

Human Well-Being Research and Policy Making

Series Editors: Richard J. Estes · M. Joseph Sirgy

Mariano Rojas

Well-Being in Latin America

Drivers and Policies



Springer

Human Well-Being Research and Policy Making

Series Editors

Richard J. Estes, School of Social Policy & Practice, University of Pennsylvania, Philadelphia, PA, USA

M. Joseph Sirgy, Department of Marketing, Virginia Polytechnic Institute & State University, Blacksburg, VA, USA

This series creates a dialogue between well-being scholars and well-being public policy makers.

Well-being theory, research and practice are essentially interdisciplinary in nature and embrace contributions from all disciplines within the social sciences. With the exception of leading economists, the policy relevant contributions of social scientists are widely scattered and lack the coherence and integration needed to more effectively inform the actions of policy makers.

Contributions in the series focus on one more of the following four aspects of well-being and public policy:

- Discussions of the public policy and well-being focused on particular nations and worldwide regions
- Discussions of the public policy and well-being in specialized sectors of policy making such as health, education, work, social welfare, housing, transportation, use of leisure time
- Discussions of public policy and well-being associated with particular population groups such as women, children and youth, the aged, persons with disabilities and vulnerable populations
- Special topics in well-being and public policy such as technology and well-being, terrorism and well-being, infrastructure and well-being.

More information about this series at <http://www.springer.com/series/15692>

Mariano Rojas

Well-Being in Latin America

Drivers and Policies



Springer

Mariano Rojas
Universidad Internacional
de La Rioja (UNIR)
Logroño, Spain

Universidad Popular Autónoma
del Estado de Puebla (UPAEP)
Puebla, Mexico

ISSN 2522-5367 ISSN 2522-5375 (electronic)
Human Well-Being Research and Policy Making
ISBN 978-3-030-33497-0 ISBN 978-3-030-33498-7 (eBook)
<https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-030-33498-7>

© Springer Nature Switzerland AG 2020

This work is subject to copyright. All rights are reserved by the Publisher, whether the whole or part of the material is concerned, specifically the rights of translation, reprinting, reuse of illustrations, recitation, broadcasting, reproduction on microfilms or in any other physical way, and transmission or information storage and retrieval, electronic adaptation, computer software, or by similar or dissimilar methodology now known or hereafter developed.

The use of general descriptive names, registered names, trademarks, service marks, etc. in this publication does not imply, even in the absence of a specific statement, that such names are exempt from the relevant protective laws and regulations and therefore free for general use.

The publisher, the authors and the editors are safe to assume that the advice and information in this book are believed to be true and accurate at the date of publication. Neither the publisher nor the authors or the editors give a warranty, expressed or implied, with respect to the material contained herein or for any errors or omissions that may have been made. The publisher remains neutral with regard to jurisdictional claims in published maps and institutional affiliations.

This Springer imprint is published by the registered company Springer Nature Switzerland AG
The registered company address is: Gewerbestrasse 11, 6330 Cham, Switzerland

With the aspiration for public policy and the social organization to foster the conditions for people to lead a life they are satisfied with.

Contents

1	Latin America and Well-Being	1
1.1	High Well-Being in Latin America	1
1.2	Relevance of Latin American Well-Being Research	2
1.3	The Latin American Region	4
1.3.1	Latin America: Not Just a Geographical Region	4
1.3.2	The Latin American Region	4
1.3.3	The Latin American Culture	5
1.4	The Structure of the Book	7
	References	8
2	Conceptual Framework of the Book	11
2.1	Well-Being as the Experience of Being Well	11
2.2	Information on Well-Being from People's Report	13
2.3	On Drivers and Policies	14
2.4	Actors for Well-Being	14
2.5	The General Message of the Book	15
	Reference	17
3	Well-Being and Its Conceptualization	19
3.1	Well-Being as the Experience of Being Well	20
3.1.1	Essential Experiences of Being Well: Human Condition	20
3.1.2	Evaluative Experiences, Failure and Achievement	20
3.1.3	Affective Experiences, Joy and Suffering	21
3.1.4	Sensory Experiences, Pleasure and Pain	22
3.1.5	Flow Experiences	22
3.1.6	Essential Experiences Overlap and Are Intertwined	22
3.2	The Overall Well-Being Synthesis	23
3.2.1	Domains of Life and Life Satisfaction	24
3.2.2	Well-Being and Human Behavior	24

3.2.3	Do not Confuse Drivers of Well-Being with the Experience of Being Well	25
3.3	On the Measurement of Well-Being: Life-Satisfaction Conception	26
	References	27
4	The Well-Being Situation in Latin America	31
4.1	Well-Being Overview	31
4.1.1	Well-Being Information	31
4.1.2	Different Sources of Information	32
4.2	Well-Being: Latin America and the World	34
4.2.1	High Life Satisfaction in Latin America	34
4.2.2	Essential Experiences of Being Well in Latin America and the Rest of the World	36
4.3	Well-Being in Latin America	38
4.3.1	Life Satisfaction	38
4.3.2	Essential Experiences of Being Well. Life Evaluation	41
4.3.3	Essential Experiences of Being Well. Affective Experiences	43
4.3.4	Life Satisfaction, Life Evaluation and Affective State	45
4.4	Satisfaction in Domains of Life	46
4.5	Well-Being and Socio-demographic Groups	49
4.5.1	Gender	49
4.5.2	Age Groups	49
4.5.3	Indigenous Groups	52
4.6	Final Considerations	54
	References	55
5	Income and Well-Being in Latin America	57
5.1	A Mid-Income Region	57
5.2	Income and Well-Being: Research Findings	59
5.2.1	Social Comparisons and the Status-Race Treadmill	59
5.2.2	Increasing Aspirations	60
5.2.3	Consumption and Habituation	60
5.2.4	Economic Growth May Weaken Other Well-Being Drivers	61
5.3	Income and Well-Being in Latin America	61
5.3.1	Which Income Proxy Should Be Used?	61
5.3.2	The Importance of Relative Income in Latin America	63

5.4	Gross Domestic Product and Life Satisfaction	64
5.5	Economic Growth and Well-Being	65
5.6	General Policy Considerations	66
	References	69
6	Income Inequality and Well-Being	71
6.1	High Income Inequality in Latin America	71
6.2	Income Inequality and Well-Being	73
6.3	Income Inequality and Well-Being in Latin America	74
6.3.1	Well-Being by Income Quintiles	74
6.3.2	The Atypical Venezuelan Case	77
6.3.3	Sense of Unfairness in the Distribution of Income and Well-Being	78
6.4	Egalitarianism in the Distribution of Other Well-Being Drivers	79
6.5	General Policy Considerations	81
	References	82
7	Income Poverty and Well-Being	83
7.1	Income Poverty in Latin America	84
7.2	Poverty and Well-Being	86
7.2.1	Income Poverty and Well-Being	86
7.2.2	The Abatement of Income Poverty: Conditional Cash Transfer Programs	88
7.3	Poverty and Well-Being in Latin America	89
7.3.1	Well-Being by Poverty Classification	89
7.3.2	Domains-of-Life Satisfaction by Poverty Classification	90
7.3.3	Dissonances Between Poverty Classification and People's Well-Being	91
7.3.4	Paths in Getting People Out of Poverty	95
7.3.5	Further Problems with an Absolute-Income Conception of Poverty	96
7.4	Well-Being Lessons for Poverty-Abatement Programs	97
7.5	General Policy Considerations	99
	References	100
8	Relational Wealth: Quantity and Quality of Interpersonal Relations	103
8.1	Traditional Concerns in the Human Relations Literature	104
8.1.1	Social Support	104
8.1.2	Trust	104

8.2	Relational Wealth in Latin America	106
8.2.1	On the Relational-Wealth Concept.	106
8.2.2	High-Quality Interpersonal Relations in the Latin American Nuclear Family	107
8.2.3	Abundance of High-Quality Relations in the Latin American Nuclear Family	109
8.2.4	Relational Wealth and Essential Experiences of Being Well	110
8.2.5	Relational Wealth Is not Correlated with Material Wealth	111
8.3	Relational Wealth Extends to Other Relational Spheres	111
8.3.1	Relational Wealth in the Extended Family	112
8.3.2	Relational Wealth in Other Relations: Friends, Coworkers and Neighbors	113
8.4	Relational Poverty and Well-Being in Latin America	114
8.4.1	Relational Poverty Concept	114
8.4.2	Relational Poverty Is Low in Latin America	114
8.4.3	Relational Poverty Is not Correlated to Income Poverty	115
8.4.4	Relational Poverty and Well-Being in Latin America	115
8.4.5	A Closer Look at Poverty and the Affective State	116
8.5	The Importance of Person-Based Interpersonal Relations	118
8.6	General Policy Considerations	120
	Appendix	121
	References	124
9	Education and Well-Being	125
9.1	Education in Latin America	126
9.1.1	Good Literacy Rates	126
9.1.2	Deficiencies in Enrollment Rates	127
9.2	Human Capital Theory. Education as Investment	129
9.3	Education and Income in Latin America	130
9.3.1	The Contribution of Education to Income	130
9.3.2	Relative Effects in the Relationship Between Education and Income	132
9.4	Education and Well-Being	134
9.5	General Policy Considerations	137
	References	138

10	Crime, Violence and Well-Being	141
10.1	High Violence in Many Latin American Countries	141
10.1.1	Homicide Rates	141
10.1.2	Many Causes of Violence	143
10.1.3	Economic Impact of Crime	145
10.2	Crime and Well-Being	146
10.2.1	Victimization in Latin America	146
10.2.2	Fear of Crime in Latin America	147
10.3	Aggression and Well-Being	149
10.4	General Policy Considerations	151
	References	152
11	Corruption and Weak Institutions	155
11.1	Corruption in Latin America	156
11.2	Perception of Corruption and Well-Being in Latin American	159
11.3	The Complex Role of Bribes	160
11.3.1	Bribes Are Common in Latin America	160
11.3.2	Paying Bribes and Life Satisfaction	162
11.4	General Policy Considerations	163
	References	164
12	New Development Strategy: Development as Well-Being	165
12.1	The Paradigm of Development as Economic Growth	166
12.1.1	Economic Growth and the Development of Societies	166
12.1.2	Notions Associated with the Paradigm of Development as Economic Growth	167
12.1.3	Income also Plays a Central Role in Related Conceptions of Development	169
12.1.4	Doubts About Economic Growth as an Indicator of Development	169
12.2	Well-Being: A New Development Discourse	171
12.2.1	Paradigm Shift	171
12.2.2	From Physical Capital to the Livability of the Surroundings	172
12.2.3	From Natural Capital to Our Home	173
12.2.4	From Human Capital to Knowledge and Skills for a Satisfactory Life	173
12.2.5	From Social Capital to Human Relations	174
12.2.6	Leisure Is Productive	175
12.2.7	Job Satisfaction and Gratifying Work	175
12.2.8	Consumption Must Go Back to 'The Back Seat'	176

13	Well-Being: General Policy Considerations	179
13.1	Distinguish Between Intermediate Objectives and Final Goals in Public Policy	179
13.2	Expanding the Scope of Instruments. Complexity and Opportunity	180
13.3	Greater Efficiency in the Use of Scarce Resources	182
13.4	Construction of a System of National Well-Being Accounts	183

List of Figures

Fig. 4.1	Life satisfaction in Latin American countries in 2005 and 2017. Percentage of population who are very satisfied or fairly satisfied with their life. Latinobarometer 2005 and 2017	40
Fig. 4.2	Life-evaluation trends in some Latin American countries, 2006–2016. Gallup World Poll, 2006–2016 years	42
Fig. 4.3	Positive-affect trends in some Latin American countries, 2006–2016. Gallup World Poll, 2006–2016 waves	44
Fig. 4.4	Negative-affect trends in some Latin American countries, 2006–2016. Gallup World Poll, 2006–2016 waves	44
Fig. 4.5	Correlation coefficients for life satisfaction and essential experiences of being well; Latin Americans and the world. LS-LE (Life Satisfaction-Life Evaluation), LS-PA (Life Satisfaction-Positive Affect), LS-NA (Life Satisfaction-Negative Affect), LE-PA (Life Evaluation-Positive Affect), LE-NA (Life Evaluation-Negative Affect). <i>Source</i> Gallup World Polls 2006–2016; the life satisfaction correlations are computed on the basis of information from 2007	46
Fig. 4.6	Satisfaction with life and in domains of life, mean values for some Latin American countries (Colombia, Costa Rica and Mexico) and for the white population of the United States (USA). Categorical scale from extremely unsatisfied (1) to extremely satisfied (7), treated as cardinal. <i>Source</i> Understanding Happiness in Latin America database, 2018	47
Fig. 4.7	Impact of satisfaction in domains of life on life satisfaction; estimated coefficients from linear regression analyses. Latin American countries: Colombia, Costa Rica and Mexico; and the white population of the United States (USA) categorical scale from extremely unsatisfied (1) to extremely satisfied (7), treated as cardinal. <i>Source</i> Understanding Happiness in Latin America database, 2018	48

Fig. 4.8	Life satisfaction by gender and country. Life satisfaction: average of country means 2007. Gallup World Poll wave 2. . . .	49
Fig. 4.9	Life satisfaction and life evaluation in Latin America, by gender. Life satisfaction: average of country means 2007. Life evaluation: average of country means 2006–2016. Gallup World Poll 2006 to 2016	50
Fig. 4.10	Life satisfaction and life evaluation in Latin America, by gender. Average of country means 2006–2016. Gallup World Poll 2006 to 2016.	50
Fig. 4.11	Life satisfaction by age group and country, 2007, average values. Gallup World Poll wave 2. Young: 30 years old or less; adult: between 31 and 60 years old; elder: more than 60 years old	51
Fig. 4.12	Life satisfaction and life evaluation by age group and country, average values 2006–2016. Gallup World Poll 2006 to 2016. Young: 30 years old or less; adult: between 31 and 60 years old; elder: more than 60 years old	51
Fig. 4.13	Positive and negative affect by age group and country, average values 2006–2016. Gallup World Poll 2006 to 2016. Young: 30 years old or less; adult: between 31 and 60 years old; elder: more than 60 years old.	52
Fig. 4.14	Well-being of indigenous people, compared to non-indigenous people. Mexico 2014. Life satisfaction, positive affect and negative affect in a 0 to 10 scale; life evaluation in a 1 to 7 scale. <i>Source</i> BIARE 2014, INEGI.	53
Fig. 4.15	Explanatory structure of life satisfaction, importance of domains of life. P’urhépecha communities, Mexico. <i>Source</i> Rojas and Chávez (2019)	54
Fig. 5.1	Gross Domestic Product (GDP) per capita in Latin American countries, years 2000 and 2017 (2014 for Venezuela). GDP per capita in constant 2011 international dollars and adjusted by purchasing power parity (PPP). <i>Source</i> World Bank Indicators	58
Fig. 5.2	Economic growth and change in positive affect. Latin American countries 2006–2016. <i>Source</i> Gallup World Poll and World Bank Indicators.	66
Fig. 5.3	Economic growth and change in negative affect. Latin American countries 2006–2016. <i>Source</i> Gallup World Poll and World Bank Indicators	67
Fig. 5.4	Economic growth and change in life evaluation. Latin American countries 2006–2016. <i>Source</i> Gallup World Poll and World Bank Indicators	67

Fig. 6.1	Income gap, ratio between decile 10 and decile 1, Latin American Countries. Household per capita income, recent data (2013–2016; except Venezuela—2006). <i>Source</i> CEDLAS	72
Fig. 6.2	Income distribution in Latin American countries: Gini coefficient. Computed by CEDLAS on the basis of household surveys. Distribution of household per capita income. Different methodologies are used in household surveys across countries and along years. Most recent figures correspond—in most cases—to 2017 and 2016	73
Fig. 6.3	Life evaluation in Venezuela, by income quintile 2009–2016. <i>Source</i> Gallup World Poll 2009–2016	77
Fig. 6.4	Positive affect in Venezuela, by income quintile 2009–2016. <i>Source</i> Gallup World Poll 2009–2016	78
Fig. 6.5	Negative affect in Venezuela, by income quintile 2009–2016. <i>Source</i> Gallup World Poll 2009–2016	78
Fig. 6.6	Unfairness in the distribution of income; percentage who consider the distribution to be unfair or very unfair, Latin American countries 2016. <i>Source</i> Latinobarometer 2016	79
Fig. 7.1	Poverty rates in Latin American countries. Individual estimates of headcount ratio on the basis of three income-poverty lines at USD 1.9, USD 3.2, and USD 5.5 household per capita income per day. Lines defined in dollars of 2011 and adjusted by purchasing power parity. Most recent figures correspond to years 2015–2017, except for Guatemala (2014), Nicaragua (2014), and Panama (2014). Figures computed by CEDLAS on the basis of Household Surveys. Different methodologies are used in household surveys across countries and along years.	85
Fig. 7.2	Poverty rates in Latin American countries. Individual estimates of headcount ratio on the basis of an income-poverty line defined at USD 3.2 household per capita income per day. Lines defined in dollars of 2011 and adjusted by purchasing power parity. Most recent figures correspond to years 2015–2017 except for Guatemala (2014), Nicaragua (2014), and Panama (2014). Early figures correspond to years 2000–2001 except for Uruguay (2006). Figures computed by CEDLAS on the basis of Household Surveys. Different methodologies are used in household surveys across countries and along years.	86
Fig. 7.3	Well-being by poverty groups. Extreme poverty: less than US\$2 dollars of household income per capita per day. Moderate poverty: between US\$2 and US\$5 per day. Non-poor: greater than US\$5 per day. Life satisfaction, positive affect and negative affect in a 0–10 scale; life evaluation in a 1–7 scale. <i>Source</i> BIARE 2014, INEGI, Mexico	90

Fig. 7.4	Satisfaction in domains of life by poverty groups. Extreme poverty: less than US\$2 dollars of household income per capita per day. Moderate poverty: between US\$2 and US\$5 per day. Non-poor: greater than US\$5 per day. Satisfaction in domains of life in a 0–10 scale. <i>Source</i> BIARE 2014, INEGI, Mexico	91
Fig. 7.5	Life satisfaction and satisfaction in domains of life, high well-being sample (life satisfaction of 8 or greater). Extreme poverty: less than US\$2 dollars of household income per capita per day. Non-poor: household income per capita greater than US\$5 per day. Satisfaction figures in a 0–10 scale. <i>Source</i> BIARE 2014, INEGI, Mexico	93
Fig. 7.6	Life satisfaction and satisfaction in domains of life, non-poor sample (Non-poor: household income per capita greater than US\$5 per day). High well-being: life satisfaction of 8 or greater. Low well-being: life satisfaction of 5 or lower. Satisfaction figures in a 0–10 scale. <i>Source</i> BIARE 2014, INEGI, Mexico	94
Fig. 7.7	Paths out of poverty	95
Fig. 7.8	Life evaluation of people classified as poor on the basis of a poverty line of US\$2 of household per capita income per day. Latin American countries. Life evaluation in a 0–10 scale. <i>Source</i> Gallup World Poll 2016	96
Fig. 7.9	Positive and negative affect of people classified as poor on the basis of a poverty line of US\$2 of household per capita income per day. Latin American countries. Affective state in a 0–1 scale. <i>Source</i> Gallup World Poll 2016	97
Fig. 8.1	Social support; percentage who report having someone to count on in times of trouble, Latin American countries and world average, mean values 2006–2016. Specific question: “ <i>If you were in trouble, do you have relatives or friends you can count on to help you whenever you need them, or not?</i> ”, binary response: Yes or No. <i>Source</i> Gallup World Poll 2006–2016 . . .	105
Fig. 8.2	Trust in Latin American countries. Percentage who respond that ‘most people can be trusted’ rather than the alternative option (‘One can never be too careful when dealing with people’). <i>Source</i> Latinobarometer 2016	106
Fig. 8.3	Relational wealth in the nuclear family. Percentage with a high relational level attribute. Three Latin American countries (Colombia, Costa Rica and Mexico) and the white population of the United States. Seven relational variables: 1. Manifestations of affection with your partner. 2. Manifestations of affection with your children. 3. Everyone’s decisions are respected in the family.	

	4. Taking into consideration the well-being of my family when making important decisions. 5. Being with the family gives the most meaning to my life. 6. Doing joint activities—such as reading or playing sports—with children. 7. Helping and supporting each other in the family. See Appendix for further details on measurement. <i>Source</i> Understanding High Happiness in Latin America survey, 2018	108
Fig. 8.4	Relational wealth in the nuclear family, quantity of interpersonal relations. Percentage with a high relational level attribute. Three Latin American countries (Colombia, Costa Rica and Mexico) and the white population of the United States. Six relational variables: 1. Sharing positive emotions with close family. 2. We in the family spend a lot of time doing things together. 3. Taking time to share with partner. 4. Taking time to share with children. 5. Sharing at least one meal a day with children. 6. Taking time to share with adult children. See Appendix for further details on measurement. <i>Source</i> Understanding High Happiness in Latin America survey, 2018	109
Fig. 8.5	Relational wealth in the extended family. Percentage with a high relational level attribute. Three Latin American countries (Colombia, Costa Rica and Mexico) and the white population of the United States. Six relational variables: 1 Visiting grandparents during childhood. 2 Extended family gatherings. 3 Manifestations of affect with siblings. 4 Meeting grandparents. 5 Meeting cousins. 6 Meeting aunts and uncles. See Appendix for further details on measurement. <i>Source</i> Understanding High Happiness in Latin America survey, 2018	112
Fig. 8.6	Relational wealth: Other relations. Percentage with high relational level. Three Latin American countries (Colombia, Costa Rica and Mexico) and the white population of the United States. Five relational variables: 1. Sharing positive emotions with friends. 2. Meeting with coworkers outside working place. 3. Talking with coworkers about interests, feelings and aspirations. 4. Helping and supporting each other in the working place. 5. Talking with neighbors about interests, feelings and aspirations. See Appendix for further details on measurement. <i>Source</i> Understanding High Happiness in Latin America survey, 2018.	113

Fig. 8.7	Gradients in positive emotions, difference in percentage experiencing the emotion ‘most of the day’ yesterday between those classified as in extreme poverty and those classified as non-poor under two different conceptions of poverty. <i>Source</i> Understanding Happiness in Latin America survey, 2018.	117
Fig. 8.8	Gradients in negative emotions, difference in percentage experiencing the emotion ‘most of the day’ yesterday between those classified as in extreme poverty and those classified as non-poor under two different conceptions of poverty. <i>Source</i> Understanding Happiness in Latin America survey, 2018.	118
Fig. 9.1	Literacy rates in Latin American countries, by age groups. Most recent year available (2017 or 2016; except for Nicaragua—2014, Chile—2015 and Venezuela—2006). <i>Source</i> Socio-Economic Database for Latin America and the Caribbean (CEDLAS and World Bank)	127
Fig. 9.2	Net enrollment rates in Latin American schools. Net enrollment defined as share of children in category school age attending their school category. Most recent year available (2017 or 2016; except for Nicaragua—2014, Chile—2015 and Venezuela—2006). <i>Source</i> Socio-Economic Database for Latin America and the Caribbean (CEDLAS and World Bank)	128
Fig. 9.3	Net enrollment rates in Latin American tertiary education, by equivalent-income quintile. Net enrollment defined as share of children in category X school age attending category X school. Most recent year available (2017 or 2016; except for Nicaragua—2014, Chile—2015 and Venezuela—2006). <i>Source</i> Socio-Economic Database for Latin America and the Caribbean (CEDLAS and World Bank)	128
Fig. 9.4	Income ratios by education level, with respect to uneducated level, for male population, Latin American countries, recent years (2015–2017). <i>Source</i> Socio-Economic Database for Latin America and the Caribbean (CEDLAS and World Bank)	131
Fig. 9.5	Income ratios by education level, with respect to uneducated level, for female population, Latin American countries, recent years (2015–2017). <i>Source</i> Socio-Economic Database for Latin America and the Caribbean (CEDLAS and World Bank)	132
Fig. 9.6	Change in the marginal contribution of education level to income generation over the past two decades, for male population, Latin American countries, change between education contribution in the early 2000s and recent years	

	(2015–2017). <i>Source</i> Socio-Economic Database for Latin America and the Caribbean (CEDLAS and World Bank).	134
Fig. 9.7	Life satisfaction and education level, gradient with respect to uneducated category. Income refers to the logarithm of household per capita income. Life satisfaction in a 1–7 categorical response scale treated as cardinal in this quantitative exercise. Exercise controls by logarithm of household per capita income, gender, age, age squared, marital status, and municipality. <i>Source</i> ImaginaMéxico survey, Mexico, 2012	136
Fig. 10.1	Intentional homicide victims, counts and rates per 100,000 inhabitants. No data available for Argentina and Peru. Most recent available information; in most cases it corresponds to 2015 or 2016. <i>Source</i> United Nations Office on Drugs and Crime (UNODC 2014, 2018)	143
Fig. 10.2	Annual prevalence of the use of drugs in Mexico and the United States, 2016. It refers to the proportion of a population that uses a drug within the year. <i>Source</i> UNODC (2018).	144
Fig. 10.3	Percentage of people who report being victim of crime during the twelve months previous to the survey, by country and gender. <i>Source</i> World Values Survey wave 2011–2014	146
Fig. 10.4	Impact of being victim of crime—during the past 12 months—on life satisfaction. Compared to impact of falling one step in the income-decile ladder. By gender. Latin American countries: Argentina, Brazil, Chile, Colombia, Ecuador, Mexico, Peru, Uruguay. Life satisfaction measured in a 1–10 scale. Estimated coefficient from a regression that controls for: age, age squared, gender, education level, marital status, income position, and country. <i>Source</i> World Values Survey 2011–2014 wave.	147
Fig. 10.5	Fear of crime by country and gender, percentage who often or sometimes felt unsafe from crime in their own home during the past 12 months. Other response options were rarely or never. Some Latin American countries, as well as Spain and the United States. <i>Source</i> World Value Survey (2011–2014)	148
Fig. 10.6	Impact of fear of crime on life satisfaction. Fear of crime: often or sometimes rather than rarely, never during the past 12 months. Compared to falling one step in the income-decile scale. By gender. Latin American countries: Argentina, Brazil, Chile, Colombia, Ecuador, Mexico, Peru, and Uruguay. Life satisfaction measured in a 1–10 scale. Estimated coefficient from a regression that controls for: age, age squared, gender, education level, marital status, income position, and country. <i>Source</i> World Values Survey 2011–2014 wave	149

Fig. 10.7	Percentage victim of physical aggression by different types of aggressor, Mexico 2012, by gender. <i>Source</i> BIARE 2012, INEGI.	150
Fig. 10.8	Impact on life satisfaction of physical aggression; different types of aggressors. Life satisfaction in a 0–10 scale. Comparison with impact of a 100% increase in income. Quantitative exercise controls for gender, age, age squared, education level, marital status and logarithm of current expenditure (income). <i>Source</i> BIARE 2012, INEGI	151
Fig. 11.1	Control of corruption reflects perceptions of the extent to which public power is exercised for private gain, including both petty and grand forms of corruption, as well as “capture” of the state by elites and private interests. Percentile rank in the worldwide classification. <i>Source</i> The Worldwide Governance Indicators (WGI).	157
Fig. 11.2	Percentage who state that all or most of the officers are corrupt. Constructed as mean value for questions regarding the following officers: the (President)/(Prime Minister) and officials in his/her office, representatives in the legislature (i.e. Members of the parliament or senators), government officials, local government councillors, police, tax officials, judges and magistrates, business executives. <i>Source</i> Latinobarometer 2016	158
Fig. 11.3	Decline in life satisfaction associated to perceptions of greater corruption. When moving from None is corrupted to All are corrupted. From estimated coefficients from regression analyses; controlling by age, age squared, gender, education, subjective economic situation, marital status and country dummies. Life satisfaction in a 1–4 scale (not at all satisfied, not very satisfied, fairly satisfied, and very satisfied). Graph also incorporates the estimated coefficient for being separated or divorced rather than married. <i>Source</i> Latinobarometer 2016	160
Fig. 11.4	Percentage who paid a bribe in the past 12 months, by public services. Percentage computed on the basis of those who made contact with public office. <i>Source</i> Latinobarometer 2016	161
Fig. 11.5	Percentage who paid a bribe to any of the six services under consideration (Public schools, hospitals, ID document offices, utilities services, police, and courts) in the past 12 months. Percentage of total population. <i>Source</i> Latinobarometer 2016	161