbitration and conciliation (*Optional Rules*) to which the parties may submit. For example, reference can be made to the PCA Optional Rules for Arbitrating Disputes between Two States (1992), the PCA Optional Rules for Arbitration Involving International Organizations and States (1996), the PCA Optional Rules for Arbitration between International Organizations and Private Parties (1996), or the PCA Optional Rules for Arbitration of Disputes Relating to Natural Resources and/or the Environment (2001).
- 51 Furthermore, the PCA makes the resources of its International Bureau available for arbitration proceedings even if only one or no party has ratified the Convention. These services are even available for disputes of a purely civil or commercial nature between private parties.
- 52 The PCA maintains close relations with many other international arbitration institutions and in particular with the International Centre for the Settlement of Investment Disputes (ICSID, see paras 136–142).

## b. OSCE Court of Conciliation and Arbitration

- 53 The Organization for Security and Co-operation in Europe (OSCE; formerly the Conference on Security and Co-operation in Europe, CSCE), of which Switzerland is a member, concluded the Convention on Conciliation and Arbitration within the OSCE on 15 December 1992. Switzerland ratified this Convention on

23 December 1993.<sup>30</sup> Today, over 30 states have acceded to the Convention, which provides for far-reaching pacific dispute resolution between contracting states. However, it is not meant to compete with existing institutions having the same objectives (Art.19), such as the PCA (paras 44–52).<sup>31</sup> In addition to conciliation provisions (Chapter III), it includes terms for settling disputes by arbitration (Chapter IV). The Convention provides for a Court consisting of a Conciliation and Arbitral Tribunal with its seat in Geneva (Art.1 and Art.10). The Court is composed of mediators and arbitrators nominated by the contracting states (Art.3). Recourse to arbitration is only admissible when the conciliation procedure has failed (Art.26(3)). An arbitral tribunal shall be constituted for each individual conflict, with the members of the panel to be selected from a list of arbitrators, in part by the parties themselves, and in part by the Bureau of the Court (Art.28). The Court is staffed with a Registrar who presides over a Bureau (Art.9). The Convention itself contains numerous procedural rules. In addition, the Court adopted further procedural rules.<sup>32</sup> However, the ratification of the Convention does not mean that a state automatically submits to the jurisdiction of the Court. Arbitration under the auspices of the OSCE remains voluntary for the contracting states.<sup>33</sup> On the other hand, the contracting states may unilaterally declare “that they recognise as compulsory, *ipso facto* and without special agreement, the jurisdiction of an Arbitral Tribunal, subject to reciprocity” (Art.26(2)).<sup>34</sup>

### c. WTO Settlement of Disputes

The Agreement establishing the World Trade Organization (WTO) was ratified by Switzerland on 1 June 1995. Annex 2 to the Agreement contains an “Understanding on Rules and Procedures Governing the Settlement of Disputes”.<sup>35</sup> This Understanding provides for the amicable resolution of disputes between members of the WTO (Art.3(7)). Its efficiency lies in the fact that if an amicable settlement is

<sup>30</sup> SR 0.193.235. See also Report OSCE, BBI 1993 II 1159–1174; Laurence Cuny, L’OSCE et le règlement pacifique des différends: La Cour de conciliation et d’arbitrage, Genève 1997.

<sup>31</sup> Report OSCE, BBI 1993 II 1162–1163.

<sup>32</sup> See the Rules of the Court of Conciliation and Arbitration within the OSCE of 1 February 1997.

<sup>33</sup> Report OSCE, BBI 1993 II 1165–1166.

<sup>34</sup> Switzerland has not made such a declaration. Instead, it made a reservation according to Art.19(4), i.e. that dispute resolution mechanisms of another international source shall prevail.

<sup>35</sup> SR 0.632.20; see Report GATT 1, BBI 1994 IV 339–346.

impossible, a binding decision may be rendered.<sup>36</sup> The dispute resolution system of the WTO has a strong advantage over its predecessor organisation GATT, in that decisions no longer have to be reached by unanimity, but by the “reverse consensus” principle.<sup>37</sup> The short time-limits applicable to the proceedings further increase the efficiency of the WTO dispute resolution mechanism.<sup>38</sup>

- 55 The Understanding governs all disputes between members of the WTO in relation to the interpretation and application of WTO law. This includes, in particular, the WTO Agreement itself, the GATT 1994 and the associated multilateral trade agreements, GATS, TRIPs as well as the plurilateral trade agreements.<sup>39</sup>
- 56 In order to handle the dispute resolution system,<sup>40</sup> Art.2 of the Understanding creates a Dispute Settlement Body (DSB), which has its seat in Geneva (like the WTO itself). A consultation procedure, which precedes the dispute resolution process, is part of the settlement mechanism. This procedure requires direct negotiations between the parties to the dispute. If the conciliation procedure fails, the parties may request that the DSB appoint a panel (Art.4(7)). If such request is approved, the panel, whose members are chosen from the list of experts maintained by the office of the DSB, will examine the dispute (Art.6 *et seq.*). The panel first delivers its findings in an interim report and ultimately in a final report (Art.15). The final report may be appealed by the parties to the Standing Appellate Body (Art.17). This Body performs a limited review of the panel’s final report and issues its own report. The final report or the report of the Appellate Body must in turn be approved by the DSB (Art.16 and 17). If the Appellate Body adopts the report(s), the report(s) shall be final and binding.
- 57 The WTO Agreement provides for the implementation of reports approved by the DSB (Art.21) and sanctions against a party that fails to implement a report or fails to do so in a timely manner (Art.22–23).<sup>41</sup> Recourse to arbitration is again available for the resolution of differences arising at any stage of the procedure.

<sup>36</sup> On the binding character, see Schindler, 27–33.

<sup>37</sup> See Art.2(4) and the explanatory note: “The DSB [Dispute Settlement Body] shall be deemed to have decided by consensus on a matter submitted for its consideration, if no Member, present at the meeting of the DSB when the decision is taken, formally objects to the proposed decision.”

<sup>38</sup> Since 1 July 1995 when the Understanding entered into effect, over 600 cases were settled. See also Oesch, recht 2004, 192–205; von Segesser and Truttmann, in: Geisinger and Voser (eds), 304.

<sup>39</sup> See Schindler, 13; Oesch, recht 2004, 193, in particular at fn.10.

<sup>40</sup> On the procedure, see Schindler, 14–17.

<sup>41</sup> On the implementation and enforcement, see Schindler, 19–25.

d. Other international conventions

Switzerland is a party to many other international conventions that 58 provide for dispute resolution by arbitration between states. These include over 120 bilateral and multilateral investment treaties (para.37) as well as the Energy Charter Treaty of 17 December 1994<sup>42</sup> Art.27 of which provides for *ad hoc* arbitration to resolve certain disputes between contracting states.

5. Arbitration within associations (including sports arbitration)

a. The concept

Many private organisations such as sports federations, trade 59 associations, labour unions and similar bodies provide in their statutes or regulations that intra-group disputes shall be settled by arbitration (“*Verbandsschiedsgerichtsbarkeit*”). These mechanisms aim at enforcing the statutory rules and regulations of such organisations. This type of arbitration was mainly developed by trade associations to manage and settle their internal disputes. However, due to the limits imposed by antitrust law affecting such organisations, their importance has diminished considerably. This is particularly the case in the enforcement of price agreements, tariffs and supply obligations or in the sanctioning of territorial violations. The same concept is also found in other organisations that either directly or indirectly pursue economic goals, especially in sport. Due to the increasing economic importance of sport across all borders, international arbitration in this field has flourished in recent decades (see para.31).

“*Verbandsschiedsgerichtsbarkeit*” as discussed here should not be 60 confused with arbitration before arbitral tribunals acting under the auspices of a professional or trade organisation such as the International Chamber of Commerce.<sup>43</sup> The latter’s services are available to anyone, i.e. membership is not required to access arbitration under its rules.

<sup>42</sup> SR 0.730.0.

<sup>43</sup> See Rüede and Hadenfeldt, 110–111, 146–150, where the earlier case law of the Swiss Federal Supreme Court is reported.

b. Jurisdiction

61 The jurisdiction of a “*Verbandsschiedsgericht*” usually arises from the fact that the parties to the dispute are members of the association or federation. By becoming a member, each party has submitted (or is deemed to have submitted) to arbitrate before the arbitral tribunal within the limits of its jurisdiction *ratione materiae*, whether for “member v. member” or “member v. organisation” disputes. The jurisdiction *ratione personae* of the arbitral tribunal may even extend to a third party (non-member), provided that the latter has submitted (or must be deemed to have submitted) to that jurisdiction. This may be the case, for example, in sport where an athlete who is not him- or herself a member of the organising sports federation accepts the general conditions for participation in a particular competition organised by that federation.

c. Independence

62 Any arbitral tribunal must ensure its independence and impartiality in decision-making. This issue is of particular relevance in the context of arbitration within associations or federations, as the way in which the panel is appointed in these cases sometimes raises doubts as to whether the members are sufficiently independent of the parties, as required under Swiss law.<sup>44</sup>

63 The Swiss Federal Supreme Court, particularly in the context of challenges to awards of the Court of Arbitration for Sport (CAS), has defined the standards under which such a panel is considered sufficiently independent. Depending on the circumstances of the case (organisation v. member, organisation v. non-member, member v. member or member v. non-member), the applicable criteria and their relevance may vary. The standards established by the Federal Supreme Court apply regardless of whether the panel is acting under Chap.12 PILA<sup>45</sup> or Part 3 CCP and can be summarised as follows:

64 The requirement of independence and impartiality of an arbitral tribunal is subject to the same constitutional principles that apply to state courts, namely those of Art.30(1) FC.<sup>46</sup>

<sup>44</sup> On the issues of impartiality and independence, see paras 781–795.

<sup>45</sup> BGE 119 II 271 E.3b.

<sup>46</sup> BGE 129 III 445 E.3.3.3.

These principles provide that the organs or bodies of an association (e.g. 65 the executive board) cannot act as an arbitral tribunal. The opposite would in many cases lead to the association issuing an award in its own affairs, which is “simply incompatible with the guarantee of independence.”<sup>47</sup> This means that, for example, sanctions pronounced by such bodies cannot qualify as an arbitral award; rather, the decision rendered by such a body is in principle only a simple expression of will by the association concerned, *i.e.* an act of management and not a judicial act.<sup>48</sup> This also applies to the case where the party affected by the decision is not a member of the association, but only relies on it because without the recognition of its rules and regulations and thus submission to its internal dispute resolution mechanism, it would not have been able to participate in the relevant competition.<sup>49</sup>

Similarly, a panel that has no executive function in the association but 66 has been appointed by one of its organs or bodies does not provide sufficient guarantee of independent decision-making. Unbalanced influence on the appointment of such a panel is frowned upon, even (or especially) when non-members are involved in the controversy. The lack of parity in the composition of the panel cannot be remedied by establishing grounds for challenge, requiring the arbitrators to be professional judges, or other precautions.<sup>50</sup>

However, a “*Verbandsschiedsgericht*” called to decide an “association v. 67 member”, “association v. third party” or “member v. non-member” dispute may well qualify as a proper arbitral tribunal, provided that its members are sufficiently independent of the association and/or its affiliates and the association does not have an undue (predominant) influence on the composition of the panel. Relevant criteria to determine whether there is sufficient independence include the influence of the association on issues such as the arbitration rules, the selection and qualification of the arbitrators or the financial interdependence between the arbitral tribunal and the association.

Taking these criteria into account, the Swiss Federal Supreme Court has 68 examined in particular whether the Court of Arbitration for Sport (CAS) is sufficiently independent. In a first case in 1993, an *obiter dictum*

<sup>47</sup> BGE 119 II 271 E.3b.

<sup>48</sup> FSC 4A\_612/2020 of 18 June 2021 E.4 (planned for publication).

<sup>49</sup> In this sense also BGE 97 I 488 E.3.

<sup>50</sup> BGE 97 I 488 E.3; BGE 80 I 336 E.4. However, the principle of parity is fulfilled in the case of arbitral tribunals set up by associations to settle disputes between them or their members, as provided for e.g. in many collective labour agreements between labour unions and employers’ associations; see Rüede and Hadenfeldt, 149. On the right to parity, see also para.803–804.

expressed doubts as to whether the CAS was sufficiently independent in a dispute between the federation (the International Olympic Committee, IOC) and an athlete.<sup>51</sup> In a landmark decision in 2003, the Federal Supreme Court then found that the CAS offers sufficient guarantees for independent decision-making and thus qualifies as an ordinary arbitral tribunal. This result was favoured by a far-reaching reorganisation that significantly strengthened the independence of the CAS.<sup>52</sup> In 2018, the ECtHR also had to consider certain issues of due process before the CAS and, in particular, the impartiality and independence of the CAS and its arbitrators in light of Art.6(1) ECHR.<sup>53</sup> The ECtHR rejected the complaints of lack of independence and impartiality. However, in one of the cases, it affirmed a violation of Art.6(1) ECHR, as the CAS had rejected a professional athlete's request for a public hearing.

- 69 It is controversial whether the parties' right to control the composition of the arbitral tribunal may be restricted by obliging them to select the arbitrators from a predetermined list. A "list system" is indeed often practised by associations or federations in a well-intentioned attempt to ensure professional and efficient dispute resolution by specialists in the respective field. Contrary to some scholars, the Swiss Federal Supreme Court has not rejected the concept of a "list system" out of hand, but has expressed reservations when a party is in a position to exercise undue influence on the composition of the list. The list system used by the CAS, which includes over 300 persons nominated by various interest groups, was not objected to by the Federal Supreme Court.<sup>54</sup> It should be noted that Art.S18 of the CAS Code provides that CAS arbitrators and mediators may not act as counsel for a party before the CAS. This is certainly a useful additional measure by which the ICAS seeks to limit the risk of conflicts of interest, reduce the number of challenges and strengthen the independence of the CAS as such.

<sup>51</sup> BGE 119 II 271 E.3b. The issue did not need to be decided because the proceedings concerned a case in which the IOC was not involved as a party.

<sup>52</sup> BGE 129 III 445 E.3 with references to legal writing and case law. Confirmed in BGE 144 III 120 E.3.4 in a matter concerning FIFA.

<sup>53</sup> *Affaire Mutu et Pechstein c. Suisse* (Cases No. 40575/10 and 67474/10), Judgment of 2 October 2018.

<sup>54</sup> BGE 129 III 445 E.3.3.3.2. After all, the Federal Supreme Court added a critical comment on the transparency of the CAS list, but this was not relevant to the outcome of the case. See also FSC 4P.105/2006 of 4 August 2006 and the note by Kellerhals and Berger, ZBJV 2008, 230–232.

---

## § 2 ON THE SOURCES OF SWISS ARBITRATION LAW

### I. National and international arbitration

As with state court proceedings, there is a significant divide 70 between arbitrations that involve a purely domestic dispute and arbitrations that deal with a cross-border difference. The former is commonly referred to as national, internal or domestic arbitration, the latter as international arbitration. From the legislature's perspective, this divide can be approached in two different ways: The legislature can regulate it in a single arbitration act that covers both domestic and international arbitration (*code unique*),<sup>1</sup> or in two separate statutes (dual system).<sup>2</sup>

Switzerland applies the dual concept. Domestic arbitration is governed 71 by Part 3 of the Swiss Code of Civil Procedure of 19 December 2008 (CCP), which has been in force since 1 January 2011 and replaced the former Concordat on Arbitration of 27 March 1969 (CA). International arbitration is governed by Chapter 12 of the Private International Law Act of 18 December 1987 (PILA). Constitutional considerations led to this solution. When the Concordat was created in the 1960s, it was applicable to both domestic and international matters. The prevailing opinion at the time was that arbitration was a matter of civil procedure that fell within the legislative competence of the cantons under the then Art.64(3) FC. When there was a general call for unified rules for international arbitration in the 1970s and 1980s, it was considered that these constitutional concerns were no longer relevant.<sup>3</sup> Therefore, in 1987, the federal legislature decided to include a set of rules on "International Arbitration" in Chap.12 PILA.<sup>4</sup>

<sup>1</sup> A variant of the *solution unitaire* is to add some provisions dealing specifically with international arbitration to a comprehensive law on arbitration.

<sup>2</sup> See Poudret and Besson, N 22–30 with references to the solutions chosen in other jurisdictions.

<sup>3</sup> Although the constitutional basis had not changed in the meantime; see Report PILA, BBI 1983 I 293–296, 457.

<sup>4</sup> The possibility of amending the Concordat to include the more recent developments in international arbitration was not a real alternative for practical reasons, as this would have required the consent of all signatory cantons.

- 72 According to Art.122(1) FC, in force since 1 January 2007, legislative competence in the area of civil procedure now lies with the Swiss Confederation. Nevertheless, the legislature has opted to maintain the dual system. International arbitration continues to be governed by the rules of Chap.12 PILA. Domestic arbitration has become part of the CCP as its Part 3. The preservation of the dual system was a pragmatic decision based on the consideration that the Concordat, which was repealed when the CCP came into force, was accepted by practitioners as a useful set of rules for domestic arbitration. Part 3 CCP has addressed the shortcomings of the former CA. Moreover, legal writing and case law on the Concordat continues to be of value and there was no need to revise Chap.12 PILA.<sup>5</sup> Last but not least, a separate law seems better suited to accommodate the differences between domestic and international arbitration than a *loi uniforme*.<sup>6</sup>

## II. Part 3 CCP and its predecessor

### 1. Introduction

- 73 Long ago, both national and international arbitration in Switzerland were the subject of legislation in the individual cantons. The old cantonal codes of civil procedure contained different rules on arbitration. In addition, the complexity and details of the legislation varied greatly from canton to canton.<sup>7</sup>

- 74 In an attempt to bring clarity and uniformity to arbitration in Switzerland, the cantons created the Concordat on Arbitration of 27 March 1969 (CA), based on the former Art.7(4) FC (today Art.48 FC).<sup>8</sup> The concordat solution was preferred to federal legislation due to constitutional concerns (see para.71).<sup>9</sup> The Concordat was equivalent to cantonal law. It was a binding and conclusive law on arbitration for the signatory cantons and replaced the individual cantonal rules on arbitration (see former Art.46 CA). The legislative competence of the cantons that had

<sup>5</sup> See Report CCP, BBI 2006 7391–7392.

<sup>6</sup> See Perret, FS Kellerhals, 55.

<sup>7</sup> See e.g. Art.380–396 of the old Code of Civil Procedure of the canton of Berne (reprinted in Georg Leuch, Die Zivilprozessordnung für den Kanton Bern, 3rd edition, Bern 1956) or §§ 238–257 of the old Code of Civil Procedure of the canton of Zurich (conveyed in Frank Sträuli and Georg Messmer, Kommentar zur Zürcherischen Zivilprozessordnung, 2nd edition, Zurich 1982). See also Lanz, 1–5.

<sup>8</sup> On the origins of the Concordat, see Lanz, 1–16.

<sup>9</sup> See also BSK-Hochstrasser and Burlet, Introduction N 156–159.

acceded to the Concordat was limited to the aspects listed in the former Art.45 CA (*i.e.* proceedings before the cantonal courts and organisation of the judiciary).

Gradually, all 26 cantons joined the Concordat, the last being Lucerne in 75 1995. Since then, uniform rules have applied to domestic arbitration in Switzerland. However, the concordat solution had a considerable disadvantage, because any change or amendment to the Concordat had to be approved and ratified by all member cantons. This difficulty was one of the reasons why the Swiss Federal Council, when creating the Swiss Code of Civil Procedure (CCP), proposed replacing the Concordat with a federal law on domestic arbitration.

This proposal was to give domestic arbitration its own chapter in Part 3 76 of the new CCP as a counterpart to Chap.12 PILA. When it entered into force on 1 January 2011, Part 3 CCP replaced the Concordat, but retained much of its core (see paras 71–72). Like the Concordat and Chap.12 PILA, Part 3 CCP is based on the primacy of party autonomy. The parties have a wide margin of discretion in determining the arbitral procedure. The criticism of domestic arbitration voiced against the Concordat has also been duly taken into account in Part 3 CCP.<sup>10</sup>

## 2. Scope of application

Until Chap.12 PILA entered into force in 1989, the Concordat 77 applied to both national and international arbitral tribunals seated in Switzerland. From 1989 onwards, the scope of the Concordat was limited to domestic arbitration. Accordingly, the scope of application of Part 3 CCP is also limited to domestic arbitration (Art.353(1) CCP).

Decisive for the applicability of Part 3 CCP is that: (i) the arbitral 78 tribunal has its seat in Switzerland and (ii) the parties to the arbitration agreement, at the time of its conclusion, all had their domicile, habitual residence or seat in Switzerland (Art.353(1) CCP).<sup>11</sup> If at least one party had its domicile, habitual residence or seat abroad at the time the arbitration agreement was concluded, the arbitration shall be governed by Chap.12 PILA (Art.176(1) PILA). In determining the place of domicile, habitual residence or seat of a party, the arbitral tribunal shall

<sup>10</sup> See Report CCP, BBI 2006 7391–7392.

<sup>11</sup> Art.353(1) CCP and Art.176(1) PILA are interdependent. See therefore also the comments on the scope of Chap.12 PILA in paras 95–119.

- apply Art.20 PILA (for natural persons) and Art.21 PILA (for legal persons).<sup>12</sup>
- 79 The nationality of the parties is irrelevant for the application of Part 3 CCP (or Chap.12 PILA).<sup>13</sup> The domestic (or international) character of an arbitration does not change just because, for example, one or more parties to the arbitration agreement (or even all of them) are Swiss subsidiaries of foreign parent companies.<sup>14</sup> The same applies if a party is domiciled, habitually resident or has its seat abroad, but is suing as the legal successor of a party that was domiciled in Switzerland at the time the arbitration agreement was concluded.<sup>15</sup> On the other hand, Part 3 CCP is no longer applicable after the 2020 revision of Art.176(1) PILA if only the parties to the arbitral proceedings are domiciled in Switzerland, while the arbitration agreement invoked was concluded with additional parties domiciled abroad, but who are not parties to the proceedings.<sup>16</sup> With the revised Art.176 PILA, the legislature has clarified that it is not the domicile, habitual residence or seat of the parties to the proceedings that is decisive, but rather the domicile, habitual residence or seat of (all) parties to the arbitration agreement at the time it was concluded.<sup>17</sup>
- 80 If the requirements of (i) Swiss seat of the arbitral tribunal and (ii) Swiss domicile, habitual residence or seat of all parties to the arbitration agreement at the time of its conclusion are met, Part 3 CCP shall apply to the proceedings even if the subject-matter of the arbitration has an international connection (see also paras 104–105).
- 81 As a further expression of party autonomy, the parties are free to extend the application of Part 3 CCP to arbitrations that would otherwise be governed by Chap.12 PILA. According to Art.176(2) PILA, the parties may exclude the application of Chap.12 PILA by a declaration in the arbitration agreement or in a subsequent agreement and agree to apply Part 3 CCP instead. The declaration to opt out of Chap.12 PILA (*i.e.* to

<sup>12</sup> See BSK-Pfiffner and Hochstrasser, Art.176 N 36–37; Lalive, Poudret and Reymond, Art.176 N 3 paras 2–3.

<sup>13</sup> Lalive, Poudret and Reymond, Art.176 N 3 para.2.

<sup>14</sup> Lalive, Poudret and Reymond, Art.176 N 3 para.3. In this context, the Swiss subsidiary's capacity to be a party to the proceedings is presumed, which does not apply to mere “branches” (*Zweigniederlassungen*), but to subsidiaries that are conceived as legal entities.

<sup>15</sup> FSC 4P.28/1995 of 27 October 1995 E.2a; for criticism, see Haymann, ASA Bull. 1996, 277–283 and Schweizer, SZIER 1997, 604–605.

<sup>16</sup> On this previous case law, see FSC 4P.54/2002 of 24 June 2002 E.3. For criticism, see Knoepfler, ASA Bull. 2003, 137–141; Besson, ASA Bull. 2003, 469–470; Schweizer, SZIER 2002, 596–597; Kaufmann-Kohler and Rigozzi, Jusletter of 7 October 2002.

<sup>17</sup> See Report Revision Chap.12 PILA, BBI 2018 7187.

exclude its provisions in favour of those of Part 3 CCP) must comply with the form provided for in Art.178(1) PILA (see paras 103–111).

Art.407(2) CCP contains a transitional provision that is largely obsolete <sup>82</sup> today. It provides that arbitral proceedings that were pending when the CCP came into force on 1 January 2011 shall continue to be governed by the previous law, *i.e.* the Concordat, unless the parties agree that the new law shall apply.

### 3. Characteristics of Part 3 CCP

Swiss domestic arbitration, as codified in Part 3 CCP, is based on <sup>83</sup> the principle of party autonomy. The parties are free to determine the arbitral procedure (Art.373(1) CCP) as well as all other aspects of the arbitration (such as the number and appointment of arbitrators, the determination of the seat of the arbitration, the power of the arbitral tribunal to order interim measures, etc.). Such determinations may be made at any time, whether in the arbitration clause (*clause compromissoire*), in a separate arbitration agreement (*compromis*) or even by a subsequent agreement concluded when the arbitration is already pending. The parties may override, amend, supplement or replace the provisions of Part 3 CCP by tailor-made solutions. Instead, they may refer to arbitration rules, the provisions of which may differ from those in Part 3 CCP.<sup>18</sup> In contrast to the former Art.1(3) CA, which contained a rather extensive list of mandatory provisions, Part 3 CCP follows the liberal approach enshrined in Chap.12 PILA. Thus, Part 3 CCP contains only a few mandatory rules (*e.g.* Art.354 CCP on arbitrability or Art.358(1) CCP on the form of the arbitration agreement).

Part 3 CCP is designed as an arbitration act. It constitutes a conclusive <sup>84</sup> and exclusive regulation of domestic arbitration. It is therefore largely independent of the other Parts of the CCP dealing with proceedings in civil and commercial matters before state courts. Accordingly, the provisions of the other Parts generally do not apply to arbitral proceedings governed by Part 3 CCP.<sup>19</sup>

<sup>18</sup> Such references do not have to meet the formal requirement of Art.358(1) CCP. Even tacit agreements are valid. See Lalive, Poudret and Reymond, Art.1 N 2.2.

<sup>19</sup> See Report CCP, BBI 2006 7392.

### III. Chap.12 PILA

#### 1. Legislative history of Chap.12 PILA

85 The legal framework of international arbitration in Switzerland is part of Swiss private international law. Attempts to codify this area of law date back to the 19th century.<sup>20</sup> However, the decisive initiative for today's PILA came from the annual conference of the Swiss Lawyers' Association in 1971. The preliminary draft was published on 30 June 1978, the Report of the Federal Council on 10 November 1982; the Act was adopted on 18 December 1987 and entered into force on 1 January 1989.<sup>21</sup> The expert commission charged with establishing the preliminary draft succeeded in convincing the Federal Council to include regulations on international arbitration and to devote a separate chapter in the new law to "International Arbitration". In the 1970s, when the preparations for the PILA were made, it was difficult to get an overview of the legislative landscape for arbitration in Switzerland. About a third of the cantons (including Zurich) had not adopted the Concordat at all, but continued to regulate arbitration in their own civil procedure codes. Accordingly, arbitration law in Switzerland was fragmented and did not meet the basic needs of modern legislation on international arbitration. In its Report to Parliament, the Federal Council explained the need for a uniform legal framework on international arbitration as follows:<sup>22</sup>

"The cantonal texts and the Concordat primarily concern domestic arbitration. They have not shown that they meet the needs of modern international arbitration. Fundamental questions, such as which law to apply to determine the validity of an arbitration agreement, remain open. Moreover, they contain numerous differences. This regulatory situation is difficult for the user of international arbitration. It damages the reputation that Switzerland should maintain in the field of international arbitration. Even though the situation improved with the creation of the Concordat and the adoption of a new Zurich Code of Civil Procedure (Art.238 *et seq.*), these rules do not fully meet the needs of international arbitration. On the one hand, they cannot be sufficiently coordinated with the arbitration rules of the most important arbitration institutions. On the other hand, they offer the potential for obstruction with all the possibilities for appeal. In some cases, this goes so far as to call arbitration itself into question."

<sup>20</sup> On the origins of the PILA, see Report PILA, BBI 1983 I 271–284.

<sup>21</sup> AS 1988 1831.

<sup>22</sup> Report PILA, BBI 1983 I 457.

In the debates in Parliament, the chapter on international arbitration was 86 the most controversial part of the entire draft. After the matter failed in the Council of States, the National Council's committee substantially revised and supplemented critical parts of the draft submitted by the Federal Council. Both chambers finally accepted the revised version.<sup>23</sup>

## 2. The 2020 revision of Chap.12 PILA

Since its entry into force in 1989, Chap.12 PILA has become a 86a success story both in Switzerland and abroad. The attractivity of Chap.12 PILA is based on its innovative approach, simplicity and clarity. Some thirty years later, on 1 January 2021, the first comprehensive revision of Chap.12 PILA came into force. The revision process was initiated by a motion in Parliament in 2012. The preliminary draft bill was published in January 2017.<sup>24</sup> The draft bill of the Federal Council was referred to Parliament in October 2018.<sup>25</sup> The final text of the revision was adopted by Parliament on 19 June 2020.<sup>26</sup>

Overall, the 2020 revision ensures that Chap.12 PILA maintains its 86b position as one of the most internationally regarded arbitration laws of high and innovative quality. It combines party autonomy with the guarantee of a reliable legal system. These qualities explain why Chap.12 PILA is fit to apply to many different types of arbitration, such as *ad hoc* proceedings, institutional arbitration, sports arbitration, investment arbitration, to name but a few. The revision builds on these key strengths and modernises the provisions of Chap.12 PILA on a selective basis. In particular, it implements the case law developed by the Swiss Federal Supreme Court since the entry into force of the PILA in 1989. The revision also aims to further strengthen the position of Chap.12 PILA as a stand-alone law on international arbitration, *e.g.* by keeping references to other laws to a minimum. The revision brings Chap.12 PILA up to date, but retains its conciseness and flexibility. One of the important innovations is that English becomes an admissible language for the filing

<sup>23</sup> For details BSK-Hochstrasser and Burlet, Introduction N 166–169. See also AmtlBull SR 1985, 173–179; AmtlBull NR 1986, 1363–1369; AmtlBull SR 1987, 193–199; AmtlBull NR 1987, 1070–1073.

<sup>24</sup> Explanatory Report on the Amendment of the Federal Act on Private International Law (International Arbitration) of 11 January 2017 and Preliminary Draft Bill of the same date.

<sup>25</sup> Report on the Amendment of the Federal Act on Private International Law (Chapter 12: International Arbitration) of 24 October 2018 with Draft Bill of the same date; AS 2018 7163.

<sup>26</sup> AS 2020 4179, Amendment of 19 June 2020.

of written submissions before the Swiss Federal Supreme Court (Art.77(2bis) FSCA).

### 3. Characteristics of Chap.12 PILA

- 87 The provisions on international arbitration are part of the PILA. Nevertheless, they are an independent set of rules.<sup>27</sup> The independence of Chap.12 PILA is reflected in the fact that the general provisions of the PILA are not applicable to international arbitration. Similarly, an arbitral tribunal is not obliged to apply the conflict of laws rules of Chap.9 PILA (Art.116 *et seq.*) for determining the law governing the merits of the case, but has greater discretion than a state court when deciding which substantive law should apply in the absence of a choice of law agreement (see Art.187(1) PILA).<sup>28</sup>
- 88 Chap.12 PILA was designed as an independent, stand-alone arbitration act. It constitutes a conclusive and exclusive regulation of international arbitration. With the entry into force of Chap.12 PILA, the Concordat therefore ceased to regulate international arbitration (and the same applies today to Part 3 CCP). Where Chap.12 PILA is silent on certain issues, the general rules of interpretation apply.<sup>29</sup>
- 89 Chap.12 PILA is based on a liberal concept. It is a solution that ensures more freedom than that of the CCP (and certainly than that of the former Concordat, in which the parties' room for manoeuvre was considerably restricted by mandatory provisions). The liberal character of the law can be deduced from the small number of provisions. This testifies to the drafter's intention to concentrate on the essentials. It is an expression of a system that leaves as much discretion as possible to the parties. The parties actually benefit most from this approach (party autonomy). Chap.12 PILA in particular ensures them the freedom to determine the composition of the arbitral tribunal (Art.179(1) PILA), the arbitral procedure (Art.182(1) or Art.183(1) PILA), and even provides for a limited possibility to waive recourse against the award (Art.192 PILA).

<sup>27</sup> Kaufmann-Kohler and Rigozzi, N 1.90.

<sup>28</sup> Lalive, Poudret and Reymond, Introduction N 26. For details on the conflict of laws provision in Art.187(1) PILA, see paras 1375–1437.

<sup>29</sup> BSK-Hochstrasser and Burlet, Introduction N 192; Addor, ZSR 1993 I 62–71.

The liberal tenor of Chap.12 PILA also affects the position of arbitrators. 90 They have far-reaching powers. This is especially true if the parties have not determined the arbitral procedure themselves.<sup>30</sup>

The legislature's aim to let arbitration largely regulate itself and to limit 91 state intervention to the necessary minimum does not indicate a state disinterest in arbitration as a means of dispute resolution. Rather, Chap.12 PILA is driven by the desire to promote the smooth functioning of international arbitration in Switzerland. Therefore, judicial assistance is available to parties and arbitrators if state intervention is deemed necessary. The latter demonstrates the arbitration-friendly approach of Chap.12 PILA.<sup>31</sup>

The need for a maximum of party autonomy in international arbitration 92 is contrasted with the need for sufficient legal certainty. Chap.12 PILA finds the balance between these two different expectations by laying down constitutional guarantees: the independence of arbitrators (Art.180(1)(c) PILA; see paras 781–795), the equal treatment of parties and their right to be heard in adversarial proceedings (Art.182(3) PILA; see paras 1115–1151).<sup>32</sup>

The streamlining of the appeal against the award is another feature of 93 Chap.12 PILA. The legislature has thus taken into account the criticism expressed in Switzerland, but also abroad, of the multi-level appeal system of the former Concordat.<sup>33</sup> Under Chap.12 PILA, the sole judicial authority for any recourse against the award is the Swiss Federal Supreme Court.<sup>34</sup> Subject to the conditions of Art.192(1) PILA, the parties may even waive recourse against the award in whole or in part (see paras 1839–1876). Furthermore, the exhaustive list of grounds for challenge in Art.190(2) PILA reflects the legislature's general intention

<sup>30</sup> For example, arbitrators may determine the seat of the arbitration (Art.176(3) PILA) and the arbitral procedure (Art.182(2) PILA), they may order interim and conservatory measures (Art.183 PILA) or issue partial awards (Art.188 PILA).

<sup>31</sup> The competent state court may be seised whenever the appointment, removal or replacement of an arbitrator is required (Art.179, 180b PILA), whenever the challenge of an arbitrator is in dispute (Art.180a PILA) or whenever an order for interim measures requires judicial assistance (Art.183 PILA). In addition, the general clause of Art.185 PILA provides that an arbitral tribunal seated in Switzerland may request further assistance from the state court.

<sup>32</sup> Report PILA, BBI 1983 I 457–458; Lalive, Poudret and Reymond, Introduction N 21.

<sup>33</sup> Report PILA, BBI 1983 I 464–465. Lalive, Poudret and Reymond, Introduction N 24.

<sup>34</sup> To designate the highest court in Switzerland as the sole judicial authority to set aside was a *formule audacieuse* (Reymond, ASA Special Series No. 1, 11–18). For reasons of harmonisation between national and international arbitration, Part 3 CCP follows the same approach; see Art.389 CCP and Report CCP, BBI 2006 7404.

to limit recourse against international awards rendered in Switzerland to a minimum.<sup>35</sup>

#### 4. Constitutional basis

- 94 When the Swiss Federal Council decided to submit a bill to codify private international law, there was a great deal of controversy over whether the Confederation had the competence to regulate international arbitration, which was to be included in the new law. Art.64(3) FC in force at the time reserved the area of private law to the Confederation, while civil procedure law was left to the cantons. In which category does international arbitration fall? The Federal Council proposed federal competence<sup>36</sup> and Parliament agreed. The question of constitutionality of Chap.12 PILA was finally settled with the adoption of Art.122(1) FC, which declares that both civil law and civil procedure law fall within the competence of the Confederation (see paras 71–72).

#### 5. Scope of application of Chap.12 PILA

- 95 Art.176 PILA governs the scope of application of international arbitration in Switzerland (Chap.12 PILA). As such, it forms the counterpart to Art.353 CCP, which determines the scope of application of Swiss domestic arbitration (Part 3 CCP; see paras 77–82).
- 96 According to the 2020 revision of Art.176(1) PILA, the provisions of Chap.12 PILA apply to arbitral tribunals seated in Switzerland if at least one party to the arbitration agreement, at the time of its conclusion, neither had its domicile, habitual residence or seat in Switzerland. The scope of application of Chap.12 PILA is thus defined by purely formal criteria in order to create the greatest possible clarity and legal certainty. The only two relevant criteria are (i) the seat of the arbitration, and (ii) the domicile, habitual residence or seat of the parties to the

<sup>35</sup> According to Art.393(e) CCP, which reproduces former Art.36(f) CA, the award may be challenged if it is “arbitrary”. The Swiss concept of “arbitrariness” is often difficult to understand for foreign users of Swiss arbitration. Therefore, in Art.190(2)(e) PILA, this ground for challenge has been replaced by the possibility to challenge the award if it is incompatible with “public policy”. At the same time, this amendment significantly raised the hurdle for successful challenge. For details on Art.190(2)(e) PILA, see paras 1758–1787.

<sup>36</sup> The Swiss Federal Council justified its opinion, *inter alia*, by referring to former Art.8 FC, which declared that the federal government is competent for Switzerland’s foreign affairs. See Report PILA, BBI 1983 I 293–296.

arbitration agreement at the time of its conclusion. The domicile, habitual residence or seat of only the parties to the proceedings is irrelevant.<sup>37</sup> The new law also applies to arbitration agreements concluded before 1 January 2021.<sup>38</sup>

#### a. Territorial scope

In territorial terms, Chap.12 PILA always applies if the seat (or place) of the arbitration is in Switzerland (Art.176(1) PILA).<sup>97</sup>

The seat of the arbitration binds the parties and the arbitral tribunal to a legally determined jurisdiction and the corresponding national arbitration law (*lex arbitri*).<sup>39</sup> According to Art.176(3) PILA, this territorial reference to Switzerland is primarily determined by the parties. They may determine the seat of the arbitration in the arbitration agreement or at a later stage (see paras 747–757). The parties may also entrust a third party, *e.g.* an arbitral institution, with the determination of the seat (see paras 760–763). If the parties have failed to determine the seat, either directly or by reference, Art.176(3) PILA provides that the arbitral tribunal shall determine the seat. The latter, however, presupposes that the arbitral tribunal can be constituted (see paras 764–766). The freedom of the parties (or the arbitral tribunal) to choose a place in Switzerland as the seat of the arbitration is not restricted by any other conditions.

If the parties do not designate a seat and if all alternative designation mechanisms (including Art.176(3) PILA) do not lead to the designation of a seat in Switzerland, various provisions of Chap.12 PILA are meaningless. The latter applies in particular to the scope of application of Chap.12 PILA itself, which requires a seat of arbitration in Switzerland (Art.176(1) PILA). Furthermore, it affects all provisions of Chap.12 PILA that provide for judicial assistance by a Swiss state court. With the 2020 revision of Art.179(2) PILA, this loophole was finally eliminated. A new second sentence has been added to Art.179(2) PILA, which provides that if the parties have failed to determine a seat and are unable to constitute the arbitral tribunal, the Swiss state court seised first shall have jurisdiction. That Swiss state court, as *juge d'appui*, shall

<sup>37</sup> Report Revision Chap.12 PILA, BBI 2018 7187. The previous case law of the Swiss Federal Supreme Court on Art.176 PILA (FSC 4P.28/1995 of 27 October 1995 E.2a or FSC 4P.54/2002 of 24 June 2002 E.3) is no longer on point. See also para.79.

<sup>38</sup> Report Revision Chap.12 PILA, BBI 2018 7203. See also Tettamanti, ASA Bull. 2020, 823–825.

<sup>39</sup> Panchaud, SJZ 1965, 374: “... ce siège de l'arbitrage relie les parties et leur arbitre, d'une part, à un cadre législatif national d'autre part ...”. On the seat of the arbitration, see para.741.