

Max-Planck-Institut für
ausländisches öffentliches Recht und Völkerrecht

Beiträge zum ausländischen öffentlichen Recht und Völkerrecht 228

Matthias Ruffert · Sebastian Steinecke

The Global Administrative Law of Science

Max-Planck-Institut für ausländisches
öffentliches Recht und Völkerrecht



Beiträge zum ausländischen
öffentlichen Recht und Völkerrecht

Begründet von Viktor Bruns

Herausgegeben von
Armin von Bogdandy · Rüdiger Wolfrum

Band 228

Matthias Ruffert · Sebastian Steinecke

The Global Administrative Law of Science

in co-operation with Jana Mühlisch



Springer

the language of science

ISSN 0172-4770
ISBN 978-3-642-21358-8 e-ISBN 978-3-642-21359-5
DOI 10.1007/978-3-642-21359-5
Springer Heidelberg Dordrecht London New York

Library of Congress Control Number: 2011931675

Die Deutsche Nationalbibliothek verzeichnet diese Publikation in der Deutschen Nationalbibliografie; detaillierte bibliografische Daten sind im Internet über <http://dnb.d-nb.de> abrufbar.

© by Max-Planck-Gesellschaft zur Förderung der Wissenschaften e.V., to be exercised by Max-Planck-Institut für ausländisches öffentliches Recht und Völkerrecht, Heidelberg 2011

Dieses Werk ist urheberrechtlich geschützt. Die dadurch begründeten Rechte, insbesondere die der Übersetzung, des Nachdrucks, des Vortrags, der Entnahme von Abbildungen und Tabellen, der Funksendung, der Mikroverfilmung oder der Vervielfältigung auf anderen Wegen und der Speicherung in Datenverarbeitungsanlagen, bleiben, auch bei nur auszugsweiser Verwertung, vorbehalten. Eine Vervielfältigung dieses Werkes oder von Teilen dieses Werkes ist auch im Einzelfall nur in den Grenzen der gesetzlichen Bestimmungen des Urheberrechtsgesetzes der Bundesrepublik Deutschland vom 9. September 1965 in der jeweils geltenden Fassung zulässig. Sie ist grundsätzlich vergütungspflichtig. Zuwiderhandlungen unterliegen den Strafbestimmungen des Urheberrechtsgesetzes.

Die Wiedergabe von Gebrauchsnamen, Handelsnamen, Warenbezeichnungen usw. in diesem Werk berechtigt auch ohne besondere Kennzeichnung nicht zu der Annahme, dass solche Namen im Sinne der Warenzeichen- und Markenschutz-Gesetzgebung als frei zu betrachten wären und daher von jedermann benutzt werden dürften.

Einbandentwurf: WMXDesign GmbH, Heidelberg

Gedruckt auf säurefreiem Papier

Springer ist Teil der Fachverlagsgruppe Springer Science+Business Media (www.springer.com)

Table of Contents

Introduction: Science as a Field of Research for International Law	1
A. The Concept of Science	5
I. Preliminaries	5
II. Science in Context	6
1. Thought, Philosophy, Method	6
2. Technology	10
3. Scholarship?	11
III. Scientific Revolutions and the Scientific Community	12
IV. Science and the Law	13
V. A Tentative Definition	14
B. Global Administrative Law	15
I. An Emerging Concept for the Legal Analysis of the Governance of Science	15
II. Conceptualisation	17
1. Public International Law and Global Administrative Law	17
2. Terminology: International, Transnational, Global	18
3. Global Administrative Law and Global Governance	22
4. Global Administrative Law and Global (Multilevel) Constitutionalism	24
III. Conclusion	27
C. Constitutional Basis: The Freedom of Science	29
I. A Fundamental Right as a Constitutional Basis	29
II. Freedom of Science in International Law	30
1. Universal Human Rights Instruments	30
2. Regional Human Rights Treaties	33
3. Further Binding Instruments	35
4. Instruments of International Organisations	39
5. Instruments of Non-Governmental Organisations	41

6. Contents and Effective Potential of the International Standard	41
III. Freedom of Science in National Constitutions	42
1. Methodological Remarks	42
2. Constitutional Provisions.....	43
a) Categorisation.....	43
b) Overall Guarantee	43
c) Academic Freedom and the Freedom of Speech.....	48
d) Absence of Constitutional Protection	51
3. Common Constitutional Elements	52
IV. A Constitutional Point of Orientation	52
D. Institutional Design	55
I. Global Administrative Law and Institutional Thinking	55
II. States	55
III. International Organisations.....	58
1. Universal Organisations and their Activity in Science	58
a) Basic Notions.....	58
b) UNESCO	59
c) Other Organisations within the Framework of the UN	61
aa) International Atomic Energy Agency (IAEA).....	61
bb) Commission on Sustainable Development (CSD)	62
cc) Food and Agricultural Organisation (FAO)	63
d) Organisation for Economic Co-Operation and Development (OECD)	63
2. Supranational Institutions of Research: The European Union	65
a) The EU as a Supranational Organisation in the International Field of Research	65
b) The European Research Area	67
c) The Institutional Framework	68
aa) The Commission.....	68
bb) Organised Co-operation between Member States	70
cc) Special Agencies	71
(1) European Research Council (ERC).....	71
(2) European Institute of Innovation and Technology (EIT).....	73
(3) European University Institute (EUI)	75
dd) Institutional Structures of Governance outside the Treaties.....	75

IV. Networks.....	77
1. Networks of Universities.....	77
a) University Co-Operation.....	77
b) The United Nations University.....	79
2. Networks of Research Institutions other than Universities.....	80
a) Institutions Involved.....	80
b) The International Council for Science (ICSU).....	80
aa) Creation and Membership.....	80
bb) Activities.....	81
cc) Structure.....	84
dd) ICSU, InterAcademy Panel on International Issues (IAP) International Academy Council (IAC).....	84
ee) InterAcademy Medical Panel (IAMP).....	84
3. Professional Bodies.....	85
a) International Council of Academies of Engineering and Technological Sciences (CAETS).....	85
b) World Medical Association (WMA).....	86
V. Other Non-State Actors.....	86
VI. Results.....	87
E. Governance Mechanisms.....	89
I. Rulemaking, Implementation and Management.....	89
II. Governance Purposes in the Global Administrative Law of Science.....	91
1. Ethical Standards for Research and Their Implementation I: Sound Scientific Practice.....	91
2. Ethical Standards for Research II: Bioethics.....	94
3. The Promotion of Research and the Position of the Researcher.....	101
III. Rulemaking and Standard-Setting.....	101
1. Consensual Rulemaking.....	101
2. Institutional Rulemaking.....	103
a) Rulemaking by International Organisations.....	103
b) Supranational Rulemaking.....	105
c) Private Transnational Rulemaking.....	107
IV. Implementation and Management.....	108
1. Implementation of Rules.....	108
2. Reporting and Benchmarking.....	108
3. Management by Contract.....	111

F. The Global Administrative Law of Science Revisited 113

 I. The Global Governance of Science and Global
 Administrative Law 113

 II. From Sources to Rules and Standards..... 113

 III. From Effective Governance to Legitimate Administration 115

 IV. Conclusive Remarks..... 117

Literature 119

Acknowledgements

This book is the result of a research project funded by the German Research Foundation (Deutsche Forschungsgemeinschaft, DFG), which also supported its publication. We wish to express our gratitude towards the DFG for this assistance. Further, we wish to thank the student assistants subsequently active in the project: *Maria Busse, Carolin Damm, Katja Frey, Ulrike Pollin, Luise Schöne, Michael Sellner, Eric Urzowski*. Special thanks go to *Katrin Rentzsch* who contributed to Part D. IV. and considerably supported the proofreading and, of course, to *Susanne Prater* (secretary) who was in charge of the final editorial work.

“Le savant a une patrie, la science n’en a pas.”

*Louis Pasteur*¹

Introduction: Science as a Field of Research for International Law

Astonishment could not have been greater particularly outside the scientific world, when in two articles in the journal “Science” of 2004 and 2005, the South Korean veterinarian (!) researcher *Hwang Woo-Suk* reported to have succeeded in cloning human embryonic stem cells². Should a long race in biotechnology devouring massive resources have finally found a “winner”? Should there be a biotechnological solution to end such plagues heavily burdening mankind such as cancer and aids or such afflictions as Parkinson’s and Alzheimer’s disease, stroke, arthritis, diabetes, burns, and spinal cord damage³, should human organs be replaceable – but also: should this, above all, be a further step in man becoming the creator of himself? Astonishment turned into shocked disgust when in 2006 *Hwang Woo-Suk*’s “research” was revealed to be the result of fabricated experiments. The scientific publications had to be revoked, *Hwang Woo-Suk* lost his post as a university professor and had to face criminal proceedings, resulting in him being found guilty of embezzlement of enormous sums of money and sentenced to two years

¹ Louis Pasteur, *Inauguration de l’Institut Pasteur, Annales de l’Institut Pasteur*, 1888, pp. 29 *et seq.* – quoted from Robert Merton, *Social theory and social structure*, 1968, p. 608.

² Hwang Woo-Suk et al., “Evidence of a Pluripotent Human Embryonic Stem Cell Line Derived from a Cloned Blastocyst”, *Science* 303 (2004), pp. 1669-1674; Hwang Woo-Suk et al., “Patient-Specific Embryonic Stem Cells Derived from Human SCNT Blastocysts”, *Science* 308 (2005), pp. 1777-1783. Editorial retraction of these papers: *Science* 311 (2006), p. 335.

³ James A. Thomson et al., “Embryonic Stem Cell Lines Derived from Human Blastocysts”, *Science* 282 (1998), pp. 1145-1147 at pp. 1146 *et seq.*; Constance Holden and Gretchen Vogel, “Cell Biology: A Seismic Shift for Stem Cell Research”, *Science* 319 (2008), pp. 560-563 at pp. 560 *et seq.*

suspended imprisonment by the Seoul Central District Court in October 2009⁴.

We live in a world of science. Scientific progress, the knowledge-based society⁵, economic performance driven by innovations and ethical boundaries to research are only a few widespread keywords underlining this platitude. It goes without saying that the world of science is a borderless world – la science n’a pas de patrie. Therefore, the scandalous case of *Hwang Woo-Suk* remarkably illustrates the legal dimension of a borderless world of science⁶. As noted above, the perpetrator of fraudu-

⁴ Cf. Péter Kakuk, “The Legacy of the Hwang Case: Research Misconduct in Biosciences”, *Science and Engineering Ethics* 15 (2009), pp. 545-562 at p. 546; David Cyranoski, “Woo Suk Hwang convicted, but not of fraud”, *Nature* 461 (27 October 2009), p. 1181; *Zeit-Online* 26 October 2009 “Genetiker Hwang Woo Suk verurteilt”.

⁵ The notion of the “knowledge society” was first used in the late 1960s in the works of Robert Lane, Peter Drucker and Daniel Bell: Robert Lane, “The decline of politics and ideology in a knowledgeable society”, *American sociological review* 31 (1966), pp. 649-662; Peter Drucker, *The age of discontinuity: guidelines to our changing society*, 1969; Daniel Bell, *The coming of post-industrial society: A venture in social forecasting*, 1973) and taken up by Nico Stehr in the early 1990s: Nico Stehr, “Modern societies as knowledge societies”, in: George Ritzer and Barry Smart (eds.), *Handbook of social theory*, 2001, pp. 494-508). For the later development cf. Deutscher Bundestag (ed.), *Schlussbericht der Enquete-Kommission Globalisierung der Weltwirtschaft – Herausforderungen und Antworten*, Drucksache 14/9200, 2002; Martin Heidenreich, “Die Debatte um die Wissensgesellschaft”, in: Stefan Bösch and Ingo Schulz-Schaeffer (eds.), *Wissenschaft in der Wissensgesellschaft*, 2003, pp. 25-51; Nico Stehr, *Wissen und Wirtschaften. Die gesellschaftlichen Grundlagen der modernen Ökonomie*, 2001; Manuel Castells, *The Rise of the Network Society. The Information Age: Economic Society and Culture*, Vol. 1, 1996, Joachim Bischoff, *Mythen der New Economy. Zur politischen Ökonomie der Wissensgesellschaft*, 2001; Helga Nowotny/Peter Scott/Michael Gibbons, *Re-Thinking Science: Knowledge and the Public in an Age of Uncertainty*, 2001. Further Helmut Willke, *Dystopia*, 2002; Rolf Kreibich, *Die Wissenschaftsgesellschaft*, 2nd ed. 1986.

⁶ On the internationalisation of science in general see Wissenschaftsrat, *Empfehlungen zur deutschen Wissenschaftspolitik im Europäischen Forschungsraum*, 2010 (Drucksache 9866-10), at pp. 18 *et seq.* Cf. for an earlier assessment Vittorio Ancarani, “Globalizing the World – Science and Technology in International Relations”, in: Sheila Jasanoff/Gerald E. Markle/James C. Petersen/Trevor Pinch (eds.), *Handbook of Science and Technology Studies*, 2005, pp. 652-670.

lent experiments had to face the consequences of his actions in a South-Korean court⁷. But what if the domestic authorities had refrained from dismissing and prosecuting him (after all, government appears to have been involved considerably, although of course not in fabrication and embezzlement, but in funding the “research”⁸) or had been unable to do so (e.g. if all this had taken place in a legally less developed State)? Should unlawful – and even criminal – activities affecting the entire scientific world not be legally reflected also at global level? Would it not be the logical consequence to have such situations governed by international legal standards – and if so, who should formulate and implement them? Moreover: What about the numerous ethical issues and their repercussions in the legal field? Suppose *Hwang Woo-Suk* would really have succeeded in cloning human embryonic stem cells. As is well known, whereas such activity may be legal (and considered to be ethically sound) in that particular Asian country, the legal and ethical situation in other jurisdictions and cultural contexts is an entirely different one. Additionally, during and around the great scandal, the same “scientist” was involved in other ethically doubtful activity, viz. the payment of women donating ova for scientific (?) purposes⁹. Are all these issues outside the scope of action of the international community – are they beyond the reach of international law?

They are not. A closer look reveals the existence of a plethora of international institutions, legal rules and principles, of global norms for the purpose of the international governance of science and of administrative mechanisms to ensure the sound management of science-related problems. We shall discover that neither ethical issues of research, nor the affection of other rights, values and interests by scientific activity, nor the issues related to research funding are ignored by international institutions, international legal norms and global administrative mechanisms. It is these institutions, legal norms and administrative mechanisms we

⁷ David Cyranoski (supra note 4); Park Si-soo, “Hwang Convicted of Embezzlement, Cleared of Fraud”, published online 26 October 2006, *The Korea Times*, available at http://www.koreatimes.co.kr/www/news/nation/2009/10/117_54275.html.

⁸ Péter Kakuk, pp. 553 *et seq.* and 561 (supra note 4).

⁹ Cf. Robert Steinbrook, “Egg Donation and Human Embryonic Stem-Cell Research”, *The New England Journal of Medicine* 354 (4), 26 January 2006, pp. 324-326; Péter Kakuk, p. 547 (supra note 4).

analysed¹⁰ in a research project funded by the German Research Foundation (Deutsche Forschungsgemeinschaft)¹¹. This book's purpose is to present the jurisprudential results of the project. Its socio-scientific outcomes have been published separately in German in Sebastian Stein-ecke's *Zur internationalen Governance der Wissenschaft: Die Regulierung der Wissenschaftsfreiheit zwischen Selbstregelung und hoheitlichem Zugriff – gleichzeitig ein Beitrag zum Wandel von Staatlichkeit*¹². Empirical results are collected in a free database available at http://www.rewi.uni-jena.de/Fakult_auml_t/Professoren+_amp_+Dozenten/Universit_auml_tsprofessoren/Prof_+Dr_+Matthias+Ruffert/Forschung/Forschungsprofil/Globalisierung+und+Global+Governance/Elemente+eines+transnationalen+Wissenschaftsrechts/Database.html.

The present study is composed of five parts. Firstly, we will give a precise account of the exact field of international legal regulation under scrutiny, which requires substantial effort (below A.). Secondly, we will seize the development of global administrative law and methodologically develop that there is a particular administrative legal field of science (below B.). Thirdly, we will identify freedom of science as the constitutional core of that legal field (below C.). Subsequently, we will comprehensively analyse actors and institutions (below D. and E.). Finally, elements of a global administrative law of science will be summed up and revisited (below F.).

¹⁰ Together with Katrin Rentzsch and supported by the student assistants mentioned above.

¹¹ *Elemente eines transnationalen Wissenschaftsrechts* (<http://gepris.dfg.de/gepris/OCTOPUS/?jsessionid=438B25FE656741D8B11447CB25A494A4?module=gepris&task=showDetail&context=projekt&id=33485187&selectedSubTab=1>).

¹² München, Herbert Utz Verlag, 2011.