Johanna Braun

Host State Circumstances and Absolute Standards of Protection in International Investment Law

Influence on the Interpretation of the Fair and Equitable Treatment and Full Protection and Security Standards



international investment law centre cologne



Nomos







Studies in International Investment Law Studien zum Internationalen Investitionsrecht edited by Prof. Dr. Marc Bungenberg, LL.M., Universität des Saarlandes Prof. Dr. h.c. Dr. h.c. Stephan Hobe, LL.M. Prof. Dr. August Reinisch, LL.M., Universität Wien Prof. Dr. Andreas R. Ziegler, LL.M., Universität Lausanne in cooperation with International Investment Law Centre Cologne (IILCC) Prof. Dr. h.c. Dr. h.c. Stephan Hobe, LL.M. Prof. Dr. Bernhard Kempen Prof. Dr. Heinz-Peter Mansel Prof. Dr. Burkhard Schöbener Volume 46 and Volume 21 of the series International Investment Law Centre Cologne (IILCC)

Johanna Braun **Host State Circumstances** and Absolute Standards of Protection in International Investment Law Influence on the Interpretation of the Fair and Equitable Treatment and Full Protection and Security Standards







Published with financial support of the Seidl-Hohenveldern-Verein zur Unterstützung der Völkerrechtswissenschaft e.V.

The Deutsche Nationalbibliothek lists this publication in the Deutsche Nationalbibliografie; detailed bibliographic data are available on the Internet at http://dnb.d-nb.de

a.t.: Köln, Univ., Diss., 2022

ISBN (Print) 978-3-8487-7488-3 (Nomos Verlagsgesellschaft mbH & Co. KG, Baden-Baden) ISBN (ePDF) 978-3-7489-3279-6 (Nomos Verlagsgesellschaft mbH & Co. KG, Baden-Baden)

ISBN (Print) 978-3-7089-2350-5 (facultas Verlag, Wien)

ISBN (Print) 978-3-03891-551-5 (Dike Verlag, Zürich/St. Gallen)

British Library Cataloguing-in-Publication Data

A catalogue record for this book is available from the British Library.

ISBN (Print) 978-3-8487-7488-3 (Nomos Verlagsgesellschaft mbH & Co. KG, Baden-Baden) ISBN (ePDF) 978-3-7489-3279-6 (Nomos Verlagsgesellschaft mbH & Co. KG, Baden-Baden)

ISBN (Print) 978-3-7089-2350-5 (facultas Verlag, Wien)

ISBN (Print) 978-3-03891-551-5 (Dike Verlag, Zürich/St. Gallen)

Library of Congress Cataloging-in-Publication Data

Braun, Johanna

Host State Circumstances and Absolute Standards of Protection in International Investment Law Influence on the Interpretation of the Fair and Equitable Treatment and Full Protection and Security Standards Johanna Braun

249 pp.

Includes bibliographic references.

Onlineversion Nomos eLibrary

ISBN (Print) 978-3-8487-7488-3 (Nomos Verlagsgesellschaft mbH & Co. KG, Baden-Baden) ISBN (ePDF) 978-3-7489-3279-6 (Nomos Verlagsgesellschaft mbH & Co. KG, Baden-Baden)

ISBN (Print) 978-3-7089-2350-5 (facultas Verlag, Wien)

ISBN (Print) 978-3-03891-551-5 (Dike Verlag, Zürich/St. Gallen)

1st Edition 2022

© Nomos Verlagsgesellschaft, Baden-Baden, Germany 2022. Overall responsibility for manufacturing (printing and production) lies with Nomos Verlagsgesellschaft mbH & Co. KG.

This work is subject to copyright. All rights reserved. No part of this publication may be reproduced or transmitted in any form or by any means, electronic or mechanical, including photocopying, recording, or any information storage or retrieval system, without prior permission in writing from the publishers. Under § 54 of the German Copyright Law where copies are made for other than private use a fee is payable to "Verwertungsgesellschaft Wort", Munich.

No responsibility for loss caused to any individual or organization acting on or refraining from action as a result of the material in this publication can be accepted by Nomos or the author.

Acknowledgements

This thesis was accepted as a doctoral dissertation by the Faculty of Law of the University of Cologne in March 2022. Jurisprudence and literature up until June 2022 were taken into account.

Numerous people have contributed to the success of this work. I am incredibly grateful to my doctoral supervisor, Professor Bernhard Kempen who not only guided and encouraged me at every stage of this project but also enabled my research visit in Florence. I would also like to thank my second examiner, Junior Professor Julian Scheu for the speedy completion of the second opinion and his encouragement for the publication of the dissertation.

In addition, I am very grateful to the Seidl-Hohenveldern Verein zur Förderung der Völkerrechtswissenschaften and the Association of Friends and Supporters of the International Investment Law Institute Cologne for their financial support of this publication.

I was fortunate to be able to count on many friends and colleagues during the work on this project. I benefitted from every discussion I had with the various friends of international investment law at the European University Institute in Florence as well as the International Investment Law Institute Cologne. Thank you!

In particular, I would like to thank Malaika Jores, Niclas Landmann, Carla Müller, Philipp Reinhold, and Lisa van Aaken for reading parts of my work at various stages of the project and providing helpful feedback.

I am forever thankful for the encouragement of Julian Craven, Josephine Kemmet, Nora Reibel, and Nora von Hammerstein. Your moral and culinary support have given me the confidence and perseverance to pursue and complete this project!

Finally, I want to thank my family, especially my parents, Mirjam Schwerk and Michael Braun. This work would not have been possible without their confidence and support, not only throughout this project but throughout my whole life. This book is dedicated to them.

Berlin, September 2022 Johanna Braun

Abbreviations

Afr J Intl & Comp L African Journal of International and

Comparative Law

AJIL American Journal of International Law
Am Soc'y Int'l L Proc American Society of International Law

Proceedings

Am U Int'l L Rev American University International Law

Review

Am U Bus L Rev American University Business Law Re-

view

Ariz J Int'l & Comp. L Arizona Journal of International and

Comparative Law

ARSIWA Articles on the Responsibility of States

for Internationally Wrongful Acts

ASIL American Society of International Law

BIT Bilateral Investment Treaty

Brook J Int'l L Brooklyn Journal of International Law
BYIL British Yearbook of International Law
CETA Comprehensive Economic and Trade

Agreement between Canada and the

European Union

CLP Current Legal Problems

Colum J Transnat'l L Columbia Journal of Transnational Law
Contemp Asia Arb J Contemporary Asia Arbitration Journal
CPTPP Comprehensive and Progressive Agree-

ment for Trans-Pacific Partnership

DCF Discounted Cash Flow ECT Energy Charter Treaty

ECHR European Convention on Human Rights
EILA Rev European Investment Law and Arbitra-

tion Review

Abbreviations

EJIL European Journal of International Law

Emory LJ Emory Law Journal

FCN treaties Friendship, Commerce and Navigation

treaties

FDI Foreign Direct Investment
FET Fair and Equitable Treatment

Finnish YB Int'l L Finnish Yearbook of International Law

FIT Feed-In Tariff

Fla J Int'l L Florida Journal of International Law

FPS Full Protection and Security
FTC Free Trade Commission

German YB Int'l L

Harv Int'l LJ

Harvard International Law Journal

IAReporter

ICC

International Chamber of Commerce

ICCPR

International Covenant on Civil and Po-

litical Rights

ICJ International Court of Justice

ICLQ International & Comparative Law Quar-

terly

ICSID International Centre for Settlement of

Investment Disputes

IIA International Investment Agreement
II.A International Law Association

ILC International Law Commission
IMF International Monetary Fund
IMS International Minimum Standard

Int'l Comm L Rev International Common Law Review

Int'l L International Law

Int'l L Ass'n Rep Conf International Law Association Reports of

Conferences

ISDS Investor-State Dispute Settlement

Isr L Rev Israel Law Review

I Conflict & Sec L Journal of Conflict and Security Law I Int'l Arb **Iournal of International Arbitration** J World Investment & Trade Journal of World Investment & Trade

JIDS Journal of International Dispute Settle-

Journal of International Economic Law IIEL Law & Prac. Int'l Cts. & Tri-Law and Practice of International Courts

bunals & Tribunals

LDR Law and Development Review LJIL Leiden Journal of International Law

Manchester Journal of International Law Manchester J Int'l Economic

Law

MFN Most-Favoured Nation Mich L Rev Michigan Law Review

Michigan Journal of International Law Mich J Int'l L

Minn L Rev Minnesota Law Review

NAFTA North American Free Trade Agreement

NT National Treatment

New York University Journal of Interna-NYU J Intl L & Pol

tional Law and Politics

Permanent Court of International Justice **PCIJ** Po'y & Globalization Journal of Law, Policy and Globalization Rev Eur Comp & Int'l Envtl L Review of European Community and In-

ternational Environmental Law

Suffolk Transnational Law Review Suffolk Transnat'l L Rev Syracuse J Int'l L & Com Syracuse Journal of International Law

and Commerce

TDM Transnational Dispute Management Tex Int'l LI Texas International Law Journal

UN United Nations

UNCITRAL United Nations Commission on Interna-

tional Trade Law

United Nations Conference on Trade **UNCTAD**

and Development

Abbreviations

USMCA Agreement between the United States of

America, the United Mexican States, and

Canada

Va J Int'l L Virginia Journal of International Law
Vand J Transnat'l L Vanderbilt Journal of Transnational Law

VCLT Vienna Convention on the Law of

Treaties

WHO World Health Organization
WTO World Trade Organization

YJIL Yale Journal of International Law

Table of Cases	17
Table of Legal Instruments	31
Part 1. Introduction	39
I. Consideration of Host State Circumstances	43
A. Consideration of Host State Circumstances and the Purposes of International Investment Law	43
B. Circumstances Considered by Arbitral Tribunals	46
1. Economic Circumstances	46
2. Political Circumstances	48
3. Social Circumstances and Level of Development	49
II. Suitable Stages in Investor-State Arbitral Proceedings to	
Consider Host State Circumstances	50
A. Jurisdiction	50
B. Circumstances Precluding Wrongfulness	54
1. Force Majeure	54
2. Necessity	56
C. Damages	59
III. Conclusion and Scope	64
Part 2. Fair and Equitable Treatment Standard	66
I. Normative Foundations of the Fair and Equitable Treatment	
Standard	67
A. Ordinary Meaning	68
1. Fairness	69
a) Fairness in International Law	70
b) Application of Franck's Fairness to the Fair and Equitable	
Treatment Standard	71

c) Criticism of <i>Franck's</i> Concept of Fairness	72
d) Interim Result	73
2. Equity	73
a) Possible Approaches to Equity	74
b) Commonalities of the Approaches	75
B. Context	76
1. Immediate Context	77
a) Conventional Fair and Equitable Treatment Clauses	77
b) Modern Fair and Equitable Treatment Clauses	78
c) Fair and Equitable Treatment Clauses with an Explicit	
Reference to Host State Circumstances	80
2. Broader Context	81
3. Extra-Contractual Documents	82
4. Conclusion	83
C. Object and Purpose	84
D. Fair and Equitable Treatment and the International Minimus	m
Standard of Treatment of Aliens	88
1. Substantive Content of the International Minimum Stand	lard
of Treatment of Aliens	88
a) Denial of Justice and Administrative Due Process	90
b) Arbitrariness	91
2. Relevance of Host State Circumstances	92
3. Relationship Between the Fair and Equitable Treatment	
Standard and the International Minimum Standard	94
a) Clauses Limited to the International Minimum Standa	
b) Clauses Referencing International Law	94
c) Unqualified Clauses	96
i. Admissibility of the International Minimum Stand	
as Interpretative Material	98
ii. Weight of the International Minimum Standard in	
the Interpretation of the Fair and Equitable Treatm	
Standard	100
E. Results	103

II.	Arbitral Tribunals' Interpretation of the Fair and Equitable	
	Treatment Standard	105
A.]	Legitimate Expectations	107
	1. The Basis of the Investor's Expectations	109
	a) Specific Host State Conduct	109
	b) Regulatory Framework	110
1	2. The Legitimacy of the Investor's Expectations	113
	a) Circumstances in the Host State	114
	b) Host State's Right to Regulate	117
	c) Investor's Behaviour	121
	3. Conclusion	123
B. 1	Procedural Fairness	124
	Scope of Protection	126
	a) Denial of Access to Courts	127
	b) Undue Delay	128
	c) Inadequate Administration of Justice	128
	d) Excursus: Due Process in Administrative Decision-Making	129
	e) Misapplication of the Law	131
	2. Consideration of Host State Circumstances	132
	3. Conclusion	135
C. 3	Substantive Fairness	135
	1. Arbitrariness	137
	a) Arbitrariness as a Shocking or Surprising Act	138
	b) Arbitrariness as an Imbalance between Means and Ends	140
	i. Legitimate Purpose	141
	ii. Suitability	142
	iii. Necessity	143
	iv. Proportionality stricto sensu	143
	c) Interim Result	144
2	2. Discrimination	146
	a) Similar Cases	148
	b) Different Treatment	149
	c) No Reasonable Justification	149
	d) Interim Result	150
	3. Coercion and Harassment	150
4	4. Bad Faith	152
	5. Conclusion	154

D. Results	154
 The Fair and Equitable Treatment Standard as a Whole a) Relevance of Circumstances in the Discussion of the Fair 	155
and Equitable Treatment Standardb) Importance of the Nature of the Circumstances for	155
Tribunals' Consideration	156
 The Fair and Equitable Treatment Standard's Elements a) Abstract Affirmation to Consider Host States' 	157
Circumstances and Balance Diverging Interests	158
b) Relevance of the Investors' Behaviour	162
Part 3. Full Protection and Security Standard	164
I. Normative Foundations of the Full Protection and Security Standard	165
A. Historical Background	166
Development of a Standard of Protection	166
a) Contractual Protection and Security Clause	167
b) Customary Standard of Minimum Treatment of Aliens	170
2. Interim Result	174
B. Ordinary Meaning	176
C. Context	179
1. Immediate Context	179
a) Conventional Full Protection and Security Clauses	179
b) Modern Full Protection and Security Clauses	180
c) Full Protection and Security Clauses with an Explicit	
Reference to Host State Circumstances	181
2. Broader Context3. Extra-contractual Documents	182
4. Interim Result	183 184
D. Object and Purpose	184
E. Full Protection and Security and the International Minimum Standard of Treatment of Aliens	186
Substantive Content of the International Minimum Standard	
of Treatment of Aliens	186
2 Relevance of Host State Circumstances	187

 3. Relationship between the Contractual and the Customary Fu Protection and Security Standard a) Clauses Equating Full Protection and Security and the International Minimum Standard b) Other Clauses Referencing International Law c) Unqualified Clauses 	188 188 188 189 191
F. Results	193
II. Arbitral Tribunals' Interpretation of the Full Protection an Security Standard	d 195
A. Narrow Understanding: 'Physical' Protection and Security	196
 Duty to Prevent Third Parties from Harming the Investment Scope of Protection Consideration of Host State Circumstances Modified Objective Standard Reasonableness 	196 198 199
 Reasonableness iii. Reasonableness Under the Circumstances Duty to Refrain from Harming the Investment a) Scope of Protection b) Consideration of Host State Circumstances Interim Result 	200 201 203 204 204 207
B. Broad Understanding: 'Legal' Protection and Security 1. Judicial Protection 2. Legal Stability	208 210 212
C. Results	215
 The Full Protection and Security Standard as a Whole a) Importance of the Nature of the Circumstances for 	215
Tribunals' Consideration b) Time of the Decisions 2. Discrepancies Between and Within the Different	215 216
Interpretation of the Full Protection and Security Standard a) Standard of Liability b) Relevance of Host State Circumstances	216 216 217
Part 4. Analysis of the Results	220
I. Comparison of the Results	221
A. Results on the Normative Foundations	221

B. Results on the Tribunals' Interpretations	221
1. Relevance of the Host States' Circumstances	222
2. Relevance of the Investor's Behaviour	222
3. Nature of the Host States' Circumstances Considered	1 222
4. Time of the Decisions	223
II. Possible Explanation for These Results	224
A. Distinction Between the Fair and Equitable Treatment a	and the
Full Protection and Security Standard	224
1. Relationship Between the Fair and Equitable Treatm	ent and
Full Protection and Security Standards	224
2. Distinction of the Two Standards	226
a) Legal Treatment versus Physical Protection	226
b) Negative versus Positive Obligation	227
c) State Measures versus Third Parties' Actions	228
d) Applicability of the Due Diligence Standard	228
B. Consequences for the Consideration of the Circumstance	ces
Prevailing in the Host State	230
III. Theses in Summary	233
Bibliography	235

Table of Cases

Permanent Court of International Justice

Case Concerning Certain German Interests in Polish Upper Silesia (Germany v Poland) PCIJ 1926 Ser A, No 7

Case Concerning the Factory at Chorzów (Germany v Poland) PCIJ 1928 Ser A, No 17 The Mavrommatis Palestine Concessions (Greece v UK) PCIJ 1924 Ser A, No 2

International Court of Justice

Case concerning Elettronica Sicula S.p.A (ELSI) (United States of America v Italy) (Judgment) [1989] ICJ Rep 15

Case concerning the Frontier Dispute (Burkina Faso v Republic of Mali) (Judgment) [1986] ICJ Rep 554

Fisheries Jurisdiction Case (United Kingdom of Great Britain and Northern Ireland v Iceland) (Judgment) [1974] ICJ Rep 3

North Sea Continental Shelf Cases (Federal Republic of Germany v Denmark; Federal Republic of Germany v Netherlands) (Judgment) [1969] ICJ Rep 3

Obligation to Negotiate Access to the Pacific Ocean (Bolivia v Chile) (Judgment) [2018] ICJ Rep 507

Iran – US Claims Tribunal

Khosrowshahi v Islamic Republic of Iran, 30 Iran-U.S. Cl. Trib. Rep. 76 (1994) Phelps Dodge Corp v Islamic Republic of Iran, 10 Iran-U.S. Cl. Trib. Rep. 121 (1986) Thomas Earl Payne v Iran 12 Iran-U.S. Cl. Trib. Rep. 3 (1986)

International Tribunal for the Law of the Sea

Responsibilities and Obligations of States with Respect to Activities in the Area, Advisory Opinion (1 February 2011) ITLOS Reports 2011 10

Claims Commissions

- Case of Ruden & Co (1869) 2 Moore Intl Arbitrations 1653
- Case of Salvador Prats v United States of America (Mexico v United States v) Award XXIX RIAA 187
- Case of the 'Montijo' (United States v Colombia) (1898) 2 Moore Intl Arbitrations 1421
- G L Solis (USA) v United Mexican States (United States v Mexico) Award (3 October 1928) IV RIAA 358
- Gertrude Parker Massey (USA) v United Mexican States (United States v Mexico) Award (15 April 1927) VI RIAA 155
- Home Frontier and Foreign Missionary Society of the United Brethren in Christ (United States) v Great Britain (Great Britain v United States) Award (18 December 1920) VI RIAA 42
- Kummerow, Otto Redler and Co, Fulda, Fischbach, and Friedericy Cases (Germany v Venezuela) Award (1903) X RIAA 369
- LFH Neer and Pauline Neer (USA) v United Mexican States (United States v Mexico) Award (15 October 1926) IV RIAA 60
- Sambiaggio Case (Italy v Venezuela) Award (1903) X RIAA 499
- Thomas H Youmans (USA) v United Mexican States (United States v Mexico) Award (23 November 1926) IV RIAA 110
- William E Chapman (USA) v United Mexican States (USA v Mexico) Award (24 October 1930) IV RIAA 632
- Wipperman's Case (United States v Venezuela) (1898) 3 Moore Intl Arbitrations 3039

International Centre for the Settlement of Investment Disputes¹

- 9REN Holding S.a.r.l v Kingdom of Spain, ICSID Case No ARB/15/15, Award (31 May 2015)
- Adel A Hamadi Al Tamimi v Sultanate of Oman, ICSID Case No ARB/11/33, Award (3 November 2015)
- AES Summit Generation Limited and AES-Tisza Erömü Kft v Republic of Hungary, ICSID Case No ARB/07/22, Award (23 September 2010)
- Alex Genin and others v Republic of Estonia, ICSID Case No ARB/99/2, Award (25 June 2001)
- Alpha Projektholding GmbH v Ukraine, ICSID Case No ARB/07/16, Award (8 November 2010)

18

¹ Unless otherwise indicated, the following materials are available at the Investment Treaty Arbitration Database of the University of Victoria, http://www.italaw.com.

- American Manufacturing & Trading, Inc v Republic of Zaire, ICSID Case No ARB/93/1, Award (21 February 1997)
- Ampal-American Israel Corporation and others v Arab Republic of Egypt, ICSID Case No ARB/12/11, Decision on Liability and Heads of Loss (21 February 2017)
- Anglo American PLC v Bolivarian Republic of Venezuela, ICSID Case No ARB(AF)/14/1, Award (18 January 2019)
- Antin Infrastructure Services Luxembourg Sà.r.l and Antin Energia Termosolar BV v Kingdom of Spain, ICSID Case No ARB/13/31, Award (15 June 2018)
- Apotex Holdings Inc and Apotex Inc v United States of America, ICSID Case No ARB(AF)/12/1, Award (25 August 2014)
- Asian Agricultural Products Ltd v Republic of Sri Lanka, ICSID Case No ARB/87/3, Award (27 June 1990)
- Asian Agricultural Products Ltd v Republic of Sri Lanka, ICSID Case No ARB/87/3, Dissenting Opinion (15 June 1990)
- Azurix Corp v Argentine Republic, ICSID Case No ARB/01/12, Award (14 July 2006)
- Bayindir Insaat Turizm Ticaret Ve Sanayi AS v Islamic Republic of Pakistan, ICSID Case No ARB/03/29, Decision on Jurisdiction (14 November 2005)
- Bayindir Insaat Turizm Ticaret Ve Sanayi AS v Islamic Republic of Pakistan, ICSID Case No ARB/03/29, Award (27 August 2009)
- BayWa r.e Renewable Energy GmbH and BayWa r.e Asset Holding GmbH v Kingdom of Spain, ICSID Case No ARB/15/16, Decision on Jurisdiction, Liability and Directions on Quantum (2 December 2019)
- Belenergia SA v Italian Republic, ICSID Case No ARB/15/40, Award (6 August 2019)
- Bernhard von Pezold and Others v Republic of Zimbabwe, ICSID Case No ARB/10/15, Procedural Order No 9 (15 October 2013)
- Bernhard von Pezold and Others v Republic of Zimbabwe, ICSID Case No ARB/10/15, Award (28 July 2015)
- Biwater Gauff (Tanzania) Ltd v United Republic of Tanzania, ICSID Case No ARB/05/22, Award (24 July 2008)
- Blusun SA, Jean-Pierre Lecorcier and Michael Stein v Italian Republic, ICSID Case No ARB/14/3, Award (27 December 2016)
- Bridgestone Licensing Services, Inc and Bridgestone Americas, Inc v Republic of Panama, ICSID Case No ARB/16/34, Award (14 August 2020)
- Burlington Resources, Inc v Republic of Ecuador, ICSID Case No. ARB/08/5, Decision on Jurisdiction (2 June 2010)
- Cargill, Incorporated v Republic of Poland, ICSID Case No ARB(AF)/04/2, Final Award (29 February 2008)
- Cargill, Incorporated v United Mexican States, ICSID Case No ARB(AF)/05/2, Award (18 September 2009)
- Ceskoslovenska Obchodni Banka, AS v The Slovak Republic, ICSID Case No ARB/97/4, Decision of the Tribunal on Objections to Jurisdiction (24 May 1999)

- CMC Muratori Cementisti CMC Di Ravenna SOC Coop, CMC Muratori Cementisti CMC Di Ravenna SOC Coop ARL Maputo Branch; and CMC Africa Austral, LDA v Republic of Mozambique, ICSID Case No ARB/17/23, Award (24 October 2019)
- CMS Gas Transmission Company v Republic of Argentina, ICSID Case No ARB/01/8, Award (12 May 2005)
- CMS Gas Transmission Company v Republic of Argentina, ICSID Case No ARB/01/8, Decision of the ad hoc Committee on the Application for Annulment of the Argentine Republic (25 September 2007)
- CMS Gas Transmission Company v Republic of Argentina, ICSID Case No ARB/01/8, Decision of the Tribunal on Objections to Jurisdiction (17 July 2003)
- Compañiá de Aguas del Aconquija SA and Vivendi Universal SA v Argentine Republic, ICSID Case No ARB/97/3, Award (20 August 2007)
- Consortium Groupement LESI- DIPENTA v République algérienne démocratique et populaire, ICSID Case No ARB/03/08, Award (10 January 2005)
- Consortium RFCC v Royaume du Maroc, ICSID Case No ARB/00/6, Sentence Arbitrale (22 December 2003)
- Continental Casualty Company v The Argentine Republic, ICSID Case No ARB/03/09, Award (5 September 2008)
- Corona Materials LLC v Dominican Republic, ICSID Case No ARB(AF)/14/3, Award (31 May 2016)
- Crystallex International Corporation v Bolivarian Republic of Venezuela, ICSID Case No ARB(AF)/11/2, Award (4 April 2016)
- Cube Infrastructure Fund SICAV and others v Kingdom of Spain, ICSID Case No ARB/15/20, Decision on Jurisdiction, Liability and Partial Decision on Quantum (19 February 2019)
- Dan Cake SA v Hungary, ICSID Case No ARB/12/9, Decision on Jurisdiction and Liability (14 August 2015)
- Desert Line Projects LLC v Republic of Yemen, ICSID Case No ARB/05/17, Award (6 February 2008)
- Deutsche Bank AG v Democratic Socialist Republic of Sri Lanka, ICSID Case No ARB/09/2, Award (31 October 2012)
- (DS)2, SA, Monsieur Peter de Sutter et Monsieur Kristof de Sutter v Republic of Madagascar, ICSID Case No ARB/17/18, Award (17 April 2020)
- Duke Energy Electroquil Partners and Electroquil SA v Republic of Ecuador, ICSID Case No ARB/04/19, Award (18 August 2008)
- Duke Energy International Peru Investments No 1 Ltd v Republic of Peru, ICSID Case No ARB/03/28, Award (18 August 2008)
- EDF International SA, SAUR International SA and León Participaciones Argentinas SA v Argentine Republic, ICSID Case No ARB/03/23, Award (11 June 2012)
- EDF (Services) Limited v Republic of Romania, ICSID Case No ARB/05/13, Award (8 October 2009)
- Eiser Infrastructure Limited and Energía Solar Luxembourg Sà r.l v Kingdom of Spain, ICSID Case No ARB/13/36, Award (4 May 2017)

- Eiser Infrastructure Limited and Energía Solar Luxembourg Sà r.l v Kingdom of Spain, ICSID Case No ARB/13/36, Decision on the Kingdom of Spain's Application for Annulment (11 June 2020)
- El Paso Energy International Company v Argentine Republic, ICSID Case No ARB/03/15, Award (31 October 2011)
- Electrabel SA v Republic of Hungary, ICSID Case No ARB/07/19, Award (25 November 2015)
- Enron Corporation and Ponderosa Assets, LP v Argentine Republic, ICSID Case No ARB/01/3, Award (22 May 2007)
- Eskosol SpA in Liquidazione v Italian Republic, ICSID Case No ARB/15/50, Award (4 September 2020)
- ESPF Beteiligungs GmbH, ESPF Nr 2 Austria Beteiligungs GmbH, and InfraClass Energie 5 GmbH & Co KG v Italian Republic, ICSID Case No ARB/16/5, Award (14 September 2020)
- Eudoro Armando Olguín v Republic of Paraguay, ICSID Case No ARB/98/5, Award (26 July 2001)
- Flughafen Zürich AG and Gestión e Ingenería IDC SA v Bolivarian Republic of Venezuela, ICSID Case No ARB/10/19, Award (18 November 2014)
- Franck Charles Arif v Republic of Moldova, ICSID Case No ARB/11/23, Award (8 April 2013)
- Fraport AG Frankfurt Airport Services Worldwide v The Republic of the Philippines, ICSID Case No ARB/03/25, Award (16 August 2007)
- GEA Group Aktiengesellschaft v Ukraine, ICSID Case No ARB/08/16 (Award) (31 March 2011)
- Generation Ukraine, Inc v Ukraine ICSID Case No ARB/00/9, Award (16 September 2003)
- Georg Gavrilovic and Gavrilovic d.o.o v Republic of Croatia, ICSID Case No ARB/12/39, Award (26 July 2018)
- Glencore International AG and CI Prodeco SA v Republic of Colombia, ICSID Case No ARB/16/6, Award (27 August 2019)
- Global Telecom Holding SAE v Canada, ICSID Case No ARB/16/16, Award of the Tribunal (27 March 2020)
- Gold Reserve Inc v Bolivarian Republic of Venezuela, ICSID Case No ARB(AF)/09/1, Award (22 September 2014)
- Helnan International Hotels A/S v Arab Republic of Egypt, ICSID Case No ARB/05/19, Decision of the Tribunal on Objection to Jurisdiction (17 October 2006)
- H&H Enterprises Investments, Inc v Arab Republic of Egypt, ICSID Case No ARB 09/15, Award (6 May 2014)
- Hydro Energy 1 Sà r.l and Hydroxana Sweden AB v Kingdom of Spain, ICSID Case No. ARB/15/42, Decision on Jurisdiction, Liability and Directions on Quantum (9 March 2020)
- Iberdrola Energía SA v Republic of Guatemala, ICSID Case No ARB/09/5, Award (17 August 2012)

- Impregilo S.pA v Argentine Republic ICSID Case No ARB/07/17, Award (21 June 2011)
- Infinito Gold Ltd v Republic of Costa Rica, ICSID Case No ARB/14/5, Award (3 June 2021)
- Inmaris Perestroika Sailing Maritime Services GmbH and Others v Ukraine, ICSID Case No ARB/08/8, Award (1 March 2012)
- Ioan Micula, Viorel Micula and others v Romania, ICSID Case No ARB/05/20, Final Award (11 December 2013)
- Jan de Nul NV and Dredging International NV v Arab Republic of Egypt, ICSID Case No ARB/04/13, Decision on Jurisdiction (16 June 2006)
- Jan de Nul NV and Dredging International NV v Arab Republic of Egypt, ICSID Case No ARB/04/13, Award (6 November 2008)
- Joseph Charles Lemire v Ukraine, ICSID Case No ARB/06/18, Award (28 March 2011)
- Joseph Charles Lemire v Ukraine, ICSID Case No ARB/06/18, Decision on Jurisdiction and Liability (14 January 2010)
- Joseph Houben v Republic of Burundi ICSID Case No ARB/13/7, Award (12 January 2016)
- Joshua Dean Nelson and Jorge Blanco v United Mexican States, ICSID Case No UNCT/17/1, Award of the Tribunal (5 June 2020)
- Joy Mining Machinery Limited v Arab Republic of Egypt, ICSID Case No ARB/03/11, Award on Jurisdiction (6 August 2004)
- Koch Minerals Sàrl and Koch Nitrogen International Sàrl v Bolivarian Republic of Venezuela, ICSID Case No ARB/11/19, Award (30 October 2017)
- Krederi Ltd v Ukraine, ICSID Case No ARB/14/17, Award (2 July 2018)
- LG&E Energy Corp, LG&E Capital Corp, and LG&E International, Inc v Argentine Republic, ICSID Case No ARB/02/1, Decision on Liability (3 October 2006)
- LG&E v Argentine Republic ICSID Case No ARB/02/1, Decision on Liability (3 October 2006)
- Lidercón, SL v Republic of Peru, ICSID Case No ARB/17/9, Award (6 March 2020)
- Liman Caspian Oil BV and NCL Dutch Investment BV v Republic of Kazakhstan, ICSID Case No ARB/07/14, Award (22 June 2010)
- Lion Mexico Consolidated LP v United Mexican States, ICSID Case No ARB(AF)/15/2, Award (20 September 2021)
- Loewen Group, Inc and Raymond L Loewen v United States of America, ICSID Case No ARB(AF)/98/3, Award (26 June 2003)
- Mamidoil Jetoil Greek Petroleum Products Societe SA v Republic of Albania, ICSID Case No ARB/11/24, Award (30 March 2015)
- Marfin Investment Group Holdings SA, Alexandros Bakatselos and others v Republic of Cyprus, ICSID Case No ARB/13/27, Award (26 July 2018)
- Marion Unglaube v Republic of Costa Rica, ICSID Case No ARB/08/1, Award (16 May 2012)

- Masdar Solar & Wind Cooperatief UA v Kingdom of Spain, ICSID Case No ARB/14/1, Award (16 May 2018)
- MCI Power Group LC and New Turbine, Inc v Republic of Ecuador, ICSID Case No ARB/03/6, Award (31 July 2007)
- Mercer International Inc v Government of Canada, ICSID Case No ARB(AF)/12/3, Award (6 March 2018)
- Merrill and Ring Forestry LP v Government of Canada, ICSID Case No UNCT/07/1, Award (31 March 2010)
- Metalclad Corporation v United Mexican States, ICSID Case No ARB(AF)/97/1, Award (30 August 2000)
- Metalpar SA and Buen Aire SA v Argentine Republic, ICSID Case No ARB/03/5, Award on the Merits (6 June 2008)
- MNSS BV and Recupero Credito Acciaio NV v Montenegro, ICSID Case No ARB(AF)/12/8, Award (4 May 2016)
- Mobil Exploration and Development Inc Suc Argentina and Mobil Argentina SA v Argentine Republic, ICSID Case No ARB/04/16, Decision on Jurisdiction and Liability (10 April 2013)
- Mobil Investments Canada Inc and Murphy Oil Corporation v Government of Canada, ICSID Case No ARB(AF)/07/4, Decision on Liability and on Principles of Quantum (22 May 2012)
- Mondev International Ltd v United States of America, ICSID Case No ARB/AF/99/2, Award (11 October 2002)
- MTD Equity Sdn Bhd and MTD Chile SA v Republic of Chile, ICSID Case No ARB/01/7, Award (25 May 2004)
- MTD Equity Sdn Bhd and MTD Chile SA v Republic of Chile, ICSID Case No ARB/01/7, Decision on Annulment (21 March 2007)
- Muhammet Çap & Sehil Inşaat Endustri ve Ticaret Ltd Sti v Turkmenistan, ICSID Case No ARB/12/6, Award (4 May 2021)
- NextEra Energy Global Holdings BV and NextEra Energy Spain Holdings BV v Kingdom of Spain, ICSID Case No ARB/14/11, Decision on Jurisdiction, Liability and Quantum Principles (12 March 2019)
- Noble Ventures, Inc v Romania, ICSID Case No ARB/01/11, Award (12 October 2005)
- OI European Group BV v Bolivarian Republic of Venezuela, ICSID Case No ARB/11/25, Award (10 March 2015)
- OKO Pankki Oyj and others v Republic of Estonia, ICSID Case No ARB/04/6, Award (19 November 2007)
- Ortiz Construcciones y Proyectos SA v People's Democratic Republic of Algeria, ICSID Case No ARB/17/1, Award (29 April 2020)
- Pantechniki SA Contractors & Engineers (Greece) v Republic of Albania, ICSID Case No ARB/07/21, Award (30 July 2009)
- Parkerings-Compagniet AS v Republic of Lithuania, ICSID Case No ARB/05/8, Award (11 September 2007)

- Perenco Ecuador Limited v Republic of Ecuador, ICSID Case No ARB/08/6 (Decision on Remaining Issues of Jurisdiction and on Liability) (12 September 2014)
- Philip Morris Brands Sàrl, Philip Morris Products SA and Abal Hermanos SA v Oriental Republic of Uruguay, ICSID Case No ARB/10/7, Award (8 July 2016)
- Phoenix Action, Ltd v The Czech Republic, ICSID Case No ARB/06/5, Award (15 April 2009)
- Plama Consortium Limited v Republic of Bulgaria, ICSID Case No ARB/03/24, Award (27 August 2008)
- PSEG Global Inc and Konya Ilgin Elektrik Üretim ve Ticaret Limited Sireki v Republic of Turkey, ICSID Case No ARB/02/5, Award (19 January 2007)
- Quiborax SA, Non Metallic Minerals SA and Allan Fosk Kaplún v Plurinational State of Bolivia, ICSID Case No ARB/06/2, Decision on Jurisdiction (27 September 2012)
- Renée Rose Levy de Levi v Republic of Peru, ICSID Case No ARB/10/17, Award (26 February 2014)
- Renergy S.à r.l. v. Kingdom of Spain, ICSID Case No. ARB/14/18, Award (6 May 2022)
- Robert Azinian and others v United Mexican States, ICSID Case No ARB (AF)/97/2, Award (1 November 1999)
- RREEF Infrastructure (GP) Limited and RREEF Pan-European Infrastructure Two Lux S.à r.l. v Kingdom of Spain, ICSID Case No ARB/13/30, Decision on Responsibility and on the Principles of Quantum (30 November 2018)
- Rumeli Telekom AS and Telsim Mobil Telekomunikasyon Hizmetleri AS v Republic of Kazakhstan, ICSID Case No ARB/05/16, Award (29 July 2008)
- Rusoro Mining Ltd v Bolivarian Republic of Venezuela, ICSID Case No ARB(AF)/12/5, Award (22 August 2016)
- Saipem S.p.A v The People's Republic of Bangladesh, ICSID Case No ARB/05/07, Decision on Jurisdiction and Recommendation on Provisional Measures (21 March 2007)
- Salini Costruttori S.p.A and Italstrade S.pA v Kingdom of Morocco, ICSID Case No ARB/00/4, Decision on Jurisdiction (31 July 2001)
- SAUR International SA v Republic of Argentina, ICSID Case No ARB/04/4, Decision on Jurisdiction and Liability (6 June 2012)
- Sempra Energy International v Argentine Republic, ICSID Case No ARB/02/16, Award (28 September 2007)
- Sempra Energy International v Argentine Republic, ICSID Case No ARB/02/16, Decision on the Argentine Republic's Application for Annulment of the Award (29 June 2010)
- SGS Société Générale de Surveillance SA v Republic of the Philippines, ICSID Case No ARB/02/6, Decision of the Tribunal on Objections to Jurisdiction (29 January 2004)
- SolEs Badajoz GmbH v Kingdom of Spain, ICSID Case No ARB/15/38, Award (31 July 2019)

- Siemens AG v Argentine Republic, ICSID Case No ARB/02/8, Award (6 February 2007)
- Silver Ridge Power BV v Italian Republic, ICSID Case No ARB/15/37, Award (26 February 2021)
- Spyridon Roussalis v Romania, ICSID Case No ARB/06/1, Award (7 December 2001)
- Stadtwerke München GmbH, RWE Innogy GmbH, and others v Kingdom of Spain, ICSID Case No ARB/15/1, Award (2 December 2019)
- Staur Eiendom AS, EBO Invest AS and Rox Holding AS v Republic of Latvia, ICSID Case No ARB/16/38, Award (28 February 2020)
- Strabag SE v Libya, ICSID Case No ARB(AF)/15/1, Award (29 June 2020)
- Suez, Sociedad General de Aguas de Barcelona SA, and InterAguas Servicios Integrales del Agua SA v Argentine Republic, ICSID Case No ARB/03/17, Decision on Liability (30 July 2010)
- Suez, Sociedad General de Aguas de Barcelona SA, and InterAguas Servicios Integrales del Agua SA v Argentine Republic, ICSID Case No ARB/03/17, Separate Opinion of Aribtrator Pedro Nikken (30 July 2010)
- Técnicas Medioambientales Tecmed, SA v United Mexican States, ICSID Case No ARB (AF)/00/2, Award (29 May 2003)
- Teinver SA, Transportes de Cercanías SA and Autobuses Urbanos del Sur SA v Argentine Republic, ICSID Case No ARB/09/01, Award (21 July 2017)
- Telefónica SA v The Argentine Republic, ICSID Case No ARB/03/20, Decision of the Tribunal on Objections to Jurisdiction (25 May 2006)
- Tethyan Copper Company Pty Limited v Islamic Republic of Pakistan, ICSID Case No ARB/12/1, Decision on Jurisdiction and Liability (10 November 2017)
- The Rompetrol Group NV v Romania, ICSID Case No ARB/06/3, Award (6 May 2013)
- Tokios Tokelés v Ukraine, ICSID Case No ARB/02/18, Award (26 July 2007)
- Total SA v Argentine Republic, ICSID Case No ARB/04/1, Decision on Liability (27 December 2010)
- Toto Costruzioni Generali S.p.A v The Republic of Lebanon, ICSID Case No ARB/07/12, Decision on Jurisdiction (11 September 2009)
- Toto Costruzioni Generali S.p.A v The Republic of Lebanon, ICSID Case No ARB/07/12, Award (7 June 2013)
- Tulip Real Estate and Development Netherlands BV v Republic of Turkey, ICSID Case No ARB/11/28, Award (10 March 2014)
- UAB E energija (Lithuania) v Republic of Latvia, ICSID Case No ARB/12/33, Award (22 December 2017)
- Unión Fenosa Gas, SA v Arab Republic of Egypt, ICSID Case No ARB/14/4, Award of the Tribunal (31 August 2018)
- United Utilities (Tallinn) BV and Aktsiaselts Tallinna Vesi v Republic of Estonia, ICSID Case No ARB/14/24, Award of the Tribunal (21 June 2019)
- Urbaser SA and Consorcio de Aguas Bilbao Bizkaia, Bilbao Biskaia Ur Partzuergoa v The Argentine Republic, ICSID Case No ARB/07/26, Award (8 September 2016)